

Routledge Advances in Climate Change Research

A CRITICAL APPROACH TO CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION

DISCOURSES, POLICIES, AND PRACTICES

Edited by Silja Klepp and Libertad Chavez-Rodriguez



'Climate change adaptation is political. This is the core message of this timely and potent text. At a world-historical moment in which crises of capitalism, political cynicism, and ecology converge, it reminds us that adapting to these crises is not a technocratic exercise but a deeply political undertaking which demands heightened sensitivity to uneven arrangements of power the world over. A vital contribution to the nascent field of critical adaptation studies, this theoretically informed, empirically rich text offers a much needed analytical arsenal for confronting the relations of power that subtend current conditions of crisis.'

Andrew Baldwin, Department of Geography, Durham University, UK

'This excellent book successfully highlights and critiques the often neutral and apolitical manner in which climate change adaptation is discussed. Through a series of rich empirical case studies from different parts of the world, this book brings politics back in, revealing how actors on global, regional and local levels negotiate different adaptation futures, and what injustices and vulnerabilities emerge around these power dynamics. A must-read for all those – scholars and policymakers – working on climate adaptation.'

Ingrid Boas, Assistant Professor, Wageningen University, The Netherlands

'The lived experience of climate change is not just about transforming landscapes and livelihoods; it is also about navigating and adapting to climate policies that may serve to exacerbate and re-entrench existing inequalities. This new collection of empirically informed research exposes these inequalities and will become an essential part of the climate change literature. Contributions to the collection range from remote villages to the boardroom, and have much to teach students, scholars, and policymakers about the implications not only of a changing climate, but also of the policies designed to address it.'

Heather Lazrus, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, USA

In this compelling volume, global experts innovatively confront and respond to a critical concern of contemporary climate change adaptation: the apolitical nature that seems to pervade discourse, governance efforts and scholarship. The first of its kind, the book offers powerful insights into potential ways to account for the multiple evolving social dynamics that are critical within the framework of climate change adaptation in various spaces. It is destined to become a key reference for those interested in interrogating the political implications behind climate change adaptation.'

Louis J. Kotzé, Research Professor of Law, North-West University, South Africa, and Marie Curie Research Fellow, University of Lincoln, UK

'This diverse and enriching peer-reviewed volume exposes climate change adaptation to an overtly critical gaze. This book provides an urgently needed and empirically grounded critique of climate adaptation's purported neutrality, and, by resisting the ideological erasure of the politics at the heart of contemporary adaptation projects, pushes back against the "naturalisation" of climate and adaptation discourses and strategies. "Denaturalising" climate adaptation could scarcely be more important for resisting patterns of climate injustice. This volume makes that case, and makes it persuasively.'

Anna Grear, Professor of Law and Theory, Cardiff University, UK

'The destructive potential of climate change is one of the most complex human issues of the present century. Taking into account different regional contexts, the works included in this volume provide an urgently needed critical perspective on power relations and on the different types of injustices that are present in the global agendas of adaptation to climate change.'

Gabriela Merlinksy, Researcher at Institute Gino Germani, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina



A Critical Approach to Climate Change Adaptation

This edited volume brings together critical research on climate change adaptation discourses, policies, and practices from a multi-disciplinary perspective. Drawing on examples from countries including Colombia, Mexico, Canada, Germany, Russia, Tanzania, Indonesia, and the Pacific Islands, the chapters describe how adaptation measures are interpreted, transformed, and implemented at grassroots level and how these measures are changing or interfering with power relations, legal pluralism, and local (ecological) knowledge. As a whole, the book challenges established perspectives of climate change adaptation by taking into account issues of cultural diversity, environmental justice, and human rights, as well as feminist or intersectional approaches. This innovative approach allows for analyses of the new configurations of knowledge and power that are evolving in the name of climate change adaptation.

This volume will be of great interest to students and scholars of climate change, environmental law and policy, and environmental sociology, and to policymakers and practitioners working in the field of climate change adaptation.

Silja Klepp is a professor of geography at Kiel University, Germany.

Libertad Chavez-Rodriguez is a researcher at the Center for Research and Advanced Studies in Social Anthropology (CIESAS) in Monterrey, Mexico.

Routledge Advances in Climate Change Research

Local Action on Climate Change

Opportunities and Constraints

Edited by Susie Moloney, Hartmut Fuenfgeld and Mikael Granberg

Pricing Carbon in Australia Contestation, the State and Market Failure Rebecca Pearse

The Paris Framework for Climate Change Capacity Building Mizan R. Khan, J. Timmons Roberts, Saleemul Huq and Victoria Hoffmeister

The Anthropology of Climate Change An Integrated Critical Perspective Hans A. Baer and Merrill Singer

EU Climate Diplomacy Politics, Law and Negotiations Edited by Stephen Minas and Vassilis Ntousas

The Global Climate Regime and Transitional Justice Sonja Klinsky and Jasmina Brankovic

Climate Justice and the Economy Social Mobilization, Knowledge and the Political Edited by Stefan Gaarsmand Jacobsen

A Critical Approach to Climate Change Adaptation Discourses, Policies, and Practices Edited by Silja Klepp and Libertad Chavez-Rodriguez

www.routledge.com/Routledge-Advances-in-Climate-Change-Research/book-series/RACCR

A Critical Approach to Climate Change Adaptation

Discourses, Policies, and Practices

Edited by Silja Klepp and Libertad Chavez-Rodriguez





First published 2018 by Routledge 2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

and by Routledge 711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2018 selection and editorial matter, Silja Klepp and Libertad Chavez-Rodriguez; individual chapters, the contributors

The right of Silja Klepp and Libertad Chavez-Rodriguez to be identified as the authors of the editorial matter, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

With the exception of Chapter 3, no part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Chapter 3 of this book is available for free in PDF format as Open Access from the individual product page at www.routledge.com. It has been made available under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 license.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Klepp, Silja, editor. I Chavez-Rodriguez, Libertad, editor. Title: A critical approach to climate change adaptation: discourses, policies, and practices / edited by Silja Klepp and Libertad Chavez-Rodriguez.

Description: Abingdon, Oxon; New York, NY: Routledge, 2018. | Series: Routledge advances in climate change research | Includes bibliographical references and index. | Identifiers: LCCN 2018004522 | ISBN 9781138056299 (hardback: alk. paper) | ISBN 9781315165448 (ebook: alk. paper) | Subjects: LCSH: Climatic changes—Research. | Climate change

mitigation–Research.
Classification: LCC QC903 .C75 2018 | DDC 363.738/745612–dc23
LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2018004522

ISBN: 978-1-138-05629-9 (hbk) ISBN: 978-1-315-16544-8 (ebk)

Typeset in Sabon by Wearset Ltd, Boldon, Tyne and Wear

Contents

	List of illustrations	X
	List of contributors	xi
	Chapter summaries	xvii
PA	RT I	
nt	roduction	1
1	Governing climate change: the power of adaptation discourses, policies, and practices	3
	SILJA KLEPP AND LIBERTAD CHAVEZ-RODRIGUEZ	
	RT II	
Со	nceptualising climate change adaptation	35
2	A clash of adaptations: how adaptation to climate change is translated in northern Tanzania	37
3	Rethinking the framing of climate change adaptation: knowledge, power, and politics	55
	RT III e political economy of climate change adaptation	75
4	Climate change economies: denaturalising adaptation and hydrocarbon economisation	77
	SOPHIE WEBBER AND EMILIA KENNEDY	

viii Contents

5	Tourism, environmental damage, and climate policy at the coast of Oaxaca, Mexico	97
	IGNACIO RUBIO C.	
6	Vulnerability factors among Cocopah fishers: climate change, fishery policies, and the politics of water in the delta of the Colorado River ALEJANDRA NAVARRO-SMITH	112
7	Ruling nature and indigenous communities: renewed senses of community and contending politics of mitigation of climate change in the northern Sierra of Oaxaca, Mexico SALVADOR AQUINO CENTENO	129
8	Adapting in a carbon pool? Politicising climate change at Sumatra's oil palm frontier JONAS HEIN AND YVONNE KUNZ	151
Lo	RT IV cal vs national vs global understandings of climate ange adaptation	169
9	Adapting in the borderlands: the legacy of neoliberal conservation on the Mexican–Guatemalan border	171
10	Climate change adaptation narratives in the Gulf of Mexico LUZ MARÍA VÁZQUEZ	192
11	Leaving the comfort zone: regional governance in a German climate adaptation project HEIKO GARRELTS, JOHANNES HERBECK, AND MICHAEL FLITNER	206
12	Reconfiguring climate change adaptation policy: indigenous peoples' strategies and policies for managing environmental transformations in Colombia ASTRID ULLOA	222

		Contents	ix
PAI	RT V		
	yond critical adaptation research: innovative derstandings of climate change adaptation	2	239
13	Atlases of community change: community		
	collaborative-interactive projects in Russia and Canada	2	241
	SUSAN A. CRATE		
14	Professionalising the 'resilience' sector in the Pacific Island	ds	
	region: formal education for capacity-building	2	256
	SARAH LOUISE HEMSTOCK, HELENE JACOT DES COM	BES,	
	LEIGH-ANNE BULIRUARUA, KEVIN MAITAVA,		
	RUTH SENIKULA, ROY SMITH, AND TESS MARTIN		
PAI	RT VI		
Co	nclusion	2	273
15	Conclusion: the politics in critical adaptation research	2	275
	SYBILLE BAURIEDL AND DETLEF MÜLLER-MAHN		
	Index		288

Illustrations

Figu	res	
	Kiribati Adaptation Project's placard, Kiribati Wildlife dispersal in the wet season into Terrat, a village on the outskirts of Tarangire National Park, northern	5
	Tanzania	46
3.1	A reworking of the framework originally developed by Eriksen <i>et al.</i> (2015) describing key interactions framing the politics of adaptation, where empowerment takes on a	
	central role	66
5.1	Location map for Zipolite on the coast of Oaxaca,	
	Mexico	98
5.2	Schematic illustration of the coastal development in and around Zipolite, highlighting changes over time and the	
	land under conflict	106
6.1	Displacement of temporary fishing camps due to water	
	reduction	122
	Gulf corvina clandestine rubbish site, April 2016 Indigenous communities of the northern Sierra of Oaxaca that have carried out climate change mitigation policies,	124
	2007–17	139
8.1	Southeast Jambi including research villages	156
	Study site: The Carmen–Pajonal–Machona lacunar system	
	in Tabasco, Mexico	195
Tab	les	
2.1	Adopted from Rendering the World Unsafe:	
	'Vulnerability' as Western discourse	40
6.1	Permits per fishing group in the Upper Gulf of California	
	and Colorado River delta	123
14.1	Key national policies on climate change adaptation (CCA) and disaster risk management (DRM) and an examination	
	of their requirements for related learning	264

Contributors

Salvador Aquino Centeno is a professor and researcher at the Center for Research and Advanced Studies in Social Anthropology in Oaxaca, Mexico. His areas of interest include indigenous peoples and the state; neoliberalism, indigenous peoples' territorialities and global environmental politics; social memory and representations of the past; and historical and contemporary anthropology of legal and illegal processes. He has conducted collaborative research among the Zapotec indigenous communities of the Northern Sierra of Oaxaca, Mexico over the past 16 years.

Sybille Bauriedl is a geographer, working as a senior researcher at the Sustainability Research Centre at the University of Bremen, Germany. Her research combines the approaches of political ecology, feminist geography, and critical urban studies with current projects on bioeconomy in East Africa, local energy transition in Germany, and digitalised urban infrastructures. She also runs a blog on climate debates (klimadebatte. wordpress.com).

Leigh-Anne Buliruarua is the regional coordinator for the European Union Pacific Technical Vocational Education and Training on Sustainable Energy and Climate Change Adaptation Project (EU-PacTVET). She holds a postgraduate diploma in environmental science from the University of the South Pacific in Fiji, and a BSc in biology from Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia. She has over ten years' experience as a project manager on climate change/natural resource management. She is currently pursuing an MSc in climate change to evaluate the alignment of the competencies developed under the EU-PacTVET with regional and global frameworks for climate change and disaster risk reduction.

Libertad Chavez-Rodriguez is a researcher and lecturer at the Center for Research and Advanced Studies in Social Anthropology in Monterrey, Mexico, and a member of the Mexican National Researchers' System. In 2014, she was awarded a PhD in social sciences from the University of Bremen, Germany, for her thesis Climate Change and Gender: The

significance of gender in the social vulnerability to extreme weather events in regions under flood risk in Mexico and Germany. She holds an MSc in regional science/regional planning from the University of Karlsruhe, Germany. Her current research focuses on socio-spatial segregation and social vulnerability to hydro-meteorological hazards in urban areas, mainly using socio-anthropological methodology. Her areas of interest include social vulnerability to hazards; gender and environment; intersectionality and disasters; and socio-environmental issues from a political ecology perspective.

Susan A. Crate is a professor of anthropology in the Department of Environmental Science and Policy at George Mason University, USA. She specialises in environmental and cognitive anthropology, with a focus on anthropology and climate change. She has worked with indigenous communities in Siberia since 1988, with a research agenda that now extends to Canada, Peru, Wales, Kiribati, Mongolia, and Chesapeake Bay, Virginia, USA. She currently engages in creating community-based narratives on climate change to empower and bring about positive social change, an effort inspired in part by her role in *The Anthropologist*, a documentary taking an anthropological approach to climate change. She served on the American Anthropology Association's Task Force on Climate Change and is currently a lead author on the IPCC Special Report on Oceans and Cryosphere.

Sara de Wit is a postdoctoral research fellow at the Institute of Science Innovation and Society at the University of Oxford, UK. She is currently part of the Forecasts for Anticipatory Humanitarian Action Project. Trained in anthropology and African studies, she has a strong empirical orientation, with long-term fieldwork experience in southeast Madagascar, the Bamenda Grassfields in Cameroon, and Maasailand in northern Tanzania. She has undertaken 'ethnographies of aid' – at the intersection of science and technology studies development theories, environmental anthropology, and post-colonial studies – in which she broadly focused on how globally circulating ideas, such as climate change and notions of development, travel and what happens when they are translated by different actors along the translation chain.

Michael Flitner is a professor of geography at the University of Bremen, Germany. He holds a cooperation chair at the Sustainability Research Centre and the Leibniz Centre for Tropical Marine Ecology, both in Germany. He studied geography, biology, and education, with a specialisation in development research. His work concerns environmental issues from a social science perspective, and environmental and nature conservation policy in regional and international contexts. He focuses on conflict lines between environmental/nature conservation and public opinion to environmental justice and civic engagement, as well as on

climate change questions and the historical and cultural perspectives of political ecology.

Heiko Garrelts is a researcher on environmental governance and is currently a member of the Sustainability Research Centre at the University of Bremen, Germany. He holds diplomas in political science and landscape planning. His research addresses decision-making processes in different policy fields, especially nature protection and climate change policy.

Jonas Hein is a postdoctoral researcher in the working group Social Dynamics in Coastal and Marine Areas at the Institute of Geography at Kiel University, Germany. He previously worked at the German Development Institute in the department for Environmental Policy and Management of Natural Resources. His areas of interest include the political ecology of conservation and development, peasant resistance, forest carbon offsets, and international forest and climate policies.

Sarah Louise Hemstock is an author and adviser to the Alofa Tuvalu Small Is Beautiful project – recognised by UNESCO as a Decade of Achievement project. She is currently leading the European Union Pacific Technical Vocational Education and Training on Sustainable Energy and Climate Change Adaptation Project (EU-PacTVET) at the South Pacific Community, is an adjunct fellow of the University of the South Pacific, Fiji, and was a visiting fellow at Nottingham Trent University, UK. Her interest in the Pacific region began as a consultant at Imperial College, University of London, UK, when she researched biomass resources in several Pacific small island development states. In 2010, she was made Government of Tuvalu Honorary Ambassador – Officer for Environmental Science.

Johannes Herbeck has studied human geography, political sciences, and sociology at the Technical University of Munich and the Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich, Germany. Since 2008, he has been working as a researcher at the Sustainability Research Centre at the University of Bremen, Germany. In 2014, he was awarded a PhD for his thesis Geographies of Climate Change: Vulnerability, security, translocality. Since then, he has been a researcher and scientific coordinator within the project New Regional Formations: Rapid environmental change and migration in coastal regions of Ghana and Indonesia, funded by the Volkswagen Foundation, Germany.

Helene Jacot des Combes is the senior lecturer in climate change adaptation at the University of the South Pacific, Fiji. She is currently a member of the UNISDR Scientific and Technical Advisory Group, and works on the European Union Pacific Technical Vocational Education and Training on Sustainable Energy and Climate Change Adaptation Project

(EU-PacTVET). She is a member of several Pacific regional committees: the Regional Working Group on Education, Climate Change and Disaster Risk Management; the Pacific Climate Change Roundtable; and the Technical Working Group for the Development of the Regional Integrated Strategy on Climate Change and Disaster Risk Management, called the Framework for Resilient Development in the Pacific (FRDP). She is also a member of the International Association of Emergency Managers.

Emilia Kennedy works for the Government of Alberta Climate Change Office. She is a geographer with research interests in political theory, climate and energy policy, and carbon-reduction technologies in Canada.

Silja Klepp is a professor of geography at Kiel University, Germany. She is a trained social anthropologist. In her current research on climate change migration and adaptation, she integrates post-colonial perspectives and critical theories in the study of climate change effects. She has published research on issues of climate justice and climate migration in Oceania, on boat people in the Mediterranean Sea, and on EU refugee and border politics. She has conducted field research in Kiribati, Vanuatu, New Zealand, Italy, Libya, Malta, and Zambia. One of her recent articles is 'The politics of environmental migration and climate justice in the Pacific region'.

Yvonne Kunz studied human geography and cultural anthropology at the University of Trier, Germany. In 2016, she was awarded a PhD in human geography from the Georg-August-University Gottingen in Germany, where she is part of the German Research Foundation (DFG)-funded Collaborative Research Centre on Ecological and Socioeconomic Functions of Tropical Lowland Rainforest Transformation Systems (Sumatra, Indonesia). She heads the Environment and Climate Desk of the Berlin-based non-governmental organisation *Watch Indonesia!* focusing on human rights, democracy, and the environment in Indonesia and East Timor.

Kevin Maitava holds an MSc in climate change and a BA in environmental studies. He has interests in food security, disaster risk management, and climate change-induced relocation. Originating from Fiji, he is committed to using his education and professional experience to contribute towards building a better Fiji.

Tess Martin is a researcher and senior academic working with the Pacific Centre for Environment and Sustainable Development at the University of the South Pacific, Fiji. Over the past eight years she has worked in ten Pacific Island countries. Her recent work has been instrumental in developing the first technical and vocational education and training

(TVET) regional qualifications in climate change adaptation and sustainable energy for the Pacific region. Her publications focus on education and climate change adaptation in the Pacific region.

Daniel Morchain is a global adviser in climate change adaptation and resilience with Oxfam, as well as co-investigator in the Adaptation at Scale in Semi-Arid Regions project, which aims to make research more inclusive, more participatory, and to recognise social impact as researchers' ultimate objective. He views the climate change debate as one that should be embedded in social justice, transformation, gender equality, and leadership – and believes that success will depend on how we all engage with it at an emotional level.

Detlef Müller-Mahn is a professor of development geography at the University of Bonn, Germany, and spokesperson of the collaborative research centre Future Rural Africa: Future-making and Social-Ecological Transformation, in Germany. His research addresses questions of environmental governance and risk in the context of global change, with a focus on pastoralists and peasants in Eastern Africa. He edited *The Spatial Dimension of Risk: How geography shapes the emergence of riskscapes* (2013).

Alejandra Navarro-Smith is a research professor in the Department of Sociocultural Studies at ITESO University, Guadalajara, Mexico. She is a trained social anthropologist using visual media, and has undertaken fieldwork for more than a decade (2006–17) among Cocopah fishers in the Colorado River Delta. Her current work focuses on the production of vulnerability threatening the well-being of Cocopah fisher households. Climate change, rising sea temperature, and a lack of public policies on these issues in the Mexican seas are some of the factors generating high risks for Cocopah fishers. One of her recent articles is *Dilemmas of sustainability in Cocopah territory: an exercise of applied visual anthropology in the Colorado River Delta* (2016).

Ignacio Rubio C. is a professor at the School of Social and Political Sciences of the National University of Mexico. His research focuses on disasters, risks, and environmental conflict. He coordinates a research project on the sociology of risk and uncertainty. He is part of the Mexican National Researchers' System and a board member of the International Sociological Association's thematic group 04.

Celia Ruiz de Oña Plaza is an associate researcher at the Multidisciplinary Research Centre of Chiapas and the South Border of Mexico, of the National Autonomous University of Mexico. Her current research focuses on topics related to mitigation and adaptation policies in the field of political ecology and science technology studies in the coffee sector of Chiapas and in the conservation sphere. For the past ten years she has

been involved in various rural development projects in Chiapas dealing with sustainable productive systems.

Ruth Senikula is the gender and vulnerable groups inclusion consultant for the European Union Pacific Technical Vocational Education and Training on Sustainable Energy and Climate Change Adaptation Project (EU-PacTVET) based at the Pacific Community in Nabua, Fiji. She completed a joint degree in applied psychology and education from the University of the South Pacific, Fiji. She is now pursuing postgraduate studies at the same university in the area of climate change.

Roy Smith is course leader for an MA in international development at Nottingham Trent University, UK, and vice chairman of the Pacific Islands Society of the UK and Ireland. He has conducted fieldwork in Micronesia, Melanesia, and Polynesia. His current research concerns food security and marine conservation, with a focus on coral reefs.

Astrid Ulloa is an anthropologist and professor in the Department of Geography at the Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Her main research interests include indigenous movements, indigenous autonomy, gender, climate change, territoriality, extractivisms, and anthropology of the environment. She is author of *The Ecological Native: Indigenous peoples' movements and eco-governmentality in Colombia* (2013). Recent articles include *Perspectives of environmental justice from indigenous peoples of Latin America: a relational indigenous environmental justice* (2017), Geopolitics of carbonized nature and the zero carbon citizen (2017) and *Environment and development: reflections from Latin America* (2015). She is currently writing about gender and mining and territorial feminisms in Latin America.

Luz María Vázquez is a research coordinator and lecturer at York University, Canada, where she was also awarded a PhD in the Department of Sociology. She worked for over ten years in a research centre at the National Autonomous University of Mexico, where she acquired strong research skills based on extensive ethnographic and qualitative work. Her research interests include environmental sociology, local governance, resource management, conservation, and climate change.

Sophie Webber is a lecturer in geography in the School of Geosciences at the University of Sydney, Australia. She is an economic and environmental geographer. She studies climate change adaptation and resilience in South East Asia and the Pacific region and the development agencies and institutions that work there.

Chapter summaries

Chapter 1 Governing climate change: the power of adaptation discourses, policies, and practices

Silja Klepp and Libertad Chavez-Rodriguez

This book presents the outcome of a workshop on climate change adaptation discourses, policies, and practices held in Oaxaca, Mexico, in 2016. Participants were mostly from and/or undertaking research in the Global South. Two main themes arose: that cultural, social, and political diversity is largely absent from climate change adaptation and that the overwhelming social inequalities under which adaptation to climate change is taking place are not only ignored, but are often naturalised or even strengthened. The various chapters of this book explore a number of questions: Which social dynamics can evolve within the framework of climate change adaptation in various spaces? Which assumptions and rationalities are inherent in mainstream climate change adaptation discourses, policies, and practices? Which patterns of use and misuse can we observe regarding climate change adaptation? Which social processes are initiated or hindered through climate change adaptation?

Chapter 2 A clash of adaptations: how adaptation to climate change is translated in northern Tanzania

Sara de Wit

This chapter explores how the nascent idea of Adaptation to Climate Change is translated in northern Tanzania. By interrogating how non-governmental organisations and other actors in northern Tanzania adapt to the idea of adaptation itself we gain insight into the ways in which a new development paradigm comes into being. Based on 14 months of multi-sited ethnographic fieldwork this chapter reveals the politics of adaptation that emerge in the encounter between global ideas of adaptation and what it means for different stakeholders on the ground.

Chapter 3 Rethinking the framing of climate change adaptation: knowledge, power, and politics

Daniel Morchain

This chapter explores the existing institutional arrangement driving the thinking and action behind climate change adaptation, as well as development more broadly. It argues that it is an unfair arrangement, where Northern academic and political institutions exert disproportionate influence over the sector. It also finds that there is a bias towards understanding climate change as an overly biophysical challenge – not as a social issue. Climate change adaptation and development should, instead, be founded on inclusive, representative and consultative principles that enable the amalgamation of various sources of knowledge and that support otherwise marginalised groups to become increasingly influential and the owners of their own development pathways.

Chapter 4 Climate change economies: denaturalising adaptation and hydrocarbon economisation

Sophie Webber and Emilia Kennedy

A vibrant literature investigates marketised attempts to limit climate change impacts. This literature has exposed the failures of market-based policies, but has also overlooked equally important components of climate governance. This chapter speaks to this gap by examining adaptation economies in the Pacific Islands, and hydrocarbon economies in Alberta, Canada. Drawing connections between climate change knowledge services to inform adaptations and attempts to create carbon capture and storage programmes, we extend existing understanding of how actors are attempting to manage climate change, and we demonstrate the contours and connections of a more broadly conceived category of climate change economies.

Chapter 5 Tourism, environmental damage, and climate policy at the coast of Oaxaca, Mexico

Ignacio Rubio C.

Tourism is a human activity sensitive to climate. This chapter provides a brief description of some of the problems that underlie tourism development in one particular town – Zipolite – a small town on the coast of Oaxaca, Mexico. Discussion focuses on how climate change adaptation policies portray and evaluate the environmental risks that jeopardise tourism development and create environmental fragility and damage. The

xix

chapter provides insight into these critical issues and shows that the expansion of political economies based on massive investment in infrastructure can involve marginalisation of local communities from development.

Chapter 6 Vulnerability factors among Cocopah fishers: climate change, fishery policies, and the politics of water in the delta of the Colorado River

Alejandra Navarro-Smith

This chapter examines the consequences of climate change on the daily lives of the fishing Cocopah families in Baja California, with a focus on public policy regarding climate change adaptation in northwestern Mexico and the southwestern USA. Climate change, as well as the politics of water management, and rigorous fisheries and environmental protection policies, are some of the factors endangering the quality of life for Cocopah fisher households in Baja California and may also be exacerbating their existing social vulnerability. The chapter includes a range of personal reflections from Cocopah elders.

Chapter 7 Ruling nature and indigenous communities: renewed senses of community and contending politics of mitigation of climate change in the northern Sierra of Oaxaca, Mexico

Salvador Aquino Centeno

Accepting the international agenda of climate change mitigation policy, the Mexican government has created a top-down institutional framework of environmental laws and discourses of justification for climate change prevention. This chapter examines how indigenous communities of the northern Sierra of Oaxaca have become involved in the politics of climate change mitigation, through lessons learned from several years of environmental impact due to forest extraction. The chapter focuses on how these communities have incorporated this climate policy while contesting the institutional and official policies of climate change. Based on their own experiences of sustainable management, the indigenous communities have advanced very effective practices of climate change mitigation.

Chapter 8 Adapting in a carbon pool? Politicising climate change at Sumatra's oil palm frontier

Jonas Hein and Yvonne Kunz

We investigate climate policy and its impacts on Sumatra's peasant communities using two case studies for Jambi province: one on the expansion of oil palm cultivation and one on the challenges for peasant agriculture posed by the development of a conservation forest. The associated conflicts centre on often-neglected aspects of climate politics. They illustrate tradeoffs between different objectives of the UNFCCC, namely between mitigation and adaptation. We argue that marginalised actors face triple exposure. They are not only negatively affected by climate change and neoliberal globalisation but are also increasingly by attempts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Chapter 9 Adapting in the borderlands: the legacy of neoliberal conservation on the Mexican–Guatemalan border

Celia Ruiz de Oña Plaza

In the Global South, incipient adaptation projects in territories that have for several years experienced mitigation strategies based on environmental payments, encounter significant inertia towards maintaining a monetised approach to combat climate change. This chapter reflects on the implications of this in the Tacaná Volcano Biosphere Reserve, in the borderland between Mexico and Guatemala. By reviewing historical and current trends for a landscape where coffee plantations and conservation strategies are the target of climate change mitigation and agro-ecological adaptation strategies, this chapter argues that it is necessary to reach a close understanding of the uniqueness of the regions where they are to be implemented.

Chapter 10 Climate change adaptation narratives in the Gulf of Mexico

Luz María Vázquez

This chapter uses a case study on fishing communities in the Gulf of Mexico to analyse the potential challenges the that Mexican government may face when implementing climate change adaptation initiatives in the region. A narrative analysis approach shows how actors understand and frame problems. Discussion of two adaptation measures – community relocation and the establishment of protected areas – demonstrates how government climate change initiatives are not designed to address the structural factors that are determining people's vulnerabilities to climate change, such as

xxi

poverty or lack of environmental planning. By ignoring such issues and focusing only on how to face impacts, government adaptation initiatives could exacerbate existing conflicts and reinforce inequalities.

Chapter 11 Leaving the comfort zone: regional governance in a German climate adaptation project

Heiko Garrelts, Johannes Herbeck, and Michael Flitner

This chapter reflects on a climate change adaptation project in northwest Germany – nordwest2050 – against the backdrop of debate around new forms of regional governance. These are bound up with hopes for improved effectiveness, wider participation and new integration and innovation processes. The broad-based participatory processes of nordwest2050 enabled the collaboration of actors whose voices are seldom heard in conventional policy processes. The project also showed that adaptation to climate change is a contested, political process. Nevertheless, the differences and conflicts revealed in the course of the project could play a productive role in the future, as a catalyst for further discussions and transformation processes.

Chapter 12 Reconfiguring climate change adaptation policy: indigenous peoples' strategies and policies for managing environmental transformations in Colombia

Astrid Ulloa

This chapter analyses conceptual discussions about 'adaptation' as a strategy for responding to climate change. The analysis indicates a wide range of interpretations on its meaning and scope. It highlights the political dimension of adaptation strategies, due to the inequalities that are generated, both in access and in their implementation in diverse cultural contexts. It starts from the idea that the culturally given causes and answers respond to conceptions about the non-human. The analysis is based on the results of work carried out in indigenous contexts in Colombia.

Chapter 13 Atlases of community change: community collaborative-interactive projects in Russia and Canada

Susan A. Crate

This chapter describes a community-collaborative partnership to develop intranet (within-community) atlases of community change, to empower local communities to monitor change in all its forms (including unprecedented local change due to climate perturbations), develop plans of action and move forward with appropriate responses. Pilot studies took place in two arctic

xxii Chapter summaries

contexts: a post-Soviet community in northeastern Russia and a coastal community in Labrador, Canada. The chapter explores whether this approach for community self-monitoring of change will improve local adaptation to climate change. The chapter concludes that the prototypes developed drove enough community interest to warrant further development.

Chapter 14 Professionalising the 'resilience' sector in the Pacific Islands region: formal education for capacity-building

Sarah Louise Hemstock, Helene Jacot Des Combes, Leigh-Anne Buliruarua, Kevin Maitava, Ruth Senikula, Roy Smith, and Tess Martin

Practitioners and policymakers are recognising the importance of integrating climate change adaptation and disaster risk management. This approach has been adopted in the European Union Pacific Technical Vocational Education and Training on Sustainable Energy and Climate Change Adaptation Project (EU PacTVET). A key barrier to improving national resilience to climate change impacts and disaster risk has been identified as a lack of capacity and expertise due to the absence of sustainable accredited and quality-assured training programmes that guarantee access to people. TVET modules and tools developed under the EU PacTVET project will establish a community of practitioners supporting community resilience in Oceania.

Chapter 15 Conclusion: the politics in critical adaptation research

Sybille Bauriedl and Detlef Müller-Mahn

This concluding chapter focuses on the political aspects of critical adaptation research by discussing the key arguments of the various case studies presented in this book. In particular, the chapter asks why we need critical adaptation research, since is not adaption always an act of criticism on the unsustainable use of nature? The chapter argues that adaptation is not a neutral driver of action but an outcome of a contested political process. Yet, adaptation is mostly told as a single story, disregarding multifaceted knowledge systems and global environmental justice. To make adaptation research truly critical, it must be more socially and politically reflective.

Part I Introduction



1 Governing climate change

The power of adaptation discourses, policies, and practices¹

Silja Klepp and Libertad Chavez-Rodriguez

Introduction

Climate change adaptation is an influential discourse and a powerful political concept linked to many material practices. It has the power² to set political agendas and policies and to reframe development programmes on different scales - from global to local. 'Adaptation' - and linked to this the concepts of 'vulnerability' and 'resilience' - is currently the main notion mediating ideas on anthropogenic climate change and society. Nevertheless, it is often difficult to understand what various scholars have observed: that despite its significant political effects, most of the discussions concerning 'adaptation' are effectively framed in an apolitical manner (Cameron 2012; Gesing et al. 2014; Eriksen et al. 2015; Taylor 2015). This means that the political implications behind climate change adaptation are not explicitly addressed, and so remain invisible. Why is this so? And what do we learn if we focus our analysis on the political aspects, on changing power relations, growing vulnerabilities, and different kinds of injustices linked to climate change adaptation rather than the apolitical aspects of the process? This book aims to contribute to a better understanding of how climate change adaptation politics is evolving, to provide a more accurate account of what is happening on the ground, and to discuss what is needed to set free potentials for change in climate change adaptation to make it a more just and fair tool of governance.

This may be illustrated using the example of Kiribati (Oceania), where adaptation narratives are especially powerful. These Pacific islands – 33 low-lying atolls and reef islands extending just a few feet above sea level and with a permanent population of just over 110,000 – have been identified by climate scientists as highly vulnerable to climate change because of sea-level rise, more intense storms, and drought.

National budgets and aid programmes are being reframed and adaptation projects and policies are today crucial for national and regional household budgets and aid programmes in Oceania and elsewhere. In Kiribati, adaptation thinking informs political decision-making on all scales. In contexts from national to local, the people of Kiribati (the *I-Kiribati*) receive,

appropriate, and transform adaptation measures. Here, climate change adaptation has emerged as a powerful assemblage (Deleuze and Guattari 1987; Head 2009) where different interests, worldviews, and futures are negotiated. One such example is the Kiribati Adaptation Project (KAP) (Storey and Hunter 2010; Webber 2013; Donner and Webber 2014). This exemplifies those features of large adaptation projects that are most criticised: it is financed by the World Bank, it has been running since 2002, and it was established because Kiribati was seen as the 'vulnerable of the vulnerable'. To date, the project has cost around US\$10 million and has recently (2015) entered phase III. Numerous consultants have been contracted since 2002, many knowing little about the country and staying in Kiribati for a couple of days only. Even World Bank managers agree that a lot of money has been wasted and that the needs of the population were not sufficiently considered (Klepp 2014). Meanwhile, Kiribati has changed socio-economically on various levels. Arguably, this change is mainly due to aid programmes having been reframed as climate change adaptation programmes, which in turn has often resulted in a shift in responsibility from the Kiribati Government to a more abstract and ever-changing assemblage associated with climate change effects. This process is referred to by some as the 'garbage can effect', metaphorically being used to contain and pose diverse and often previously existing social and socio-ecological problems. Other programmes, such as those concerning domestic violence, may no longer be financed. One researcher has coined the phrase 'performative vulnerability' (Webber 2013), which must be enacted to receive funding from major donors. The former president of Kiribati, Anote Tong, has been especially masterful at enacting performative vulnerability in international fora, drawing on images of vulnerable sinking islands partly linked to colonial legacies of perceived weak, isolated, small islands in the Pacific (Farbotko 2010). However, Kiribati's international standing has also increased (Klepp 2014) and this has resulted in adaptation funds for many positive things, such as sanitation infrastructure, health programmes, and education. Kiribati is clearly undergoing profound change - owing to both the direct effects of the changing climate (environmental effects) and the so-called second-order effects of the changing climate (social effects) that are often also bound to discursive formations (UFZ 2008: 18). Although climate change adaptation, as realised by international donors seems to be the only viable option for survival (de Wit 2014: 57), what is missing in Kiribati are discussions about what this means for the development of the country as a whole. For example, which adaptation concepts and goals would be most appropriate and how should the growing funds for climate change adaptation be spent. These political implications, although fundamental to the future of the country, are not yet openly debated in any public fora.

Motivated by this situation and by what is happening elsewhere in the world regarding politics of climate change adaptation (Chavez-Rodriguez



Figure 1.1 Kiribati Adaptation Project's placard, Kiribati.

Source: photo: Silia Klepp.

2014: Klepp 2014: Klepp and Herbeck 2016: Klepp 2017), around 20 scholars - the majority from and/or undertaking research in the Global South - met in Oaxaca, Mexico, in September 2016 for intensive discussions on climate change adaptation discourses, policies, and practices. Two main themes arose: that cultural, social, and political diversity is more or less absent from climate change adaptation (Bravo 2009; Cameron 2012; Eriksen et al. 2015; Taylor 2015) and that the overwhelming social inequalities under which adaptation to climate change is taking place are not only ignored, but are often naturalised (Gesing et al. 2014: 5) or even strengthened (Bravo 2009; Dietz and Brunnengräber 2016). In this book we discuss how social science approaches can be used to move away from the prevailing viewpoints and so capture under-represented perspectives. We also discuss how to use these findings to imagine different possible futures.

To show how the politics of climate change adaptation can be addressed rather than ignored, an academic 're-politicising' of climate change adaptation could create a more holistic perspective: referred to by von Benda-Beckmann et al. (2009: 9) as a 'multi-sited arena of negotiation'. This includes the structural aspects, namely the networks of interactions connecting various actors and different kinds of actants (Latour 1996; Ingold 2008) and the power relations and rationalities that organise these interactions and are reproduced or changed by them (von Benda-Beckmann et al. 2009). Our approach implies a process-oriented analysis and more attention to the socio-political context (Eriksen et al. 2015; Moloney et al. 2018). It promotes climate change adaptation as a 'travelling idea' that is interpreted, localised, and modified in different settings (Weisser et al. 2014) or as 'mobile policy' locally translated through practice (Cochrane and Ward 2012: 7). We also recognise the idea that climate change is a neutral, apolitical, and universal imaginary projected by climate science, and detached from local responses to climate (Jasanoff 2010: 235) and a global imaginative resource (Hastrup and Fog Olwig 2012: 2). The various chapters of this book will explore a number of questions: which social dynamics can evolve within the framework of climate change adaptation in various spaces? Which assumptions and rationalities are inherent in mainstream climate change adaptation discourses, policies, and practices? Which patterns of use and misuse can we observe regarding climate change adaptation? Which social processes are initiated or hindered through climate change adaptation?

The rest of this introductory chapter summarises the different strands of discussion regarding a political analysis of climate change adaptation. These ideas are examined in greater detail in Chapters 2 to 15. We start by providing a short history of the term 'adaptation', in order to better understand its dramatic revival (Taylor 2015: 6) in the context of climate change, especially under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (Head 2009; Bassett and Fogelman 2013; Watts 2015). We then examine those perspectives and lines of research that seem fruitful in scrutinising given terminologies and assumptions in climate change adaptation projects and policies and that are effective in politicising climate change adaptation. This will help us to establish how and why climate change adaptation can become such a powerful tool in the hands of the elites and, to a lesser extent, of the subaltern.

Reinventing and de-politicising adaptation through climate change

Academic understanding of socio-economic and political processes for transforming the environment first emerged in the mid-twentieth century in the context of American geography and anthropology: the Berkeley School of Cultural Geography was developing particular interest in empirical, often ethnographic, research on the interlinkage between culture, land, and environment (Watts 2015: 23). Borrowed from evolutionary biology, where it meant constant adjustment by organisms to newly arising challenges from their external environment (Taylor 2015: 3), the

term adaptation or 'adaptational strategies' was first used in cultural ecology and then found its way into the social sciences as a whole in the 1960s (Watts 2015: 28). Cultural aspects, ecological principles, and ecosystem analysis approaches were combined in writings about adaptation, for example those concerning Native Americans and their environmental history in the southwest USA (Steward 1955), the practices of ethnic groups managing their resources on the prairie of Alberta, Canada (Bennett 1969), or the padi rice systems that organise society in Bali, Indonesia (Geertz 1963). Again, shaped largely by American anthropology and geography, natural hazards literature and its notions of vulnerability (which focused on how natural hazards created social vulnerabilities) and adaptation began to appear in the 1970s and was soon criticised by a developing political ecology in ways that still sound familiar today (Bassett and Fogelman 2013).

Debate about hazards, risks, and vulnerabilities and an emphasis on social root causes such as poverty and lack of rights in colonial contexts, or on the so-called natural sources of disaster, shaped a discussion that led to fundamentally different views on adaptation (Bassett and Fogelman 2013: 44). These varying conceptualisations are an integral part of the different understandings of vulnerability that exist within international fora, in the field of development aid, and in academia. The natural-hazard approach dates back to the 1960s and is based on a long tradition of disaster research. It was particularly influenced by the early work of Gilbert F. White (a prominent American geographer), who began to analyse natural hazards and human responses (Kasperson et al. 2005: 259). By integrating knowledge of natural sciences, engineering, and social sciences, an attempt was made to explain the connections between physical system elements, such as exposure, probability, and impact of hazards (Adger 2006: 271). Nevertheless, the natural hazards literature of the 1970s and 1980s was still relatively apolitical, seeing the vulnerability of a system as the result of the intensity, frequency, and nature of external events (Dietz 2006: 14), that is, primarily implying biophysical vulnerability (Füssel 2005). The 'environment as hazard' work of Burton et al. (1978) brought together the most important elements of the natural-hazard approach. With regard to vulnerability, it established that almost all types of hazard have different effects on different social groups (Burton et al. 1978). Nevertheless, the approach was dominated by problem analysis and solutions from engineering science. As a consequence, an explanation for the differences in vulnerability shown by different groups of people, and within social groups at any one geographic location, the focus of later humanecological research, was not addressed for some years (Adger 2006: 271; Dietz 2006: 15).

In the 1970s, critiques coming mainly from a political-economy background and following social-constructivism approaches considered that nature and society should not be analysed as separate entities. They analysed

context-dependent aspects such as social structures and power relations and underlined that adaptation cannot be based on too simplistic *homo economicus* considerations of human behaviour (Dietz 2006: 14; Bassett and Fogelman 2013: 44). In the 1980s and 1990s, political ecology and researchers such as Blaikie *et al.* (1994), Dow and Downing (1995), Hewitt (1997) and Watts and Bohle (1993) began to address social vulnerability, thereby focusing on causal structures. They suggested that the vulnerability of individuals or communities to environmental change is mainly based on their position in social and political contexts (Watts and Bohle 1993; Clark *et al.* 2005).

Even if new conceptual approaches to vulnerability analysis are committed to combine social and biophysical vulnerability in an effort to overcome the separation between natural/biophysical sciences and social science analysis³ (see for example Peluso and Watts 2001), this 'great divide' (Bassett and Fogelman 2013: 44) in the conceptualisation of vulnerability was taken up again after the re-introduction of 'adaptation' to climate change after the Rio Summit in 1992 (Pelling 2011). It still persists today and leads to different understandings of legitimate adaptation strategies.

Multiple de-politicising factors in climate change adaptation

Many factors make it difficult to consider political dynamics in mainstream adaptation approaches. In this section, we address those that appear most important. Later, we discuss analytical perspectives and tools to deconstruct these factors and shed light on the politics of climate change adaptation.

What adaptation means is still open to debate. It is used in a highly contested way (Arnall *et al.* 2013; Taylor 2015) and how it is interpreted, for example in development projects, is often unclear. Following its reintroduction to the social sciences and policy debate after the Rio Summit in 1992 (Pelling 2011), Bassett and Fogelman (2013) identified three different concepts in the climate change literature: *adjustment*, *reformist*, and *transformative* approaches to adaptation. Each of the three approaches implies different strategies for reducing vulnerability.

The *adjustment* approach mostly implies top-down solutions (see Schipper 2007: 6; Hillmann *et al.* 2015: 6). The socio-political root causes of vulnerability and anthropogenic climate change are not addressed under this approach because they are hidden behind the measurable, quantifiable, and predictable global effects of climate change (Dietz and Brunnengräber 2016). Adaptation strategies comprise technological innovation and infrastructure, a growing body of knowledge, and optimising the governance and management of society (Dietz and Brunnengräber 2016). In contrast, there are approaches that define vulnerability as being far more dependent on context, and regard more radical system change, sustainable development

(Watts and Bohle 1993; Fankhauser 1998), and societal transformation as the most viable way to reduce vulnerability and strengthen adaptation capacity (Schipper 2007). Bassett and Fogelman (2013) refer to these as *reformist* or *transformative* approaches. The latter includes the idea of resolving the internal contradiction caused by an economic system that promotes growth that is energy-intensive and accelerates climate change, and that has adverse consequences for growth and human well-being now and in the future (Harvey 2010). In their analysis of IPCC reports and adaptation-focused articles in leading global change journals, Bassett and Fogelman (2013) found that the so-called adjustment approach is by far the most influential, and is used by 70 per cent of authors.

Pelling (2011) saw the debate as being driven by four main questions: what to adapt to? Who or what adapts? How does adaptation occur? What are the limits to adaptation? All of these questions have political implications linked to questions of power and resources, social inequality, and access to decision-making and information that are not easy to answer. Although the critique of the 1970s and 1980s had found its way into aspects of development aid and disaster risk reduction (such as through grassroots or empowerment approaches), it seems that many of the criticised aspects returned via the 'back door', that is, via climate change discourses and adaptation aid projects (Bassett and Fogelman 2013; Taylor 2015; Watts 2015). The rationalities that characterise current adaptation concepts are criticised as being primarily shaped by the natural sciences (Cannon and Müller-Mahn 2010; Hastrup and Fog Olwig 2012) and as ignoring aspects of climate justice as well as social, cultural, political, and economic conditions on the ground (de Wit 2014; Gesing et al. 2014; Eriksen et al. 2015; Klepp and Herbeck 2016). What seems new in the climate change adaptation literature, compared with the critique of the natural hazards literature of the 1970s and 1980s, is the focus on the agency and empowerment of vulnerable groups and a pro-poor development planning (Bassett and Fogelman 2013: 51). This is probably due to a trend to the mentioned foci that we can see in social science and development aid generally and can be seen as a politicising factor.

However, an inherent de-politicising factor of climate change adaptation and the reason why such projects are mostly linked to concepts of adjustment and not to a deeper understanding of societal change is closely linked to development aid functioning as an 'anti-politics machine' (Ferguson 1990). In order to be accepted as supposedly 'neutral' agents that have a humanitarian mission, development agencies 'disguise what are, in fact, highly partial and interested interventions as universal, disinterested and inherently benevolent' (Ferguson 1994: 181). This characterises the entire development aid apparatus (Ferguson 1994: 178) and replaces discussions and interventions on social and political inequalities and power relations with crisis narratives that describe 'development' in terms of the well-being of entire regions being threatened by a phenomenon such as famine or

climate change (Bravo 2009: 262). This reframes political questions of resource distribution and access as technical problems that must be addressed by technical 'development' interventions (Ferguson 1994: 180). Furthermore, these crisis narratives legitimise elites and decision-makers on the ground as main receivers of development aid that is often used in their own political interests (Bravo 2009). In the case of the Arctic, Bravo argued that an expert elite that comes as an 'army of consultant climate modellers, ecologists and anthropologists' (Bravo 2009: 269) together with local elites is sustaining the climate change crisis narrative. They legitimise the belief that local people must be guided by techno-managers and gain growing access to the resources that are at the core of the crisis narrative (Bravo 2009: 269). As the chapters of this book will show, the contested assets in adaptation settings are mostly land (Chapters 2, 7, 8, 9, and 11) and water (Chapters 6 and 10).

Nevertheless, we want to stress that we are not denying the risks that anthropogenic climate change poses for people, or claim that climate change exists only on a discursive level. On the contrary, we believe that all of these factors contribute to a bundle of de-politicising factors in climate change adaptation that shape our societal responses to climate change. This can make people on the ground much weaker and vulnerable to its effects, as answers and adaptive strategies must be complex and multidimensional, including our socially, politically, and culturally diverse realities. Moreover, climate change adaptation strategies should face the deeper roots of global inequalities, as Dietz (2009) reminded us.

If we speak about multiple de-politicising factors that are inherent to climate change adaptation on different scales, we must consider the 'multidimensional inequalities' (Dietz 2009) in the context of global climate change that are adversely affecting developing countries. With very low per capita emissions, these countries bear little responsibility for anthropogenic climate change and vet they remain heavily impacted by actual and expected environmental change. One important aspect that constitutes the multidimensional inequalities that Dietz is observing in the context of climate change is the violent, disempowering, and impoverishing heritage of colonialism. Nonetheless, as reported by Cameron (2012: 110), serious consideration of the colonialised context in which climate change adaptation is evolving is currently non-existent in the literature on the human dimensions of climate change. Although several authors have written about the importance of employing a colonial perspective, in Cameron's opinion they fail to account for the colonial dimensions of societal problems (Cameron 2012: 110) or to include these dimensions in climate change adaptation:

If the very factors cited as undermining Inuit capacities to adapt to climatic change are themselves a legacy of colonial interventions, then reframing Inuit vulnerability as a matter of enhancing 'local capacities',

rather than attending to the structural and systemic processes by which those capacities are continually undermined, must be challenged.

(Cameron 2012: 110)

This is even more the case when it comes to aspects of knowledge and power, as we can see in various chapters of this book (Chapters 2, 3, and 12). The dominant role of Western (natural) science in climate change adaptation often seems to sweep away local knowledge structures. As Logan Cochrane put it in his recent blog entry on Linda Tihuiwai Smith's book Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and indigenous peoples, 'even when exploitation is not explicit, there is [...] "a cultural orientation, a set of values, a different conceptualization of such things as time, space and subjectivity, different and competing theories of knowledge, highly specialized forms of language, and structures of power" (Smith 1999: 42), which act to reinforce the dominance of one way of knowing over another' (de Sousa Santos 2010; Mignolo 2012; Cochrane 2017). In Chapter 3, Daniel Morchain states that in the case of climate change adaptation, rather than climate science taking the lead, a multitude of knowledge, inside and outside academia, must be foundational. Currently, the imbalance of knowledge 'is used by powerful actors worldwide to maintain control and ownership over the development agenda' (Morchain 2017).

Moreover, in the context of knowledge and climate change adaptation, there is another pitfall: 'good' adaptation must take local contexts, values, and interpretations of 'good living' into consideration in order to make adaptation meaningful and successful in terms of assisting the most vulnerable. The inclusion of the populations affected by changes in adaptation planning and the relativisation of the dominant role of climate change sciences in favour of local (environmental) knowledge and climate change interpretations is urged by many authors (Crate and Nuttall 2009; Lazrus 2009; Kelman 2010; Crate 2011; Rudiak-Gould 2012). However, the focus on 'local' and on indigenous people and their knowledge is often accompanied by assumptions concerning 'intellectual and spatial confinement' (Appadurai 1988, quoted in Cameron 2012: 105) of this very knowledge that we must challenge in our thinking on climate change adaptation. Issues of scale that must be problematised as well as handled with care and sensitivity can remind us that our understandings of the local and the global in climate change adaptation are themselves relational productions (see Cameron 2012).

One last crucial factor in de-politicising climate change adaptation that needs to be raised here, and which is closely related to a restricted understanding of knowledge and vulnerability, is how our climate is understood as existing separately from human beings living in the world. As Taylor wrote, 'the Cartesian foundations of adaptation that dichotomise climate and society as separate yet mutually influencing systems or domains' (Taylor 2015: xiii) are responsible for a widespread understanding of

climate change as an external shock to an otherwise well-functioning society. As Hulme (2010), Taylor (2015) and others described in the 'socio-technical apparatus' that underlies the concept of climate of the IPCC and the UNFCCC and other mainstream understandings, climate seems to be an exclusively physical process that can be measured in local places that create indications for regional or global-scale climatic conditions (Bravo 2009; Taylor 2015; 27). Historically experienced and represented as an entanglement of physical conditions and human knowledge and practices, today an abstract and de-politicised understanding of climate forms the condition in which narratives of climate change adaptation are evolving, as Astrid Ulloa shows in Chapter 12. She examines why it seems so difficult to inscribe questions of power, inequalities, and politics into climate change adaptation. One answer comes from political ecology: because contrary to binary understandings of human/environment relations, concepts of how we act in the world in relationship with the environment, the climate, and its changes are inherently political.

Climate change adaptation politics on a global level

The IPCC and the UNFCCC, themselves informed by science, have always greatly influenced research and implementation of climate change adaptation projects and policies at various levels (Pelling 2011: 17). The IPCC was founded in 1988 and its assessment reports are based on a procedure of scientific consensus. It was mainly created for consolidating knowledge for decision-makers. Since its first assessment report in 1990, adaptation has played an ever-growing role and IPCC definitions have formed the adaptation agenda of the UNFCCC. Since the second IPCC assessment report in 1995, the idea that the severity of the threats posed by climate change to people and ecosystems is dependent on their 'vulnerability' has grown in importance (Liverman 2009: 284). In the course of this development:

the locus of western moral concern moved (and not for the first time) from temperate to tropical and polar geographies, and the threatened worlds of other species of plant and animal as a sign for life on earth as whole.

(Cosgove 2008, as quoted in Daniels and Endfield 2009: 216)

Here we find another aspect that made and makes climate change adaptation an 'urgent' matter, where in a type of modernist backlash technical solutions are transferred from the Global North to countries of the Global South without much negotiation.

Although mentioned in UNFCCC documents since the Rio Summit in 1992, it is following the 2001 UNFCCC Conference of the Parties that adaptation to climate change, particularly in the Global South, has become

a fundamental principle of global climate policy (Bassett and Fogelman 2013: 42). The UNFCCC established a governance and management structure to support low- and middle-income countries in adapting to climate change. Bilateral and multilateral institutions, such as the development banks and other UN agencies, are included in this structure. In 2001, the Adaptation Fund was established to finance adaptation projects and programmes in countries of the Global South that are parties to the Kyoto Protocol. The Adaptation Fund is financed through a share of proceeds from the Clean Development Mechanism project activities and other sources of funding. The Global Environment Facility is one of the main financial mechanisms that serves as a delivery body for adaptation projects under the UNFCCC, including small-scale projects and programmes. Another milestone is the Cancun Adaptation Framework that was adopted at the 2010 Climate Change Conference in Cancun, Mexico (COP16/ CMP6). In the agreements, member countries affirmed that adaptation must be addressed with the same level of priority as mitigation. The national adaptation plan (NAP) process was established to support member countries in formulating and implementing national adaptation plans (NAPs) and to identify adaptation needs. National adaptation programmes of action (NAPAs) provide a process for least developed countries to recognise priority activities to adapt to climate change. All such programmes and institutions have great political influence on different levels. For example, Dietz (2009) described how NAPAs can set and reset political agendas of national elites in the name of climate change adaptation (de Wit, Chapter 2). A general criticism is that the emerging management and infrastructure for supporting climate change adaptation at the UNFCCC level is organised around existing power structures and favours banking interests and technical-fix solutions. Instead of being a driver for change or transformative action, these mechanisms are supporting the status quo (Pelling 2011).

Particularly since the shift from mitigation to adaptation in the climate change discourse of the early 2000s (Smith 2013: 28), ideas of adaptation have been regarded as supposedly neutral drivers of action and appear to be the only possibility for ensuring the continuation of the human species on earth (de Wit 2014: 57). The refashioning from stopping climate change towards adapting to it is itself profoundly political (Cameron 2012: 107). Even if that does not mean that mitigation is completely abandoned, the focus on adaptation has changed thinking about the human dimensions of climate change from a problem of environmental politics and justice on the global scale to something that should be tackled at a more local level, for example in 'community-based focus groups' (Cameron 2012: 109). In this case, actions would be concentrated in the local, as if adaptation capacities were only a matter of enhancing local resilience, targeted through technical intervention and expertise by Western consultants, ignoring structural and systemic processes (frequently linked to legacies of colonial intervention)

of climate change and vulnerability (Cameron 2012: 110). It has also made the social effects of climate change accessible and 'governable' for international politics and development aid (Cameron 2012: 110).

In 2007, the IPCC defined adaptation as 'the adjustment in natural or human systems in response to actual or expected climatic stimuli or their effects, which moderates harm or exploits beneficial opportunities' (IPCC 2007: 27). Here the root causes of social vulnerabilities are framed in terms of exogenous environmental drivers that must be dealt with. Dietz and Brunnengräber saw this 2007 IPCC definition as the most influential reference for climate policy today (2016: 128). However, the critical literature associated with different perspectives on climate change adaptation has grown rapidly since 2000, with a clear recent trend for understanding this more and more as a complex social process (Eriksen et al. 2015). More differentiated and contextual understandings of vulnerability to climate change found their way into different chapters of the latest (2014) IPCC assessment report, while other chapters still understood adaptation to be 'the adoption of specific technologies, programs, policies or measures to address climate risk' (Eriksen et al. 2015: 525). These conceptual tensions will probably endure (ibid.: 526). They are accompanied by different understandings of climate change as an inherently political problem that calls us to change lifestyles and politics on different scales or, in contrast, as a local or regional problem to which people on the ground should adapt (see Cameron 2012; Klepp and Herbeck 2016). Generally, the historically high greenhouse gas emissions of industrialised countries and commensurate responsibilities for observable and expected changes in global climate have been subsumed under the notion of 'shared, but differentiated responsibilities'. This has not, however, resulted in far-reaching political concessions towards the so-called developing countries of the world. Rather, global power structures themselves have complicated negotiations about 'fair' goals of climate politics and about compensatory payments for adaptation measures (Parks and Roberts 2010). It remains to be seen whether newer concepts such as 'loss and damage' (McNamara et al. 2018) or the Paris Agreement (which establishes new instruments such as the nationally determined contributions [NDCs]) will bring fundamental change.

Operationalising climate change adaptation through vulnerability and resilience thinking

At present, the impact of climate change on society is primarily framed in terms of adaptation, vulnerability, and resilience. In terms of operationalising adaptation concepts, notions of vulnerability and resilience are particularly powerful in their practical meaning for governance on the ground. They are translated into political measures and adaptation projects, which are implemented at the local, national, regional, and transnational level

(Bravo 2009: 258). Concepts of vulnerability and resilience were used to describe the choices available to people and ecosystems in response to (and adaptation to) natural events well before discussion of climate change began (Gaillard 2007: 522). These concepts are closely associated with each other and are difficult to disentangle. In the context of climate change, both concepts are the subject of competing definitions, which are often not made transparent when they are used (Fog Olwig 2009: 314; Kelman 2010). They define the social and cultural effects of climate change using a vocabulary of ecological risks and within an ecosystemic perspective, which fails to reflect the complexity of human societies (Brayo 2009: 259). As a result, the primarily political and normative issues raised by climate change adaptation are framed in de-politicising natural science and ecosystem terms (Hastrup 2009: 26; Felli and Castree 2012; Gesing et al. 2014). In the context of climate change, they frame the categories within which adaptation thinking takes place. These concepts must be challenged to allow space for alternative ideas about tackling climate change or for alternative societal reactions to be developed (Dreher and Voyer 2014).

The following discussion outlines and examines the discourses relating to the concepts of vulnerability and resilience. The focus is on outlining the main points of criticism of these concepts, and on illustrating the conditions that they have created for negotiating climate change adaptation mainly in countries of the Global South. The chapter authors adopt such perspectives, and several case studies reflect the influence of the conceptualisations of vulnerability and resilience in shaping climate change adaptation.

Vulnerability and climate change

Abundant use has been made of the concept of vulnerability since the very beginnings of climate change research. In its 2007 assessment report, the IPCC defined vulnerability as:

the degree to which a system is susceptible to, and unable to cope with, adverse effects of climate change, including climate variability and extremes. Vulnerability is a function of the character, magnitude, and rate of climate change and variation to which a system is exposed, its sensitivity, and its adaptive capacity.

(IPCC 2007: 27)

In the following, we trace some of the vulnerability narratives that connect to the effects of global climate change. Why are these narratives so powerful? The historical importance of climate change discourses is seen by Liverman to lie 'in their impact on the environmental, energy and economic development of many countries, [...] and the structuring of a new set of international relations around responsibility for causing and solving climate change' (Liverman 2009: 282).

Numerous authors have criticised the way in which discussion of appropriate adaptation to climate change is dominated by the supposedly objective, hard-data-based, neutral solutions offered by the natural sciences (e.g. Barnett and Campbell 2010; Tanner and Allouche 2011) and 'geographic objects' (Barnett and Campbell 2010: 2), such as coastlines, sealevel rise or precipitation data. The devastating consequences of climate change predicted by natural scientists also shape media and academic discourses on climate change, for example in Oceania and Africa. Very little attention is paid to inhabitants' own interpretations of climate change or to the potential strategies for responding which they have traditionally used or are currently using (Barnett and Campbell 2010: 21; de Wit 2014, Chapter 12 (this volume); Ulloa Chapter 12 (this volume)). Development states are pictured by Western media, academics, and international cooperation as marginalised, vulnerable and in need of development, as well as poor and not equipped for economic growth. These constructions are an expression of constellations of knowledge and power, which have been reconfigured in the context of climate change.

The vulnerability discourses linked to the effects of climate change tend to emphasise the internal deficits of countries of the Global South. The threat of climate change, it is implied, can only be averted and the problems of powerless groups solved by an active 'invulnerable expert' (Barnett and Campbell 2010: 162) who interprets what would be good for them. The behaviour of industrialised countries, whose emissions are considered to have caused climate change in the first place, is blended out by shifting the locus of the problem to the countries of the Global South (Barnett and Campbell 2010: 2). In this way, it becomes clear how concepts of vulnerability, which are implemented as part of international cooperation, produce and reproduce unequal power relationships within the knowledge–power nexus. Ideas about adaptation to climate change, which do not necessarily correlate with the needs and preferences of people on the ground, can be forced through into practice.

Resilient citizens?

In parallel form, since the beginning of the century discourses of adjustment to climate change have increasingly moved away from the concept of vulnerability to privilege the concept of resilience instead (Walker *et al.* 2002, 2006; Smith 2013: 28; Weichselgartner and Kelman 2015). In contrast to the widely criticised concept of vulnerability, resilience appears to have the potential to cope more effectively with the uncertainties inherent in climate science (Barnett 2001: 984), is better able to take account of specific local features (Bravo 2009), and allows for greater conceptual flexibility (Hastrup 2009: 28).

The concept of resilience in the context of ecological systems was first introduced by Crawford S. Holling in an article published in 1973

(Barnett 2001: 984; Bravo 2009: 260). This ecological context was subsequently important for transplanting the concept to climate change discourse. In its 2007 assessment report, the IPCC defined resilience as 'the ability of a social or ecological system to absorb disturbances while retaining the same basic structure and ways of functioning, the capacity for self-organization, and the capacity to adapt to stress and change' (IPCC 2007: 880). The 2012 IPCC Special Report on extreme weather events recognised resilience – together with coping – to be one of the essential conditions for the alleviation of the adverse impacts of disasters. Resilience is understood as:

the ability of a system and its component parts to anticipate, absorb, accommodate, or recover from the effects of a potentially hazardous event in a timely and efficient manner, including through ensuring the preservation, restoration, or improvement of its essential basic structures and functions.

(IPCC 2012: 34)

One of the advantages of resilience is that the term provides a shared vocabulary which enables policies and initiatives in various areas and at different levels to be brought together and compared (Bravo 2009: 258). The concept has been used since the 1970s as a technical term in several disciplines, such as engineering and child psychology. It has also been used in the field of disaster research (Gaillard 2010: 220). In the field of ecology, it is trendsetting mainly because of the resilience theory developed through the later theoretical and empirical work of Holling, and in collaboration with other researchers such as Lance Gunderson and Brian Walker. The resilience theory has been further developed and expanded to comprise social-ecological systems (see Gunderson and Holling 2002; Walker et al. 2006). This was mainly accomplished through the work of the international research network Resilience Alliance, founded by Holling in 1999 (Resilience Alliance 2015). The danger, however, is that a concept is seen to have emerged from ecology, which is rooted in a common, worldwide understanding of the framework and interpretation of climate change (Bravo 2009: 258). This implies a systemic perspective which understands ecosystems as being closed systems (Hastrup 2009: 20; see also Crate 2011: 186).

Cannon and Müller-Mahn (2010) regarded the concept as being insufficiently differentiated in relation to the effects of climate change and as inadequate for capturing people's multifaceted, dynamic livelihoods. As is the case with the concept of vulnerability,⁴ the concept of resilience excludes the possibility of rethinking the relationships and processes of coproduction of natural and social orders (Ingold 1996, quoted in Bravo 2009: 260) and of resolving the dichotomies between nature and humans. This fundamentally functionalist narrative framing of the effects of climate

change is criticised for taking material causes as its starting point, the rational human response to which is to take adaptive action (Bravo 2009: 260; Cannon and Müller-Mahn 2010). The concept of resilience obscures power relationships and socio-economic factors, such as the impact of colonialism, which play a major role in connection with environmental risk (Cannon and Müller-Mahn 2010).

Around the discourses on climate change and migration, the concept of resilience now seems to have taken a further neoliberal turn which emphasises the individual responsibility of 'more resilient' climate change subjects and migrants (Felli and Castree 2012; Klepp 2017). The 'resilient lives' (Felli and Castree 2012: 4) of individuals and communities are discussed in terms of their ability to be absorbed by foreign labour markets. The 'resilient subject' will be compelled to continually adapt to dangers supposedly beyond their control, in contrast to a subject that considers itself capable of changing the world, its structures and conditions (Evans and Reid 2013). This neoliberal thinking sees, for example, climate-induced migration as successful adaptation and a solution rather than, as was previously the case, a problem of securitisation within a discourse of environmental migration (Herbeck and Flitner 2010; Klepp and Herbeck 2016; Klepp 2017). The danger here is that the populations concerned may find themselves being selected into groups of the resilient and non-resilient, and may experience new forms of discrimination and control as the non-resilient 'others' (Gesing et al. 2014: 11), in which aspects of climate justice are excluded altogether. In the resilience discourse linked to climate change adaptation, the responsibility for successfully adapting to climate change is generally shifted to the regions, communities, and individuals that are suffering from the effects of climate change, disguising the responsibility of industrialised countries as the originators of anthropogenic climate change (see Chandler 2014; Davoudi 2016).

How does power operate in climate change adaptation? Alternative concepts, useful tools

The climate change adaptation literature today offers various alternatives to the mainstream concepts discussed above and paves multiple ways for re-politicising climate change and climate change adaptation, and puts people, their agency, and their living conditions back in the focus of analysis. They highlight the 'geographies of inequality' (Daniels and Endfield 2009: 219) in climate change adaptation in opposition to the across-the-board de-politicising of climate change and climate change adaptation, through the climate change sciences (Bravo 2009; Bettini 2013; Gesing *et al.* 2014; Methmann and Oels 2015), through international cooperation (Tanner and Allouche 2011), and to some extent as a result of disempowering concepts such as vulnerability and resilience. A standpoint that goes even further aims to supplant current vulnerability and resilience concepts

with a broader 'citizenship approach' which emphasises agency and political aspects in adaptation debates (Bravo 2009) as well as futures that show alternatives to a nation-state system that is refusing solidarity with people that are experiencing the adverse effects of climate change (Klepp and Herbeck 2016, Klepp 2017).

As previously mentioned, we suggest that re-politicising climate change adaptation should create a more holistic perspective – one of a 'multi-sited arena of negotiation' (von Benda-Beckmann *et al.* 2009: 9). This implies understanding climate change adaptation as a social process (Eriksen *et al.* 2015) that produces winners and losers. The 'processes of prioritisation and exclusion' (ibid.: 526) that are inherent in every climate change adaptation choice, 'necessarily have positive and negative effects distributed socially, spatially and through time' (ibid.: 526). Knowledge plays a crucial role in the social dynamics of prioritisation and exclusion. Western and northern scientific institutions mainly produce the knowledge that forms the basis of and validates a certain type of climate change adaptation; one that fits into international aid schemes and is often connected to or directly sells Western technical knowledge and products.

Research on a more systematic way to understand the failures of climate change adaptation projects and the rationalities of climate change adaptation more generally is about to start (see Sovacool and Linnér 2016: 2). This book contributes by collating empirical insights from a variety of settings, where climate change adaptation is studied as a powerful concept and tool in socially, culturally, and politically diverse contexts. Nonetheless, numerous approaches from critical social sciences have recently been applied in critical climate change adaptation research. Some appear to be especially popular and effective for re-politicising climate change adaptation and are addressed in this book, mainly political ecology (e.g. Taylor 2015), political economy (e.g. Sovacool and Linnér 2016), critical development studies (e.g. Cameron 2012), and science and technology studies, in relation to questions of knowledge, climate science, and technology (e.g. Jasanoff 2010).

We assume that a first step in re-politicising climate change adaptation must be to question and discuss the biopolitical implications of adaptation concepts (Cameron 2012; Taylor 2015). In our empirical research, we ask the 'classical' questions of governmentality (Foucault 1979; Walters 2012): which hidden ontologies can be found that must be carved out and historicised? What can they tell us about power relations, interests, and rationalities in adaptation settings? Which are the actors, actants, interests, and practices involved in climate change adaptation? Which worldview and mission is behind an adaptation project or policy?

At the workshop in Oaxaca we also discussed that scrutinising adaptation policies and practices and de-constructing the multiple de-politicising factors mentioned above might not be enough. In settings regarding the Global South especially, there is a need for approaches sensitive to cultural

diversity and which have post-colonial and de-colonising research perspectives. This is also linked to different ontologies regarding naturecultures and an overcoming of dichotomies between humans and their environments in our research perspectives.

Feminist approaches are also needed to explore the various and often intersectional discriminations that can be reinforced through adaptation policies and projects on the ground. Power and gender relations are often persuasive and persistent, indicating that elites frequently profit most from politics and adaptation projects implemented by international donors. From a gender perspective there are at least two important issues to consider in climate change adaptation: the representation level of women in climate change negotiations and the still widespread representation of women as victims of climate change impacts. Although women's representation does not imply gender awareness, the overwhelming lack of representation of women (such as in disaster risk management), a highly relevant policy field for climate change adaptation, reveals prevailing gender stereotypes about activities related to physical risk exposure and strength mostly assigned to men (Chavez-Rodriguez 2014: 182). Also, in international and national negotiations on climate change, particularly senior officers and decision-making posts are held by men (GenderCC 2017; see UN Women and Mary Robinson Foundation 2013). For example, at the UNFCCC COP16 in Cancun, women accounted for only 30 per cent of all delegation parties, and between 12 per cent and 15 per cent of all heads of delegations; since COP21 in Paris, the increased number of party delegates has been accompanied by a strong decrease in the share of women - to 19 per cent and 17 per cent in 2016 COP22 in Marrakesh (GenderCC 2017). This reflects the entanglement of power and gender relations, and the frequent exclusion of women from learning and working with technical issues, from socialisation to vocational guidance and professional profiles linked to climate change adaptation. Furthermore, this has consequences in terms of gender and social vulnerability to climate change; there is a danger that the over-representation of men in climate change adaptation tasks limits or prevents a consideration of experiences, views, political interests, and (alternative) perspectives of solutions of women (Röhr et al. 2008). It is therefore also unlikely that the diverse needs of men and women in highly vulnerable social groups (such as people in need of special health care) will be taken into account. The low representation of women can also be criticised from the human rights perspective, since it reveals the low level of realisation of 'climate and gender justice'. There is an unfair distribution of political participation possibilities between men and women in climate change adaptation, and an unfair distribution of damage and burdens due to climate changerelated impacts among groups of different social vulnerability. The inclusion of women is of the utmost importance, but inclusion alone, particularly at the local level, is a double-edged sword: although it can lead

to empowerment for women, which can in turn promote overall gender equity, it can also hide the risk of feminising participation at the local level, while women's workloads increase and their participation at higher levels of decision-making beyond their community is not encouraged to the same extent (Röhr et al. 2008). Debates on victimisation or agency of women are also highlighted in discussions on gender and climate change adaptation (Enarson 2007; Arora-Jonsson 2011). As Seema Arora-Jonsson (2011) suggested, it is necessary to overcome dichotomous positions in which women can only be considered as defenceless victims or as agents of change, for example in situations of disaster. Women, just like other human beings, can occupy both positions at once, which means being agents of change and being immersed in situations of disadvantage that determine their greater vulnerability compared with men (Kleinman 2007; Arora-Jonsson 2011). Thus, it is not necessary to deny such disadvantages to consider them important players in climate change adaptation at all policy levels.

The notion of intersectionality from the field of gender studies allows for a differentiated analysis of the social vulnerability to natural hazards (Chavez-Rodriguez 2014: 64) and to climate change impacts, considering a differential exposure according to socio-economic variables such as income level, education, housing and transportation conditions, and access to urban services. Furthermore, intersectionality also differentiates according to attributes inherent to the individual such as gender, age, physical and mental dis/abilities, ethnicity, and migratory condition. Intersectional approaches specially acknowledge the entangled relationships among the aforementioned lines of difference and differentiation (West and Fenstermaker 1995; Walgenbach 2007; Lykke 2010) and their role in the configuration of social vulnerability. The approach of 'processes of accumulation of disadvantages' by Mercedes González de la Rocha feature the configuration of social vulnerability (González de la Rocha 2007). According to this approach, for people with high social vulnerability, the disadvantages are generally multiple, feed back onto each other, and tend to accumulate. Examples of commonly accumulating disadvantages are little or no schooling, low income, subordination and dependency in terms of gender and generation, and difficulties in maintaining social relationships (see Wolff and De-Shalit 2007). The intersectionality concept - together with the notion of accumulation of disadvantages – seems to be necessary for a deep comprehension of the social vulnerability to climate change in order to take account of social differences and its interrelations.

Finally, post-colonial perspectives and subaltern studies offer powerful thinking alternatives for the challenge of re-politicising climate change adaptation, by urging the necessity of theoretical and epistemological distance of the Global South to Western thinking, and by fundamentally challenging deep-rooted dynamics of knowledge construction and international power relations shaped by influential historical processes of colonialisation

and imperialism articulated by notions of race and racism (Quijano 2000; Dussel 2001; see de Sousa Santos 2010; Mignolo 2012). Regarding the highly diversified human experience, Boaventura de Sousa Santos suggested that the comprehension of the world is broader than the occidental comprehension of the world (de Sousa Santos 2010: 8). He claims for the establishment of 'intercultural post imperial human rights', some of which are of critical relevance for a re-politicisation of climate change adaptation such as the rights of recognition of entities that are actually unable to bear duties, specifically nature and future generations, the right of knowledge, and the right of transformation of property rights based on solidarity notions (de Sousa Santos 2010). In the pursuit of taking theoretical and epistemological distance, Arturo Escobar (2017) - together with other Latin American scholars - explored and synthesised several voices and approaches related to notions of transformation coming from the Global South (e.g. Buen Vivir, post-development, civilisational transitions, and transitions to post-extractivism), some of which are approached in different chapters of this book. The suggestion to 're-socialise' the climate in the politics of representation of climate change in order to question the de-politicising effects of the 'rationalist account of abstract climate' (Taylor 2015: 37) connects closely to these approaches of an ontological opening in the debates on climate change and climate change adaptation. Florian Weisser et al. (2014) demanded more ontological and epistemological reflections in all climate change adaptation research, as it is mostly absent.

Broadly, what this book shows is that the mechanisms of 'prioritisation and exclusion' (Eriksen *et al.* 2015: 526) that are shown by a variety of different analytical tools follow similar rationalities, in different social, cultural, and political settings all over the world. For example in Chapter 11, Heiko Garrelts and co-authors analyse a German climate change adaptation project in terms of the power relations of different status groups. Stakeholders from a regional economic interest group managed to dominate the agenda with their economic benefits connected to regional development and partly took over the participatory decision-making process. The tendency for climate change adaptation to be dominated by economic drivers and by economically strong actors can be observed in most of the chapters.

Conclusion and chapters

This book collates a variety of perspectives on climate change adaptation. Although these perspectives vary widely in terms of subject matter, they all focus on climate change adaptation as a social process where actors on different levels are negotiating knowledge, power, and different possible futures. In synthesis, it seems to us that climate change adaptation can be seen as a magnifier – a contemporary phenomenon (Sovacool and Linnér 2016) that, also in the form of a biopolitical tool, is connected closely to

the most powerful narratives of our time. It represents a new paradigm in North-South cooperation (Weisser et al. 2014) and a new arena in which North-South relations are negotiated and reconfigured. In the field of climate change adaptation, humanity is called to deal with the socioecological crisis that it has produced. To theorise climate change adaptation and climate change more generally in a better way, we need new understandings in the socio-theoretical conceptualisation of 'locality, sociality and connectivity', as is asked for by Kirsten Hastrup (in press). We need to grasp, first, the increasing complexity of decision-making processes: second, the intensified (transnational) interactions, locally and globally; and third, the increasing dynamics of technological and scientific developments in the climate change and climate change adaptation context.

However, these pathways/understandings that are explored in many chapters of this book should not only be questioned on a theoreticalanalytical level, but must also be manifest on a societal level. The latest turn in climate change adaptation concepts are notions of transformation in various contexts and at different scales. Transformative adaptation can become just another buzzword in climate change adaptation, or it can become a real driver for more radical socio-ecological system change (Klepp and Herbeck 2016). All chapters of this book make it very clear that climate change cannot be left to natural science; it is a deeply political, naturecultural phenomenon, and dealing with it must always be multidimensional and multidisciplinary. As Daniel Morchain put it: 'Adaptation needs to be transformational, but it can't be so unless the actors driving it radically change their own ways and become more inclusive. Adaptation without transformation is dangerous' (Morchain 2016). Its manifold effects can be observed throughout this book: adaptation without transformation means business as usual in development aid and a cementation of power relations, including a worsening of social inequalities. It remains to be seen as to which direction climate change adaptation will take.

This book comprises five parts. A brief overview of each major section and its component chapters now follows; this includes the geographical focus. Part I contains this chapter – the introduction.

The different conceptualisations of climate change adaptation and their uses and misuses are examined in Part II by Sara de Wit and Daniel Morchain. Sara de Wit (Chapter 2) shows how non-governmental organisations in northern Tanzania are interpreting ideas of adaptation. Her work explores emerging geographies of climate change adaptation as well as sedimented practices that replicate old power structures in the context of what she calls an 'adaptation imperative'. De Wit offers insights into the politics of adaptation that are brought about in the encounter between global ideas of adaptation and what it means for people on the ground. She states that '[w]hereas the government of Tanzania sees the Maasai both as environmental destroyers as well as the most vulnerable people in the face of climate change, the NGOs representing them argue that they are *masters* of adaptation instead' (de Wit, Chapter 2: 43). Daniel Morchain (Chapter 3) outlines an alternative framing of climate change adaptation that takes into account the interplay of knowledge, power, and politics. He examines the implications of the existing institutional set-up and power dynamics on adaptation debates, for example the strong influence of the IPCC versus the weaker representation of local and indigenous knowledge, and the effects of this on the adaptive and developmental potential of the targeted populations.

Part III addresses the many political economies of climate change adaptation and illustrates trade-offs between mitigation and adaptation on various scales. A vibrant literature investigates marketised attempts to limit climate change impacts. This literature has exposed the failings of market-based policies, but has also overlooked equally important components of climate governance. Chapter 4 by Sophie Webber and Emilia Kennedy refers to this gap by examining adaptation economies in the Pacific islands, and hydrocarbon economies in Alberta, Canada. The authors extend existing ideas of how actors are attempting to manage climate change in order to increase understanding of the new carbon economy and the economisation processes and logics involved in governing climate change. They demonstrate the contours and connections of a more broadly conceived category of climate change economies.

Three Mexican authors contribute to Part III: Chapter 5, authored by Ignacio Rubio C., discusses the problems arising through massive investment in tourism infrastructure. Taking the example of a small Mexican town on the coast of Oaxaca (Zipolite), he highlights the missing political and social understanding of environmental risks in times of global change. Climate change adaptation policies as they are applied in Zipolite are therefore condemned only to deliver few results.

In Chapter 6, Alejandra Navarro-Smith asks how climate change and public policy regarding climate change adaptation in the Baja California region of northwestern Mexico and southwest USA influences everyday life for the fishing Cocopah families. In addition to climate change, public water management and strict fisheries and environmental protection are aggravating their social vulnerability.

In Chapter 7, Salvador Aquino Centeno delineates the contestation of climate change mitigation politics by indigenous communities with solid experience in forest conservation and administration in the northern Sierra of Oaxaca, Mexico – a region historically impacted by forest extraction. He shows how a top-down institutional framework (including environmental laws, discourses of legitimation of climate change mitigation, and the enforcement of carbon sequestration strategies and forest degradation prevention measures) has been incorporated and indeed contested by indigenous communities through new forms of social organisation and a renewal of their community sense.

The final chapter of Part III, by Jonas Hein and Yvonne Kunz (Chapter 8), considers trade-offs between climate change mitigation and adaptation, and between mitigation policy objectives and violent conflicts, water scarcity, and biodiversity loss at Sumatra's oil palm frontier in Jambi province. Using two case studies, the authors show how climate policy changes geographies of resource access and control and how certain actors may become marginalised, making them more vulnerable to environmental change.

Different adaptation settings and conflicting meanings and understandings of climate change adaptation are analysed in Part IV: in Chiapas, in the Gulf of Mexico, in Germany, and in Colombia. The failures of adaptation projects are given particular attention in Part IV.

In Chapter 9, Celia Ruiz de Oña Plaza reviews historical trends in coffee plantations and conservation strategies in the Tacana Volcano Biosphere Reserve, located at the Mexican–Guatemalan border. The author argues that incipient climate change adaptation projects in territories that have for years experienced mitigation strategies (based on environmental payments) encounter significant inertia towards maintaining a monetised approach to combat climate change.

Focusing on fishing communities in the Gulf of Mexico, Luz María Vázquez (Chapter 10) analyses Mexican government climate change adaptation narratives through the study of five coastal communities located in the Mexican state of Tabasco, identifying a discrepancy between what government initiatives propose as potential strategies to promote climate change adaptation, and the views of fishers about interrelated local issues. She argues that government initiatives that are presented as neutral strategies to help communities become better equipped to face climate change impacts are contentious, and that their implementation may face challenges of which the government is unaware when they define the climate change problem.

In Chapter 11, Heiko Garrelts, Johannes Herbeck, and Michael Flitner use a case study in northwest Germany to explore the chances and challenges of regional broad-based participatory governance projects relevant to climate change adaptation. They also point to the productivity of the conflicts that emerge once stakeholders 'leave the comfort zone'. Customary practices and political narratives were questioned during the project, and the possibility raised for new approaches towards climate change and climate change adaptation.

Astrid Ulloa (Chapter 12) contributes to conceptual discussions about adaptation, questioning fixed notions of climate change adaptation and raising the importance of historical, political, and cultural dimensions. Ulloa asserts that the culturally given causes and answers to climate change respond to conceptions about the non-human. Her analysis draws on work carried out in indigenous contexts in Colombia and she argues for the need to include cultural perspectives on climate change in public policy.

The book concludes with Part V, in which Crate (Chapter 13) and Hemstock and co-authors (Chapter 14) describe concrete ideas and practices

for change in development aid and climate change adaptation research, to show how those aspects of climate change adaptation that were criticised in preceding chapters can be tackled.

Susan A. Crate (Chapter 13) describes a community collaborative partnership to develop intranet atlases of community change, to empower local communities to monitor change in all its forms, develop plans of action, and move forward with appropriate responses. She based her ideas on pilot studies undertaken in two Arctic contexts – a post-Soviet community in northeastern Russia and a coastal community in Labrador, Canada – and concluded that this approach for community self-monitoring of change drove enough community interest to warrant further development.

In Chapter 14, Sarah Hemstock and co-authors describe how a regional project financed by the European Union tackles different problems in the realm of disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation in Oceania. Based on technical vocational training and capacity-building, a community of practitioners is trained in strategies of disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation. In this way, local communities get formal education certificates, resources can be used for staff with local knowledge (often making foreign consultants superfluous), and the overall resilience of communities is strengthened.

In the concluding chapter to the book, Chapter 15, Sybille Bauriedl and Detlef Müller-Mahn focus on the political aspects of critical adaptation research, by discussing the key arguments of the various contributions and asking why we need a critical adaptation research. Is not adaptation always an act of criticism on unsustainable use of nature? What are the specific perspectives of critical approaches? What is the political in critical adaptation research?

Although the material presented here may be approached from the perspective of individual chapters and their focus on a particular aspect of the current debate, for a more complete understanding of the difficulties and shortcomings of climate change adaptation and the interconnections between different discourses, politics, and practices, it is better to approach the book differently – it is recommended to approach the book from a more holistic perspective viewing the chapter-specific material more as part of a whole. Nonetheless, what is most important to us at this point is an invitation to our readers to question conceptualisations of climate change adaptation in different adaptation settings, to further advance critical research approaches on climate change adaptation, and to develop solutions for our collective futures that are based on solidarity, emancipation, and fairness, and which take climate justice aspects into account.

Notes

1 This introduction has profited very much from the work of our colleagues who were so kind as to review it: Alejandro Camargo, Hartmut Fuenfgeld, Florian

- Dünckmann, Robert Hassink, and Jonas Hein. Thank you for this! We are also grateful to our student assistants Cynthia Aurich, Lucas Wogawa, Sarah Hartwig, and Ana González.
- 2 Following Lukes (2005), Gaventa (2006), and Hein (2016), we understand power as a dynamic social relationship which operates across scales, space and time. Lukes (2005) differentiates between three dimensions of power: visible power, hidden power, and invisible power. Put simply, visible power 'may be understood primarily by looking at who prevails in bargaining over the resolution of key issues' (Gaventa 1982: 14). It refers to resources, such as financial and natural resources and social and political capital, such as being part of or the leader of a (more or less powerful) political party. Hidden power can be described as the ability to set the agenda, to influence the values, beliefs, and procedures that are thinkable and practicable. This often means the exclusion of certain actors and mechanisms of disciplining others. The third dimension of power, invisible power, refers to internalised domination, subordination, and the acceptance of social inequalities as the order of things. The third dimension refers also to the Gramscian concept of hegemony (Hein 2016). It is mostly invisible power that influences how marginalised people interpret and accept the dominant social production of nature, space, and resources (Gaventa 1982: 16-19).
- 3 This understanding of vulnerability is known as cross-scale integrated vulnerability. Integrative approaches take into account comprehensive definitions of vulnerability (Füssel 2005). Several new conceptual frameworks for analysing vulnerability have emerged; within the more influential ones are the model of 'double structure of vulnerability' from Hans-Georg Bohle (Bohle 2001), the approach 'environmental criticality' by Kasperson et al. (2005), the analytical work 'Syndromes of Global Change' of the research group led by Hans Joachim Schellnhuber (Schellnhuber et al. 1997), the work on the vulnerability of coupled social-ecological systems of the research network Resilience Alliance around Crawford S. Holling (Gotts 2007; Gunderson and Holling 2002; Walker et al. 2002, 2006), and the hazard-of-place framework, established by Susan L. Cutter (1996).
- 4 According to Clark et al. (2005), vulnerability can be defined 'as people's differential incapacity to deal with hazards, based on the exposition of groups and individuals within both the physical and socials worlds'. It is a function of two characteristics as follows: 'Exposure (the risk of experiencing a hazardous event)' and 'Coping ability, subdivided into resistance (the ability to absorb impacts and continue functioning) and resilience (the ability to recover from losses after an impact)' (Clark et al. 2005: 198). Both characteristics are considered, at least partly, as socially constructed:

exposure [...] is partly socially constructed in that existing land use and daily commuting patterns, to name but two exposure variables, are social and temporal phenomena [...]. Coping ability [...] is influenced by a large list of variables identified by sociologists, geographers, political scientists and other investigators.

(Clark et al. 2005: 199)

These variables include age, disability, family structure and social networks, housing and built environment, income and material resources, lifelines (including transportation and communication, building equipment and appliances, emergency response, and hospitals), employment, as well as race and ethnicity (Clark et al. 2005: 199, 204).

References

- Adger, W.N., 2006. Vulnerability. *Global Environmental Change*, 16 (3), 268–81. Appadurai, A., 1988. Putting hierarchy in its place. *Cultural Anthropology*, 3, 36–49.
- Arnall, A., Kothari, U., and Kelman, I., 2013. Introduction to politics of climate change: discourses of policy and practice in developing countries. *The Geographical Journal*, 18 (43), 11.
- Arora-Jonsson, S., 2011. Virtue and vulnerability: Discourses on women, gender and climate change. *Global Environmental Change, Special Issue on the Politics and Policy of Carbon Capture and Storage*, 21 (2), 744–51.
- Barnett, J., 2001. Adapting to climate change in Pacific island countries: The problem of uncertainty. World Development, 29 (6), 977–93.
- Barnett, J., and Campbell, J., 2010. Climate Change and Small Island States: Power, knowledge and the South Pacific. London: Earthscan.
- Bassett, T.J., and Fogelman, C., 2013. Déjà vu or something new? The adaptation concept in the climate change literature. *Geoforum*, 48, 42–53.
- Benda-Beckmann, F. v., von Benda-Beckmann, K., and Griffiths, A., 2009. Mobile people, mobile law: An introduction. *In:* F. von Benda-Beckmann, K. von Benda-Beckmann, and A. Griffiths, eds, *Mobile People, Mobile Law: Expanding legal relations in a contracting world.* Farnham: Ashgate.
- Bennett, J., 1969. Northern Plainsmen: Adaptive strategy and agrarian life. New York: Aldine.
- Bettini, G., 2013. Climate barbarians at the gate? A critique of apocalyptic narratives on 'climate refugees'. *Geoforum*, 45, 63–72.
- Blaikie, P., et al., 1994. At Risk: Natural hazards, people's vulnerability and disasters. New York: Routledge.
- Bohle, H.-G., 2001. Vulnerability and criticality: Perspectives from social geography. *IHDP-Update*, 2, 1–5.
- Bravo, M.T., 2009. Voices from the sea ice: The reception of climate impact narratives. *Journal of Historical Geography*, 35 (2), 279–96.
- Burton, I., Kates, R.W., and White, G.F., 1978. The Environment as Hazard. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cameron, E.S., 2012. Securing indigenous politics: A critique of the vulnerability and adaptation approach to the human dimensions of climate change in the Canadian Arctic. *Global Environmental Change*, 22 (1), 103–14.
- Cannon, T., and Müller-Mahn, D., 2010. Vulnerability, resilience and development discourses in context of climate change. *Natural Hazards*, 55 (3), 621–35.
- Chandler, D., 2014. Beyond neoliberalism: Resilience, the new art of governing complexity. *Resilience*, 2 (1), 47–63.
- Chavez-Rodriguez, L., 2014. Climate Change and Gender: The significance of intersectionality in the social vulnerability in regions under flood risk (Orig. German title: Klimawandel und Gender: Zur Bedeutung von Intersektionalität für die soziale Vulnerabilität in überflutungsgefährdeten Gebieten). Opladen, DE: Budrich UniPress.
- Clark, G.E., et al., 2005. Assessing the vulnerability of coastal communities to extreme storms: The case of Revere, Massachusetts, US. In: J.X. Kasperson and R.E. Kasperson, eds, The Social Contours of Risk: Volume II: Risk analysis, corporations and the globalization of risk. London: Earthscan.

- Cochrane, A., and Ward, K., 2012. Researching the geographies of policy mobility: Confronting the methodological challenges. *Environment and Planning A*, 44, 5–12.
- Cochrane, L., 2017. Decolonizing methodologies, *Logan Cochrane*. Available from: www.logancochrane.com/index.php/decolonizing-methodologies [Accessed 19 June 2017].
- Cosgove, D., 2008. Images and imagination in twentieth-century environmentalist: From the Sierras to the Poles. *Environment and Planning A*, 40 (8), 1862–80.
- Crate, S., 2011. Climate and culture: Anthropology in the era of contemporary climate change. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 40, 175–94.
- Crate, S.A., and Nuttall, M., 2009. Introduction: Anthropology and climate change. *In*: S.A. Crate and M. Nuttall, eds, *Anthropology and Climate Change*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press, 9–39.
- Cutter, S.L., 1996. Vulnerability to environmental hazards. *Progress in Human Geography*, 20 (4), 529–39.
- Daniels, S., and Endfield, G., 2009. Narratives of climate change. *Journal of Historical Geography*, 35 (2), 215–22.
- Davoudi, S., 2016. Resilience and the governmentality of unknowns. *In*: M. Bevir, ed., *Governmentality after Neoliberalism*. New York: Routledge, 210–49.
- de Sousa Santos, B., 2010. Descolonizar el saber, reinventar el poder. Montevideo: Trilce.
- de Wit, S., 2014. Denaturalizing adaptation, resocializing the climate: Theoretical and methodological reflections on how to follow a travelling idea of climate change. *In*: F. Gesing, J. Herbeck, and S. Klepp, eds, *Denaturalizing Climate Change: Migration, mobilities and spaces*. Artec paper No. 200. Bremen: University of Bremen, 56–65.
- Deleuze, G., and Guattari, F., 1987. *A Thousand Plateaus*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Dietz, K., 2006. Vulnerabilität und Anpassung gegenüber Klimawandel aus sozialökologischer Perspektive. *In*: BMBF, ed., *Global Governance und Klimawandel*. Berlin: BMBF.
- Dietz, K., 2009. Prima Klima in den Nord-Süd-Beziehungen? Die Antinomien globaler Klimapolitik: Diskurse, Politiken und Prozesse. *In*: H.-J. Burchardt, ed., Nord-Süd-Beziehungen im Umbruch. Neue Perspektiven auf Staat und Demokratie in der Weltpolitik. Frankfurt: Campus, 183–218.
- Dietz, K., and Brunnengräber, A. 2016. Klimaanpassung. *In*: S. Bauriedl, ed., *Wörterbuch Klimadebatte*. Bielefeld: transcript Verlag, 326.
- Donner, S.D., and Webber, S., 2014. Obstacles to climate change adaptation decisions: A case study of sea-level rise and coastal protection measures in Kiribati. *Sustainability Science*, (9) 3, 331–45.
- Dow, K., and Downing, T.E., 1995. Vulnerability research: Where things stand. *Human Dimensions Quarterly*, 1, 3–5.
- Dreher, T., and Voyer, M., 2014. Climate refugees or migrants? Contesting media frames on climate justice in the Pacific. *Environmental Communication*, 9 (1), 58–76.
- Dussel, E., 2001. Eurocentrismo y modernidad. Introducción a las lecturas de Frankfurt. In: W. Mignolo, ed., Capitalismo y geopolítica del conocimiento. El eurocentrismo y la filosofía de la liberación en el debate intelectual contemporáneo. Buenos Aires: Ediciones del Signo.

- Enarson, E., 2007. *Gender Matters: Talking points on gender equality and disaster risk reduction*. Available from: www.gdnonline.org/resources/gendermatters-talkingpoints-ee04.doc [Accessed 28 November 2017].
- Eriksen, S.H., Nightingale, A.J., and Eakin, H., 2015. Reframing adaptation: The political nature of climate change adaptation. *Global Environmental Change*, 35, 523–33.
- Escobar, A., 2017. Diseño para las transiciones. Etnografías Contemporáneas, 3 (4), 32-63.
- Evans, B., and Reid, J., 2013. Dangerously exposed: The life and death of the resilient subject. *Resilience*, 1 (2), 83–98.
- Fankhauser, S., 1998. The Costs of Adapting to Climate Change. Global Environment Facility (GEF) Working Paper No. 16. Washington, DC: GEF.
- Farbotko, C., 2010. Wishful sinking: Disappearing islands, climate refugees and cosmopolitan experimentation. *Asia Pacific Viewpoint*, 51 (1), 47–60.
- Felli, R., and Castree, N., 2012. Commentary: Neoliberalising adaptation to environmental change: foresight or foreclosure? *Environment and Planning A*, 44 (1), 1–4.
- Ferguson, J., 1990. The Anti-Politics Machine: 'Development', depoliticization, and bureaucratic power in Lesotho. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Ferguson, J., 1994. The anti-politics machine: Development and bureaucratic power in Lesotho. *The Ecologist*, 24 (5), 176–81.
- Fog Olwig, M., 2009. Climate change = discourse change? Development and relief organizations' use of the concept of resilience. *In*: K. Hastrup, ed., *The Question of Resilience: Social responses of climate change*. Copenhagen: Royal Danish Academy of Sciences and Letters, 314–36.
- Foucault, J.M., 1979. The Birth of Biopolitics: Lectures at the Collège de France. In: M. Senellart, ed., 2004. New York: Palgrave.
- Füssel, H.-M., 2005. Vulnerability in climate change research: A comprehensive conceptual framework. *Breslauer Symposium*. International and Area Studies, University of California.
- Gaillard, J.-C., 2007. Resilience of traditional societies in facing natural hazards. *Disaster Prevention and Management*, 16 (4), 522–44.
- Gaillard, J.-C., 2010. Vulnerability, capacity and resilience: Perspectives for climate and development policy. *Journal of International Development*, 22 (2), 218–32.
- Gaventa, J., 1982. Power and Powerlessness: Quiescence and rebellion in an Appalachian valley. Champaign, IL: University of Illinois Press.
- Gaventa, J., 2006. Finding the spaces for change: a power analysis. *IDS bulletin*, 37 (6), 23–33.
- Geertz, C., 1963 [1974]. Agricultural Involution: The process of ecological change in Indonesia. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- GenderCC, 2017. Gender@UNFCCC [webpage]. Available from: http://gendercc.net/genderunfccc.html [Accessed 9 November 2017].
- Gesing, F., Herbeck, J., and Klepp, S., eds, 2014. *Denaturalizing Climate Change: Migration, mobilities and spaces*. Artec paper No. 200. Bremen: University of Bremen.
- González de la Rocha, M., 2007. The construction of the myth of survival. *Development and Change*, 38 (1), 45–66.
- Gotts, N.M. 2007. Resilience, panarchy, and world-systems analysis. *Ecology and Society*, 12 (1), 24. [online] Available from: www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol.12/iss1/art24/ [Accessed 29 December 2017].

Governing climate change

Adger, W.N., 2006. Vulnerability. Global Environmental Change, 16 (3), 268–281. Appadurai, A., 1988. Putting hierarchy in its place. Cultural Anthropology, 3, 36–49. Arnall, A., Kothari, U., and Kelman, I., 2013. Introduction to politics of climate change: discourses of policy and practice in developing countries. The Geographical Journal, 18 (43), 11.

Arora-Jonsson, S., 2011. Virtue and vulnerability: Discourses on women, gender and climate change. Global Environmental Change, Special Issue on the Politics and Policy of Carbon Capture and Storage, 21 (2), 744–751.

Barnett, J., 2001. Adapting to climate change in Pacific island countries: The problem of uncertainty. World Development, 29 (6), 977–993.

Barnett, J., and Campbell, J., 2010. Climate Change and Small Island States: Power, knowledge and the South Pacific. London: Earthscan.

Bassett, T.J., and Fogelman, C., 2013. Déjà vu or something new? The adaptation concept in the climate change literature. Geoforum, 48, 42–53.

Benda-Beckmann, F. v. , von Benda-Beckmann, K. , and Griffiths, A. , 2009. Mobile people, mobile law: An introduction. *In:* F. von Benda-Beckmann , K. von Benda-Beckmann , and A. Griffiths , eds, Mobile People, Mobile Law: Expanding legal relations in a contracting world. Farnham: Ashgate.

Bennett, J., 1969. Northern Plainsmen: Adaptive strategy and agrarian life. New York: Aldine. Bettini, G., 2013. Climate barbarians at the gate? A critique of apocalyptic narratives on 'climate refugees'. Geoforum, 45, 63–72.

Blaikie, P. , et al., 1994. At Risk: Natural hazards, people's vulnerability and disasters. New York: Routledge.

Bohle, H.G., 2001. Vulnerability and criticality: Perspectives from social geography. IHDP-Update, 2, 1–5.

Bravo, M.T., 2009. Voices from the sea ice: The reception of climate impact narratives. Journal of Historical Geography, 35 (2), 279–296.

Burton, I. , Kates, R.W. , and White, G.F. , 1978. The Environment as Hazard. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Cameron, E.S., 2012. Securing indigenous politics: A critique of the vulnerability and adaptation approach to the human dimensions of climate change in the Canadian Arctic. Global Environmental Change, 22 (1), 103–114.

Cannon, T., and Müller-Mahn, D., 2010. Vulnerability, resilience and development discourses in context of climate change. Natural Hazards, 55 (3), 621–635.

Chandler, D., 2014. Beyond neoliberalism: Resilience, the new art of governing complexity. Resilience, 2 (1), 47–63.

Chavez-Rodriguez, L., 2014. Climate Change and Gender: The significance of intersectionality in the social vulnerability in regions under flood risk (Orig. German title: Klimawandel und Gender: Zur Bedeutung von Intersektionalität für die soziale Vulnerabilität in überflutungsgefährdeten Gebieten). Opladen, DE: Budrich UniPress.

Clark, G.E., et al., 2005. Assessing the vulnerability of coastal communities to extreme storms: The case of Revere, Massachusetts, US. *In:* J.X. Kasperson and R.E. Kasperson, eds, The Social Contours of Risk: Volume II: Risk analysis, corporations and the globalization of risk. London: Earthscan.

Cochrane, A., and Ward, K., 2012. Researching the geographies of policy mobility: Confronting the methodological challenges. Environment and Planning A, 44, 5–12.

Cochrane, L., 2017. Decolonizing methodologies, Logan Cochrane. Available from:

www.logancochrane.com/index.php/decolonizing-methodologies [Accessed 19 June 2017].

Cosgove, D. , 2008. Images and imagination in twentieth-century environmentalist: From the Sierras to the Poles. Environment and Planning A, 40 (8), 1862–1880.

Crate, S., 2011. Climate and culture: Anthropology in the era of contemporary climate change. Annual Review of Anthropology, 40, 175–194.

Crate, S.A., and Nuttall, M., 2009. Introduction: Anthropology and climate change. *In:* S.A. Crate and M. Nuttall, eds, Anthropology and Climate Change. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press, 9–39.

Cutter, S.L., 1996. Vulnerability to environmental hazards. Progress in Human Geography, 20 (4), 529–539.

Daniels, S., and Endfield, G., 2009. Narratives of climate change. Journal of Historical Geography, 35 (2), 215–222.

Davoudi, S., 2016. Resilience and the governmentality of unknowns. *In:* M. Bevir, ed., Governmentality after Neoliberalism. New York: Routledge, 210–249.

de Sousa Santos, B., 2010. Descolonizar el saber, reinventar el poder, Montevideo: Trilce.

de Wit, S., 2014. Denaturalizing adaptation, resocializing the climate: Theoretical and methodological reflections on how to follow a travelling idea of climate change. *In:* F. Gesing, J.

Herbeck, and S. Klepp, eds, Denaturalizing Climate Change: Migration, mobilities and spaces. Artec paper No. 200. Bremen: University of Bremen, 56–65.

Deleuze, G., and Guattari, F., 1987. A Thousand Plateaus. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.

Dietz, K., 2006. Vulnerabilität und Anpassung gegenüber Klimawandel aus sozial-ökologischer Perspektive. *In:* BMBF, ed., Global Governance und Klimawandel. Berlin: BMBF.

Dietz, K., 2009. Prima Klima in den Nord-Süd-Beziehungen? Die Antinomien globaler Klimapolitik: Diskurse, Politiken und Prozesse. *In:* H.J. Burchardt, ed., Nord-Süd-Beziehungen im Umbruch. Neue Perspektiven auf Staat und Demokratie in der Weltpolitik. Frankfurt: Campus, 183–218.

Dietz, K., and Brunnengräber, A. 2016. Klimaanpassung. *In:* S. Bauriedl, ed., Wörterbuch Klimadebatte. Bielefeld: transcript Verlag, 326.

Donner, S.D., and Webber, S., 2014. Obstacles to climate change adaptation decisions: A case study of sea-level rise and coastal protection measures in Kiribati. Sustainability Science, (9) 3, 331–345.

Dow, K., and Downing, T.E., 1995. Vulnerability research: Where things stand. Human Dimensions Quarterly, 1, 3–5.

Dreher, T., and Voyer, M., 2014. Climate refugees or migrants? Contesting media frames on climate justice in the Pacific. Environmental Communication, 9 (1), 58–76.

Dussel, E., 2001. Eurocentrismo y modernidad. Introducción a las lecturas de Frankfurt. *In:* W. Mignolo, ed., Capitalismo y geopolítica del conocimiento. El eurocentrismo y la filosofía de la liberación en el debate intelectual contemporáneo. Buenos Aires: Ediciones del Signo.

Enarson, E., 2007. Gender Matters: Talking points on gender equality and disaster risk reduction. Available from: www.gdnonline.org/resources/gendermatters-talkingpoints-ee04.doc [Accessed 28 November 2017].

Eriksen, S.H., Nightingale, A.J., and Eakin, H., 2015. Reframing adaptation: The political nature of climate change adaptation. Global Environmental Change, 35, 523–533.

Escobar, A. , 2017. Diseño para las transiciones. Etnografías Contemporáneas, 3 (4), 32–63.

Evans, B. , and Reid, J. , 2013. Dangerously exposed: The life and death of the resilient subject. Resilience, 1 (2), 83-98.

Fankhauser, S., 1998. The Costs of Adapting to Climate Change. Global Environment Facility (GEF) Working Paper No. 16. Washington, DC: GEF.

Farbotko, C., 2010. Wishful sinking: Disappearing islands, climate refugees and cosmopolitan experimentation. Asia Pacific Viewpoint, 51 (1), 47–60.

Felli, R. , and Castree, N. , 2012. Commentary: Neoliberalising adaptation to environmental change: foresight or foreclosure? Environment and Planning A, 44 (1), 1–4.

Ferguson, J. , 1990. The Anti-Politics Machine: 'Development', depoliticization, and bureaucratic power in Lesotho. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.

Ferguson, J., 1994. The anti-politics machine: Development and bureaucratic power in Lesotho. The Ecologist, 24 (5), 176–181.

Fog Olwig, M., 2009. Climate change = discourse change? Development and relief organizations' use of the concept of resilience. *In:* K. Hastrup, ed., The Question of Resilience: Social responses of climate change. Copenhagen: Royal Danish Academy of Sciences and Letters, 314–336.

Foucault, J.M., 1979. The Birth of Biopolitics: Lectures at the Collège de France. *In:* M. Senellart, ed., 2004. New York: Palgrave.

Füssel, H.M., 2005. Vulnerability in climate change research: A comprehensive conceptual framework. Breslauer Symposium. International and Area Studies, University of California.

Gaillard, J.C., 2007. Resilience of traditional societies in facing natural hazards. Disaster Prevention and Management, 16 (4), 522–544.

Gaillard, J.C., 2010. Vulnerability, capacity and resilience: Perspectives for climate and development policy. Journal of International Development, 22 (2), 218–232.

Gaventa, J., 1982. Power and Powerlessness: Quiescence and rebellion in an Appalachian valley. Champaign, IL: University of Illinois Press.

Gaventa, J., 2006. Finding the spaces for change: a power analysis. IDS bulletin, 37 (6), 23–33.

Geertz, C., 1963 [1974]. Agricultural Involution: The process of ecological change in Indonesia. Berkeley. CA: University of California Press.

GenderCC, 2017. Gender@UNFCCC [webpage]. Available from:

http://gendercc.net/genderunfccc.html [Accessed 9 November 2017].

Gesing, F., Herbeck, J., and Klepp, S., eds, 2014. Denaturalizing Climate Change: Migration, mobilities and spaces. Artec paper No. 200. Bremen: University of Bremen.

González de la Rocha, M., 2007. The construction of the myth of survival. Development and Change, 38 (1), 45–66.

Gotts, N.M. 2007. Resilience, panarchy, and world-systems analysis. Ecology and Society, 12 (1), 24. [online] Available from: www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol.12/iss1/art24/ [Accessed 29 December 2017].

Gunderson, L.H., and Holling, C.S., eds, 2002. Panarchy: Understanding transformations in human and natural systems. Washington, DC: Island Press.

Harvey, D., 2010. The Enigma of Capital. London: Profile Books.

Hastrup, K., 2009. Waterworlds: Framing the question of human resilience. *In:* K. Hastrup, ed., The Question of Resilience: Social responses to climate change. Copenhagen: Royal Danish Academy of Sciences and Letters, 11–31.

Hastrup, K., in press. Towards a global imaginary? Climate change and the end of an era in the social sciences. *In:* M. Knecht, et al., eds, Decentering Europe: Postcolonial, postbloc perspectives for a reflexive European ethnology. Bielefeld, DE: Transcript.

Hastrup, K., and Fog Olwig, K., eds, 2012. Climate Change and Mobility: Global challenges to the social sciences. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Head, L., 2009. Cultural ecology: Adaptation: retrofitting a concept? Progress in Human Geography, 34 (2), 234–242.

Hein, J., 2016. Rescaling Conflictive Access and Property Relations in the Context of REDD+ in Jambi, Indonesia. Thesis (PhD). Georg August Universität Göttingen.

Herbeck, J., and Flitner, M., 2010. 'A new enemy out there?': Der Klimawandel als Sicherheitsproblem. Geographica Helvetica, 65 (3), 198–206.

Hewitt, K., 1997. Regions of Risk: A geographical introduction to disasters. London: Longman. Hillmann, F., et al., 2015. Environmental Change, Adaptation and Migration: Bringing in the region. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Hulme, M. , 2010. Cosmopolitan climates: Hybridity, foresight and meaning. Theory, Culture and Society, 27 (2–3), 267-276.

Ingold, T., 1996. The optimal forager and economic man. *In:* P. Descola and G. Pálsson, eds, Nature and Society: Anthropological perspectives. London: Routledge.

Ingold, T., 2008. When ANT meets SPIDER: Social theory for arthropods. *In:* C. Knappett and L. Malafouris, eds. Material Agency. Berlin: Springer Science + Business Media.

IPCC, 2007. Climate Change 2007: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *In:* M.L. Parry, et al., eds. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

IPCC, 2012. Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation (SREX): A special report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *In:* C.B. Field, et al., eds. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Jasanoff, S., 2010. A new climate for society. Theory, Culture & Society, 27 (2–3), 233–253.

Kasperson, J.X., et al., 2005. Vulnerability to global environmental change. *In:* J.X. Kasperson and R.E. Kasperson, eds, The Social Contours of Risk. Volume II: Risk analysis, corporations and the globalization of risk. London: Earthscan.

Kelman, I., 2010. Hearing local voices from small island developing states for climate change. Local Environment, 15 (7), 605–619.

Kleinman, S., 2007. Feminist Fieldwork Analysis. Qualitative Research Methods Series. London: SAGE.

Klepp, S., 2014. Small island states and the new climate change movement: The case of Kiribati. *In:* M. Dietz and H. Garrelts, eds, Routledge Handbook of the Climate Change Movement. New York: Routledge, 308–319.

Klepp, S., 2017. Climate change and migration. Oxford Research Encyclopaedia of Climate Science [online]. Available from:

http://climatescience.oxfordre.com/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228620.001.0001/acrefore-9780190228620-e-42 [Accessed 3 April 2017].

Klepp, S., and Herbeck J., 2016. The politics of environmental migration and climate justice in the Pacific region. Journal of Human Rights and the Environment, 7 (1), 54–73.

Latour, B., 1996. On actor-network theory: A few clarifications. Soziale Welt, 47 (4), 369–382.

Lazrus, H., 2009. The governance of vulnerability: Climate change and agency in Tuvalu, South Pacific. *In:* S.A. Crate and M. Nuttal, eds, Anthropology and Climate Change: From encounters to actions. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press, 240–249.

Liverman, D., 2009. Conventions of climate change: Constructions of danger and the dispossession of the atmosphere. Journal of Historical Geography, 35 (2), 279–296.

Lukes, S., 2005. Power: A radical view. 2nd edition. New York: Palgrave.

Lykke, N. , 2010. Feminist Studies: A guide to intersectional theory, methodology and writing. New York: Routledge.

McNamara, K.E., et al., 2018. The complex decision-making of climate-induced relocation: Adaptation and loss and damage. Climate Policy, 18 (1), 111–117.

Methmann, C., and Oels, A., 2015. From 'saving' to 'empowering' climate refugees: Rendering climate-induced migration governable through resilience. Security Dialogue, 46 (1), 51–68.

Mignolo, W.D., 2012. Local Histories/Global Designs. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Moloney, S., Fuenfgeld, H., and Granberg, M., eds, 2018. Local Action on Climate Change: Opportunities and constraints. New York: Routledge.

Morchain, D., 2016. Why must climate change be de-naturalised and re-politicised and what does that mean? [online]. Available from: www.climateprep.org/stories/2016/10/13/why-must-climate-change-be-de-naturalised-and-re-politicised-and-what-does-that-mean?rg=daniel%20morchain [Accessed 20 June 2017].

Morchain, D., 2017. Does power push knowledge when it comes to development in arid and semi-arid areas? [online]. Available from: www.assar.uct.ac.za/news/does-power-push-knowledge-when-it-comes-development-arid-and-semi-arid-areas [Accessed 19 June 2017]. Parks, B.C., and Roberts, J.T., 2010. Climate change, social theory and justice. Theory,

Culture and Society, 27 (2–3), 134–166.

Pelling, M. , 2011. Adaptation to Climate Change: From resilience to transformation. Milton Park: Routledge.

Peluso, N.L. , and Watts, M. , eds, 2001. Violent Environments. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Quijano, A., 2000. Colonialidad del poder y clasificación social. Journal of World-Systems Research. Festschrift for Immanuel Wallerstein, part I, VI.2, 342–386.

Resilience Alliance, 2015. About [webpage]. Available from:

www.resalliance.org/index.php/about ra [Accessed 28 November 2017].

Röhr, U., et al., 2008. Gender Justice as the Basis for Sustainable Climate Policies: A feminist background paper. Bonn: Genanet – focal point Gender, Environment, Sustainability, German NGO Forum on Environment and Development.

Rudiak-Gould, P., 2012. Promiscuous corroboration and climate change translation: A case study from the Marshall Islands. Global Environmental Change, 22 (1), 46–54.

Schellnhuber, H.J., et al., 1997. Syndromes of global change. GAIA – Ecological Perspectives for Science and Society, 6 (1), 18–33.

Schipper, E.L., 2007. Climate change adaptation and development: Exploring the linkages. Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, WP 107.

Smith, L.T., 1999. Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and indigenous peoples. London: Zed Books.

Smith, R., 2013. Should they stay or should they go? A discourse analysis of factors influencing relocation decisions among the outer islands of Tuvalu and Kiribati. Journal of New Zealand and

Pacific Studies, 1 (1), 23–39.

Sovacool, B.K., and Linnér, B.O., 2016. The Political Economy of Climate Change Adaptation. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan UK.

Steward, J., 1955. The Theory of Culture Change. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.

Storey, D., and Hunter, S., 2010. Kiribati: An environmental 'perfect storm'. Australian Geographer, 41 (2), 167–181.

Tanner, T., and Allouche, J., 2011. Towards a new political economy of climate change and development. IDS Bulletin, 42 (3), 1–14.

Taylor, M., 2015. The Political Ecology of Climate Change Adaptation: Livelihoods, agrarian change and the conflicts of development. London: Routledge.

UFZ, 2008. Deutsche Anpassungsstrategie (DAS) an den Klimawandel – Bericht zum Nationalen Symposium zur Identifizierung des Forschungsbedarfs, 27–28 August 2008. Conference proceedings. Leipzig, DE: Helmholtz-Zentrum für Umweltforschung.

UN Women and Mary Robinson Foundation – Climate Justice , 2013. The Full View: Advancing the goal of gender balance in multilateral and intergovernmental processes. UN Women [online]. Available from: www.mrfcj.org/pdf/2013-06-07_The-Full-View.pdf [Accessed 9 November 2017].

Walgenbach, K., 2007. Gender als interdependente Kategorie: neue Perspektiven auf Intersektionalität, Diversität und Heterogenität. Opladen, DE: Budrich.

Walker, B., et al., 2002. Resilience Management in Social-ecological Systems: A working hypothesis for a participatory approach conservation ecology [online], 6. Available from: www.consecol.org/vol.6/iss1/art14/ [Accessed 16 April 2013].

Walker, B., et al., 2006. Exploring resilience in social-ecological systems through comparative studies and theory development: Introduction to the special issue. Ecology and Society, 11 (1): 12. [online] Available from: www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol.11/iss1/art12/ [Accessed 28 November 2017].

Walters, W., 2012. Governmentality: Critical encounters (Vol. 3). New York: Routledge.

Watts, M.J., 2015. Now and then: The origin of political ecology and the rebirth of adaptation as a form of thought. *In:* T. Perreault, G. Bridge, and J. McCarthy, eds, The Routledge Handbook of Political Ecology. New York: Routledge, 19–51.

Watts, M.J., and Bohle, H.G., 1993. The space of vulnerability: The causal structure of hunger and famine. Progress in Human Geography, 17 (1), 43–67.

Webber, S., 2013. Performative vulnerability: Climate change adaptation policies and financing in Kiribati. Environment and Planning A, 45 (11), 2717–2733.

Weichselgartner, J., and Kelman, I., 2015. Geographies of resilience – Challenges and opportunities of a descriptive concept. Progress in Human Geography, 39 (3), 249–267.

Weisser, F., et al., 2014. Translating the 'adaptation to climate change' paradigm: The politics of a travelling idea in Africa. The Geographical Journal, 180 (2), 111–119.

West, C., and Fenstermaker, S., 1995. Doing difference. Gender & Society, 9 (1), 8-37.

Wolff, J., and De-Shalit, A., 2007. Disadvantage. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

A clash of adaptations

Arnall, A., Kothari, U., and Kelman, I., 2015. Introduction to the politics of climate change: Discourses of policy and practice in developing countries. The Geographical Journal, 180 (2), 98–101.

Ayers, J.M., and Huq, S., 2009. Supporting adaptation to climate change: What role for official development assistance? Development Policy Review, 27, 675–692.

Baldwin, A. , 2016. Premediation and white affect: Climate change and migration in critical perspective. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, 41, 78–90.

Ban Ki-Moon, 2009. Adapting to climate change. UN Secretary General's Address in Ulaanbaatar (Mongolia), 27 July.

Bankoff, G., 2001. Rendering the world unsafe: 'Vulnerability' as Western discourse. Disasters, 25 (1), 19–35.

Boko, M., et al., 2007. Africa. Climate Change 2007: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *In:* M.L. Parry, et al., eds. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 433–467.

Burton, I.H. , et al., 2002. From impact assessment to adaptation priorities: The shaping of adaptation policy. Climate Policy, 2 (2-3), 145–159.

Bwagalilo, F. , and Mwakipesile, A. , 2012. Impacts of Climate Change and Land Use Patterns and Livelihoods of Pastoralists and Hunter Gatherers: A case study of Mbulu, Hanang, Kiteto and Simanjiro Districts, submitted to PINGO's Forum.

Cannon, T., and Müller-Mahn, D., 2010. Vulnerability, resilience, and development discourses in context of climate change. Natural Hazards, 55 (3), 621–635.

Czarniawska, B. , 2002. A Tale of Three Cities, or the Glocalization of City Management. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Czarniawska, B., and Sevón G., 2005. Introduction to Global Ideas: How ideas, objects, and practices travel in the global economy. Malmö: Liber.

de Wit, S., 2014. Denaturalizing adaptation, resocializing the climate: Theoretical and methodological considerations on how to follow a travelling idea of climate change. *In:* F. Gesing, J. Herbeck, and S. Klepp, eds, Denaturalizing Climate Change: Migration, mobilities and space, 56–64. Artec-paper 200.

de Wit, S. , 2015. Global Warning: An ethnography of the encounter between global and local climate-change discourses in the Bamenda Grassfields, Cameroon. Leiden, NL: African Studies Centre.

de Wit, S., 2017. Love in Times of Climate Change: How an idea of adaptation to climate change travels to northern Tanzania. Thesis (PhD). University of Cologne.

Escobar, A., 1995. Encountering Development: The making and unmaking of the third world. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Ferguson, J., 1990. The Anti-Politics Machine: 'Development,' depoliticization, and bureaucratic power in Lesotho. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Fisher, W.F., 1997. Doing good? The politics and anti-politics of NGO practices. Annual Review of Anthropology Annual, 26 (4), 439–464.

Foucault, M., 1980. Truth and power. *In:* C. Gordon, ed., Power/Knowledge: Selected interviews and other writings 1972–1977. Hemel Hempstead: Harvester Wheatsheaf.

Gardner, B., 2016. Selling the Serengeti: The cultural politics of safari tourism. Athens, GA: University of Georgia Press.

Gesing, F. , Johannes, H. , and Klepp, S. , 2014. Denaturalizing Climate Change: Migration, mobilities and space. Artec-paper 200.

Goldman, M.J. , and Riosmena F. , 2013. Adaptive capacity in Tanzanian Maasailand: Changing strategies to cope with drought in fragmented landscapes. Global Environmental Change, 23 (3), 588–597.

Hastrup, K., 2015. Comparing climate worlds: Theorising across ethnographic fields. *In:* H. Greschke and J. Tischler, eds, Grounding Global Climate Change. Dordrecht, NL: Springer. Hodgson, D.L., 2011a. Being Maasai, Becoming Indigenous: Postcolonial politics in a

neoliberal world. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.

Hodgson, D.L., 2011b. 'These are not our priorities': Maasai women, human rights, and the

problem of culture. *In:* D.L. Hodgson, ed., Gender and Culture at the Limits of Rights. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press, 138–157.

Homewood, K.M., and Rodgers, W.A., 1991. Maasailand Ecology: Pastoralist development and wildlife conservation in Ngorongoro, Tanzania. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Hulme, M., 2009. Why We Disagree about Climate Change: Understanding controversy,

Hulme, M., 2009. Why We Disagree about Climate Change: Understanding controversy, inaction and opportunity. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hulme, M. , 2011. Reducing the future to climate: A story of climate determinism and reductionism. Osiris, 26 (1), 245–266.

Igoe, J., 2002. National parks and human ecosystems: The challenge to community conservation: a case study from Simanjiro, Tanzania. *In:* D. Chatty and M. Colchester, eds, Conservation and Mobile Indigenous Peoples: Displacement, forced resettlement and sustainable development. New York: Berghahn Books, 77–96.

Igoe, J., and Brockington, D., 1999. Pastoral land tenure and community conservation: A case study from north-east Tanzania. IIED Drylands Programme: Pastoral Land Tenure Series 11.

IPCC, 2001. Climate Change 2001: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability: summary for policymakers. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

IPCC , 2014a. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis report: contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Geneva: IPCC.

IPCC, 2014b. Annex II: Glossary. *In:* V.R. Barros, et al., eds, Climate Change 2014: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability: Part B: Regional aspects: contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1757–1776.

Jasanoff, S., 2003. Technologies of humility: Citizen participation in governing science. Minerva, 41 (3), 223–244.

Leslie, P.W., and McCabe, T.J., 2013. Response diversity and resilience in social-ecological systems. Current Anthropology, 54 (2), 114–129.

McCabe, T.J., 2003. Sustainability and livelihood diversification among the Maasai of northern Tanzania. Human Organization, 62 (2), 100–111.

Niang, I., et al., 2014. Africa. *In:* V.R. Barros, et al., eds, Climate Change 2014: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability: Part B: Regional aspects: contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1199–1265.

Orlove, B., 2009. The past, the present and some possible futures of adaptation. *In:* N.W. Adger, I. Lorenzoni, and K. O'Brien, eds, Adapting to Climate Change: Thresholds, values, governance. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 129–163.

Roitman, J.L., 2014. Anti-crisis. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Sachedina, H., 2008. Wildlife is Our Oil: Conservation, livelihoods and NGOs in the Tarangire ecosystem, Tanzania. PhD thesis. University of Oxford.

Sherman, M., et al., 2016. Drawing the line between adaptation and development: A systematic literature review of planned adaptation in developing countries. WIREs, Climate Change, 7 (5), 707–726.

Tanner, T., and Allouche, J., 2011. Towards a new political economy of climate change and adaptation. IDS Bulletin, 42 (3), 1–14.

Taylor, M., 2015. The Political Ecology of Adaptation: Livelihoods, agrarian change and the conflicts of development. New York: Routledge.

UNDP, 2007/2008. Human Development Report 2007/2008: Fighting climate change: human solidarity in a divided world. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP).

UNFCCC, 1992. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Available from: http://unfccc.int/essential_background/convention/items/6036.php [Accessed 24 July 2017]. United Nations, 2016. International Day brings recognition of indigenous peoples' contribution to environmental protection, combating climate change. UN Press release 9 August [online]. Available from: www.un.org/esa/socdev/unpfii/documents/int_day_press_release07.pdf [Accessed 24 July 2017].

URT , 2007. National Adaptation Program of Action (NAPA for the UNFCCC). United Republic of Tanzania (URT).

Weisser, F., et al., 2014. Translating the 'adaptation to climate change' paradigm: The politics of a travelling idea in Africa. The Geographical Journal, 180 (2), 111–119.

Wisner, B., et al., 2012. Let Them Eat (Maize) Cake: Climate change discourse, misinformation and land grabbing in Tanzania. Paper presented at the International Conference on Global Land Grabbing II, New York, 17–19 October.

WRI, 2010–11. World Resources: Decision making in a changing climate: adaptation challenges and choices. UNDP, UNEP, World Bank, World Resources Institute (WRI).

Rethinking the framing of climate change adaptation

Adger, W. , Butler, C. , and Walker-Springett, K. , 2017. Moral reasoning in adaptation to climate change. Environmental Politics, 26 (3), 1-20.

Ayers, J., and Huq, S., 2009. The value of linking mitigation and adaptation: A case study of Bangladesh. Environmental Management, 43 (5), 753–764.

Bassett, T., and Fogelman, C., 2013. Déjà vu or something new? The adaptation concept in the climate change literature. Geoforum, 48, 42–53.

Blicharska, M., et al., 2017. Steps to overcome the North–South divide in research relevant to climate change policy and practice. Nature Climate Change, 7 (1), 21–27.

Cameron, E.S., 2012. Securing indigenous politics: A critique of the vulnerability and adaptation approach to the human dimensions of climate change in the Canadian Arctic. Global Environmental Change, 22 (1), 103–114.

Cochrane, L., 2017. Decolonizing Methodologies [blog]. Available from: www.logan-cochrane.com/index.php/decolonizing-methodologies [Accessed 21 June 2017].

Cochrane, L., and Tamiru, Y., 2016. Ethiopia's productive safety net program: Power, politics and practice. Journal of International Development, 28 (5), 649–665.

Conway, D., and Mustelin, J., 2014. Strategies for improving adaptation practice in developing countries. Nature Climate Change, 4 (5), 339–342.

Corry, O. , and Jorgensen, D. , 2015. Beyond 'deniers' and 'believers': Towards a map of the politics of climate change. Global Environmental Change, 32, 165-174.

Dahlberg, A.C. , and Blaikie, P. , 1999. Changes in landscape or in interpretation? Reflections based on the environmental and socio-economic history of a village in NE Botswana.

Environment and History, 5 (2), 127–174. de Vries. J.R., et al., 2017. Where there is no history: How to create trust and connection in

de Vries, J.R., et al., 2017. Where there is no history: How to create trust and connection in learning for transformation in water governance. Water, 9 (2), 1–15.

Eriksen, S. , et al., 2011. When not every response to climate change is a good one: Identifying principles for sustainable adaptation. Climate and Development, 3 (1), 7–20.

Eriksen, S., Nightingale, A., and Eakin, H., 2015. Reframing adaptation: The political nature of climate change adaptation. Global Environmental Change, 35, 523–533.

Few, R. , et al., 2017. Transformation, adaptation and development: Relating concepts to practice. Palgrave Communications 3 [online]. Available from:

www.nature.com/articles/palcomms201792 [Accessed 8 November 2017].

Ford, J., et al., 2015. Adaptation in climate change hotspots: Analysis from Africa and Asia. Regional Environmental Change, 15 (5), 747–850.

GCRF, 2017. Global Challenges Research Fund official website [online]. Available from: www.rcuk.ac.uk/funding/gcrf/ [Accessed 21 June 2017].

IPCC, 2014. Climate Change 2014: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability: Part A: Global and sectoral aspects: contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: summary for policymakers. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kates, R.W., Travis, W.R., and Wilbanks, T.J., 2012. Transformational adaptation when incremental adaptations to climate change are insufficient. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109 (19), 7156–7161.

Lebel, L., 2013. Local knowledge and adaptation to climate change in natural resource-based societies of the Asia-Pacific. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 18 (7), 1057–1076.

Manuel-Navarrete, D. , and Pelling, M. , 2015. Subjectivity and the politics of transformation in response to development and environmental change. Global Environmental Change-Human and Policy Dimensions, 35, 558–569.

Morchain, D., 2015. Our Common Future under Climate Change: Where science meets social justice [blog]. Available from: http://policy-practice.oxfam.org.uk/blog/2015/07/our-common-future-under-climate-change [Accessed 21 June 2017].

Morchain, D. , and DeMaria-Kinney, J. , 2016. Stocktaking in Researchinto-Use: Progress and thinking to date. ASSAR project publication. Print.

Morchain, D., et al., 2016. MALAWI2020: Vulnerability and risk assessment in the tea industry [online]. Oxford: Oxfam International. Available from: http://policy-

practice.oxfam.org.uk/publications/malawi2020-vulnerability-and-risk-assessment-in-the-tea-industry-620101 [Accessed 21 June 2017].

Nagoda, S., 2015. New discourses but same old development approaches? Climate change adaptation policies, chronic food insecurity and development interventions in northwestern Nepal. Global Environmental Change, 35, 570–579.

ODI and Heinrich Boell Stiftung, 2015. 10 Things to Know about Climate Finance in 2015 [online]. Available from: www.odi.org/sites/odi.org.uk/files/odi-assets/publications-opinion-

files/10093.pdf [Accessed 21 June 2017].

Otto-Banaszak, I., et al., 2011, Different perceptions of adaptation to climate change: A mental model approach applied to the evidence from expert interviews. Regional Environmental Change, 11 (2), 217-228.

Paavola, J., and Adger, W., 2006. Fair adaptation to climate change, Ecological Economics. 56 (4), 594–609.

Pelling, M., O'Brien, K., and Matyas, D., 2015, Adaptation and transformation, Climatic Change, 133 (1), 113-127.

Preston, B., Yuen, E., and Westaway, R. 2011. Putting vulnerability to climate change on the map: A review of approaches, benefits, and risks, Sustainability Science, 6 (2), 177-202.

Rahman, S.M., and Ahmad, M.M., 2016. Perception of local experts about accessibility to international climate funds: Case of Bangladesh. Journal of Developing Areas, 50 (3), 53-68.

RCRCCC, 2017, Bridging Science, Policy and Practice; Report of the International Conference on Climate Risk Management. Red Cross Red Crescent Climate Centre. Print.

Rotman, D., 2016, Hotter Days Will Drive Global Inequality [blog], Available from:

www.technologyreview.com/s/603158/hotter-days-will-drive-global-

inequality/?utm content=bufferf7a21&utm medium=social&utm source=twitter.com&utm camp aign=buffer [Accessed 21 June 2017].

Saravia, V., and Bustillo, J.A., 2010. Hallazgos y relexiones sobre gestión compartida de los recursos naturales: Microcuenca de Río Hondo. Print.

Shackleton, S., et al., 2015. Why is socially-just climate change adaptation in sub-Saharan Africa so challenging? A review of barriers identified from empirical cases. Climate Change, 6 (3), 312-344.

Spiegel, R.H., 2017, Drowning in Rising Seas; Navigating multiple knowledge systems and responding to climate change in the Maldives. BA thesis, Pitzer College.

UNFCCC, 2010. The Need for Adaptation [fact sheet]. Available from:

http://unfccc.int/press/fact_sheets/items/4985.php [Accessed 21 June 2017].

Weisser, F., et al., 2014. Translating the adaptation to climate change paradigm: The politics of a travelling idea in Africa. Geographical Journal, 180 (2), 111-119.

Climate change economies

ACCSDC, 2009. Accelerating Carbon Capture and Storage Implementation in Alberta. Alberta Carbon Capture and Storage Development Council (ACCSDC).

Adams. P., et al., WMO Secretariat, 2015. Call for an ethical framework for climate services. WMO Bulletin, 64.

Bailey, I., 2007a. Market environmentalism, new environmental policy instruments and climate policy in the United Kingdom and Germany. Annals of the Association of American Geographers, 97 (3), 530-550.

Bailey, I., 2007b. Neoliberalism, climate governance and the scalar politics of EU emissions trading. Area, 39 (4), 431–442.

Bailey, R., and McDonald, M., 1993. CO2 capture and use for EOR in western Canada:

General overview. Energy Conversion and Management, 34 (9), 1145–1150.

Barnes, T., 2008. Making space for the market: Live performances, dead objects and economic geography. Geography Compass, 2 (5), 1432-1448.

Berndt, C., and Boeckler, M., 2009. Geographies of circulation and exchange: Constructions of markets. Progress in Human Geography, 33 (4), 535-551.

Block, F., 2001. Introduction. In: The Great Transformation: The political and economic origins of our time. Boston, MA: Beacon Press.

Bolger, L., and Isaacs, E., 2003. Shaping an integrated energy future, *In:* A. Heinztman, ed., Fueling the Future: How the battle over energy is changing everything. Toronto: House of Ananzi Press.

Boyd, E., Boykoff, M., and Newell, P., 2011. The 'new' carbon economy: What's new? Antipode, 43 (3), 601-611.

Boykoff, M., Bumpus, A., and Liverman, D., 2009. Theorising the carbon economy: Introduction to the special issue. Environment and Planning A. 41. 2299–2304.

Bridge, G., 2011. Resource geographies 1: Making carbon economies old and new. Progress in Human Geography, 35 (6), 820–834.

Bridge, G., and Le Billon, P., 2012. Oil. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Brown, W. , 2015. Undoing the Demos: Neoliberalism's stealth revolution. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Brown, K., and Corbera, E., 2003. Exploring equity and sustainable development in the new carbon economy. Climate Policy, 3, 41–56.

Bumpus, A., and Liverman, D., 2008. Accumulation by decarbonization and the governance of carbon offsets. Economic Geography, 84 (2), 127–155.

Butler, J., 2010. Performative agency. Journal of Cultural Economy, 3 (2), 147–161.

Çaliskan, K., and Callon, M., 2009. Economization, part 1: Shifting attention from the economy towards processes of economization. Economy and Society, 38 (3), 368–398.

Çaliskan, K., and Callon, M., 2010. Economization, part 2: A research programme for the study of markets. Economy and Society, 39 (1), 1–32.

Callon, M., 1998. The Laws of Markets. Oxford: Blackwell.

Callon, M., 2007. What does it mean to say that economics is performative? *In:* D. MacKenzie, F. Muniesa, and L. Siu, eds, Do Economists Make Markers? On the performativity of economics. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 311–357.

Callon, M., 2009. Civilizing markets: Carbon trading between in vitro and in vivo experiments. Accounting, Organization and Society, 34 (3), 440–448.

Campanella, D., 2011. Fossil Intentions: Carbon capture and storage in Alberta (Unpublished major paper). York, ON: York University.

Carr, E., and Owusu-Daaku, K., 2016. The shifting epistemologies of vulnerability in climate services for development: The case of Mali's agrometeorological advisory programme. Area, 48

(1), 7–17. Castree, N., 2006. From neoliberalism to neoliberalisation: consolations, confusions and necessary illusions. Environment and Planning A, 38 (1), 1–6.

Christophers, B., 2014. From Marx to market and back again: Performing the economy. Geoforum, 57, 12–20.

Cooter, E., Rea, A., and Bruins, R., 2013. The role of the atmosphere in the provision of ecosystem services. Science of the Total Environment, 448, 197–208.

Dale, G., 2010. Karl Polanyi: The limits of the market. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Dessai, S. , and Hulme, M. , 2004. Does climate adaptation policy need probabilities? Climate Policy, 4(2), 107-128.

Dessai, S. , et al., 2009. Do we need better predictions to adapt to a changing climate? Eos, 90(13), 111-112.

EETF, 2008. Canada's fossil energy future: The way forward on carbon capture and storage (Report to the Minister of Alberta Energy and Natural Resources Canada). ecoENERGY Carbon Capture and Storage Task Force (EETF).

Embassy of the United States Suva Fiji , 2013. US Government supports climate services forum for the Pacific. Embassy section [online]. Available from:

http://suva.usembassy.gov/regional_environmental_office/u.s.-government-supports-climate-services-forum-for-the-pacific [Accessed 26 October 2017].

Etkowitz, H., 2003. The Triple Helix: University-industry-government innovation in action. London: Routledge.

Fauchereau, N., et al., 2013. The Island Climate Update: A seasonal forecasting platform, forum, and bulletin for the Pacific Islands. Presented at the Pacific Island Climate Services Forum, the University of the South Pacific Suva.

Fischer-Bruns, I., and Brasseur, G., 2013. Climate Service Center – Germany: Lessons learned in developing climate services. Presented at the Pacific Island Climate Services Forum, the University of the South Pacific Suva.

Goodman, M., and Boyd, E., 2011. A social life for carbon? Commodification, markets and care. The Geographical Journal, 177 (2), 102–109.

Government of Alberta , 2008. Alberta's 2008 Climate Change Strategy. Calgary: Government of Alberta.

Granovetter, M., 1985. Economic action and social structure: The problem of embeddedness. American Journal of Sociology, 91 (3), 481–510.

Heffernan, O., 2009. World climate services framework agreed. Nature, 461, 158–159.

Hulme, M. , 2011. Reducing the future to climate: A story of climate determinism and reductionism. Osiris, 26 (1), 245–266.

Johnson, L., 2015. Near futures and perfect hedges in the Gulf of Mexico, *In:* H. Appel, A. Mason, and M. Watts, eds, Subterranean Estates: Life worlds of oil and gas. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Knox-Hayes, J., 2010. Constructing carbon market spacetime: Climate change and the onset of neo-modernity. Annals of the Association of American Geographers. 100 (4), 953–962.

Lansing, D. , 2012. Performing carbon's materiality: The production of carbon offsets and the framing of exchange. Environment and Planning A, 44 (1), 204–220.

Lemos, M., 2015. Useable climate knowledge for adaptive and co-managed water governance. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 12, 48–52.

Liverman, D., 2008. Conventions of climate change: Constructions of danger and the dispossession of the atmosphere. Journal of Historical Geography, 35 (2), 279–296.

Lohmann, L., 2005. Marketing and making carbon dumps: Commodification, calculation and counterfactuals in climate change mitigation. Science as Culture. 14 (3), 203–235.

Lohmann, L., 2010. Uncertainty markets and carbon markets: Variations on Polanyian themes. New Political Economy, 15 (2), 225–254.

Lovell, H., and MacKenzie, D., 2011. Accounting for carbon: The role of accounting professional organizations in governing climate change. Antipode, 43 (3), 612–638.

MacKenzie, D., 2006. An Engine, Not a Camera: How financial models shape markets. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

MacKenzie, D., 2009. Making things the same: Gases, emission rights and the politics of carbon markets. Accounting, Organization and Society, 34 (3), 440–455.

Mahony, M., and Hulme, M., 2012. Model migrations: Mobility and boundary crossings in regional climate prediction. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, 37 (2), 197-211.

Marcus, G., 1995. Ethnography in/of the world system: The emergence of multi-sited ethnography. Annual Review of Anthropology, 24 (1), 95–117.

Masale, P. , et al., 2014. Vanuatu Climate Services Dialogue (Workshop Report). VMGD and NOAA, Port Vila Vanuatu.

Mitchell, T., 2011. Carbon Democracy. London: Verso.

Muellerleile, C., 2013. Turning financial markets inside out: Polanyi, performativity and disembeddedness. Environment and Planning A, 45 (7), 1625–1642.

Newell, P., and Paterson, M., 2009. The politics of the carbon economy. *In:* M. Boykoff, ed., The Politics of Climate Change: A survey. London: Routledge, 80–99.

 $NOAA\ ,\ 2011.\ NOAA's\ Proposed\ Climate\ Services\ (Background).\ National\ Oceanographic\ and\ Atmospheric\ Administration\ (NOAA).\ Washington,\ DC:\ United\ States\ Department\ of\ Commerce.$

Ormond, J., 2015. New regimes of responsibilization: Practicing product carbon footprinting in the new carbon economy. Economic Geography, 91 (4), 425–428.

Padamsay, R., and Railton, J., 1993. CO2 capture and use for EOR in western Canada: Economic results and conclusions. Energy Conversion and Management, 34 (9), 1165–1175.

Parker, B., Marra, J., and Muth, M., 2013. Pacific Islands Climate Services Forum Outcomes and Final Report. PICSF.

Peck, J., 2005. Economic sociologies in space. Economic Geography, 81 (2), 129–175.

Peck, J., 2013. Disembedding Polanyi: Exploring Polanyian economic geographies.

Environment and Planning A, 45 (7), 1536–1544.

PICSF Organizing Committee , 2012. Pacific Island Climate Services Forum Announcement. USAID, NOAA, GIZ, AusAID, University of the South Pacific.

Polanyi, K., 2001 [1944]. The Great Transformation: The political and economic origins of our time. 2nd edition. Boston, MA: Beacon Press.

Pollak, M., Johnson Phillips, S., and Vajihala, S., 2011. Carbon capture and storage policy in the United States: A new coalition endeavours to change existing policy. Global Environmental Change, 21 (2), 313–323.

Powell, G., 2009. Complexity, entanglement, and overflow in the new carbon economy: The case of the UK's energy efficiency commitment. Environment and Planning A, 41 (10),

2342-2356.

Prudham, S., 2005. Knock on Wood: Nature as commodity in Douglas fir country. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Prudham, S., 2009. Pimping climate change: Richard Branson, global warming and the performance of green capitalism. Environment and Planning A, 41 (7), 1594–1613.

Readfern, G., 2016. After climate cuts at CSIRO, who should we ask about global warming impacts on Australia? Netflix? Australia: Guardian [online]. Available from:

www.theguardian.com/environment/planet-oz/2016/feb/05/after-climate-cuts-at-csiro-who-should-we-ask-about-global-warming-impacts-on-australianetflix [Accessed 26 October 2017].

Robertson, M., 2007. Discovering price in all the wrong places: The work of commodity definition and price under neoliberal policy. Antipode, 39 (3), 500–526.

Robertson, M., 2012. Measurement and alienation: making a world of ecosystem services. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, 37 (3), 386–401.

Todd, M., and Grand, G., 1993. Enhanced oil recovering using carbon dioxide. Energy Conversion and Management, 34 (9), 1157–1164.

Unruh, G., 2000. Understanding carbon lock-in. Energy Policy, 28 (12), 817–830.

Vaughan, C., and Dessai, S., 2014. Climate services for society: Origins, institutional arrangements, and design elements for an evaluation framework. WIREs Climate Change, 5 (5), 587–603.

Webber, S., 2017. Circulating climate services: Commercializing science for climate change adaptation in Pacific Islands. Geoforum, 85, 82–91.

Webber, S., and Donner, S., 2017. Climate service warnings: Cautions about commercializing climate science for adaptation in the developing world. WIREs Climate Change, 8 (1), 1–8.

While, A., Jonas, A., and Gibbs, D., 2010. From sustainable development to carbon control: Eco-state restructuring and the politics of urban and regional development. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, 35 (1), 76–93.

WMO, 2011. Climate Knowledge for Action: A global framework for climate services: empowering the most vulnerable. Geneva: World Meteorological Organization (WMO).

Tourism, environmental damage, and climate policy at the coast of Oaxaca. Mexico

Anid , 2014. Estudio de la Vulnerabilidad y Programa de Adaptación ante la Variabilidad Climática y el Cambio Climático en Diez Destinos Turísticos Estratégicos, así como Propuesta de un Sistema de Alerta Temprana a Eventos Hidrometeorológicos Extremos. Resumen Ejecutivo. México: Secretaría de Turismo.

Bassett, T.J., and Fogelman, C., 2013. Déjà vu or something new? The adaptation concept in the climate change literature. Geoforum, 48, 42–53.

Blaikie, P., et al., 2003. At Risk: Natural hazards, people's vulnerability and disasters. 2nd edition. London: Routledge.

Cenapred , 2006. Estimación de la vulnerabilidad social. *In:* V. Ramos , ed., Guía básica para elaborar Atlas Estatales y Municipales de riesgo y peligros. México: Centro Nacional para la Prevención de Desastres, 339–383.

Colucci, A., and Mullet, A., 2016. Maya as a commodity fetish: Accumulation by dispossession and ecotourism in the Yucatan Peninsula. *In:* S. Nepal and J. Saarinen, eds, Political Ecology and Tourism. London: Routledge, 149–162.

Ferguson, J. , 1994. The anti-politics machine: 'Development' and bureaucratic power in Lesotho. The Ecologist, 24 (5), 176–181.

Fernández A., et al., 2012. Atlas Climático y del Cambio Climático del Estado de Oaxaca, México. México: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

Füssel, H.M., and Klein, R.J.T., 2006. Climate change vulnerability assessments: An evolution of conceptual thinking. Climatic Change, 75 (3), 301–329.

INEGI , 2010. Censo Nacional de Población: Datos por localidades. México: Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía.

Lash, S. , Szerszynski, B. , and Wynne, B. , 1996. Risk, Environment and Modernity: Towards a new ecology. London: Sage.

Mansilla, E., 2008. Análisis de riesgo extensivo, urbanización de los riesgos y su expansión territorial en América Latina. Análisis de riesgo extensivo e intensivo en México [online]. *In*: United Nations: Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction (2009) Background Papers. Available from: www.preventionweb.net/english/hyogo/gar/background-papers/?pid:34&pil:1# [Accessed 8 November 2017].

Mansilla E., 2013. México – Inventario histórico de desastres. Sistema de Inventario de Efectos de Desastres [online]. Available from: https://online.desinventar.org [Accessed 8 November 2017].

Mostafanezhad, M., et al., 2016. Introduction. *In:* M. Mostafanezhad, et al., eds, Political Ecology of Tourism: Community, power and environment. New York: Routledge, 1–21.

Painter, M. , and Durham, W. , 1995. The Social Causes of Environmental Destruction in Latin America. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.

Peet, R., and Watts, M., 1993. Development theory and environment in an age of market triumphalism. Economic Geography, 69, 227–253.

Peet, R., and Watts, M., 2004. Liberation Ecologies: Environment, development, social movements. New York: Routledge.

Peluso, N., 1993. Coercing conservation? The politics of state resource control. Global Environmental Change, 3 (2), 199–217.

Puga, T., 2017. El turismo en el país vale el doble que la industria automotriz: WTTC. El Universal [online], México, 20 June. Available from:

www.eluniversal.com.mx/articulo/cartera/negocios/2017/06/20/el-turismo-en-el-pais-vale-el-doble-que-la-industria-automotriz [Accessed 8 November 2017].

Ribot, J., 2014. Cause and response: Vulnerability and climate in the Anthropocene. Journal of Peasant Studies, 41 (5), 667–705.

Rubio, I., 2014. Industrias turísticas y escenarios de desastre asociados al cambio climático en el litoral oaxaqueño. México: Programa de Investigación en Cambio Climático, UNAM [online]. Available from: www.pincc.unam.mx/INFORMES%20PROYECTOS/23_Informe_final.pdf [Accessed 7 November 2017].

Sectur, 2014. Guía local de acciones de alto impacto en materia de mitigación y adaptación al cambio climático en destinos turísticos mexicanos. México: Secretaría de Turismo.

Stonich, S., 1998. Political ecology of tourism. Annals of Tourism Research, 25, 25–54.

Talledos, E., 2012. La imposición de un espacio: de La Crucecita a Bahías de Huatulco. Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Políticas y Sociales. 57 (216), 119–142.

Wilbanks, T.J., et al., 2007. Industry, settlement and society. *In:* M.L. Parry, et al., eds, Climate Change 2007: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 357–390.

Wong, P.P., et al., 2014. Coastal systems and low-lying areas. *In:* C.B. Field, et al., eds, Climate Change 2014: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability: Part A: Global and sectoral aspects: contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 361–409.

Vulnerability factors among Cocopah fishers

Anglés Hernández, M., 2011. La garantía del derecho de acceso, uso y disfrute preferente de los indígenas a los recursos naturales. Caso cucapá. Publicación Electrónica del Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas de la UNAM, 6, 67–87.

Cavole, L.M., et al., 2016. Biological impacts of the 2013–2015 warm-water anomaly in the Northeast Pacific: Winners, losers, and the future. Oceanography, 29 (2), 273–285.

Cinner J.E., et al., 2012. Vulnerability of coastal communities to key impacts of climate change on coral reef fisheries. Global Environmental Change, 22 (1), 12–20.

Erisman, B., et al., 2012. Spatio-temporal dynamics of a fish spawning aggregation and its fishery in the Gulf of California. Scientific Reports, 2, Article Number 284.

Gesing, F., Herbeck, J., and Klepp, S., 2014. Denaturalizing Climate Change: Migration, mobilities and space. Artec-paper Nr. 200, Bremen: Universität Bremen.

Gobel, B., Góngora-Mera, M., and Ulloa, A., eds. 2014. Designaldades Socioambientales en América Latina. Bogotá: Universidad Nacional de Colombia.

Gómez Estrada, J.A., 2000. La gente del delta del río Colorado, México: UABC/SEP.

Hinchliffe, S., 2007. Geographies of Nature: Societies, environments, ecologies. London: Sage.

Latour, B., 2004, Politics of Nature: How to bring the sciences into democracy, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Moreno Mena, J., and López Limón, M., 2005, Desarrollo agrícola y uso de agroquímicos en el valle de Mexicali. Estudios Fronterizos, 6 (12), 119-153.

Morzaria-Luna, H.N., Turk-Boyer, P., and Moreno-Baez, M., 2014. Social indicators of vulnerability for fishing communities in the Northern Gulf of California, Mexico: Implications for climate change. Marine Policy, 45, 182-193.

Muelhmann, S., 2013. Where the River Ends: Contested indigeneity in the Mexican Colorado delta. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Navarro-Smith, A., 2008. Cucapás, derechos indígenas y pesca. Dilemas del sistema productivo pesquero vis a vis las políticas de conservación de las especies en el Golfo de California. Revista Chilena de Antropología Visual, 12 (2), 172–196.

Navarro-Smith, A., 2011. De pescadoras libres a pescadoras reguladas. La pesca artesanal ribereña de la curvina golfina entre mujeres indígenas cucapá. In: G. Alcalá, coord., Pescadores en América Latina y el Caribe: espacio, población, producción y política, Volúmen II. Mérida: UNAM, 219-250.

Navarro-Smith, A., 2013. Los cucapás. Diccionario Enciclopédico de Baja California. Mexicali: Instituto de Cultura de Baja California, 160-162.

Navarro-Smith, A., 2016. Dilemmas of sustainability in Cocopah territory: An exercise of applied visual anthropology in the Colorado River delta. Human Organization, 75 (2), 129-140. Navarro-Smith, A., 2017, Antes peleábamos a ciegas; territorio cucapá, etnización y derechos en disputa el delta del río Colorado. In: T. Sierra and S. Bastos, eds, Estado y pueblos

indígenas en México. La disputa por la justicia y los derechos. México: CIESAS. Navarro-Smith, A., and Cruz-Hernández, S., 2015. Territorio y prácticas culturales amenazadas en pueblos yumanos en Baja California. Revista EntreDiversidades, 5, 75–102.

Navarro-Smith, A., Bravo, Y., and López, C., 2013. Legislación de pesca y obstáculos para el reconocimiento de derechos al uso preferencial de recursos naturales del pueblo cucapá.

Revista De Estudos e Pesquisas Sobre as Américas, 7 (2), 135–173. Navarro-Smith, A., Bravo, Y., and López, C., 2014. Derechos colectivos, judicialización de

derechos y consulta previa: el caso del territorio y recursos pesqueros del pueblo cucapá, en Baja California, México. Revista Colombiana de Sociología, 37 (2), 43-64. Ortiz, R., et al., 2016. Biological and fisheries monitoring of the gulf corvina in the Upper Gulf of

California. DataMares [online]. Available from: http://datamares.ucsd.edu/stories/biological-andfisheries-monitoring-of-the-gulf-corvina-in-the-upper-gulf-of-california/ [Accessed 20 September 2017]. PEACC-BC, 2012. Programa Estatal de acción ante el cambio climático de Baia California

(PEACC-BC). Secretaría de Protección al Ambiente del Gobierno de Baja California/Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales/El Instituto Nacional de Ecología. Mexicali, BC. Perry, I., et al., 2010. The challenge of adapting marine social-ecological systems to the additional stress of climate change. Environmental Sustainability, 2 (5-6), 356-363. Porcayo, A., et al., 2016. Cambios y continuidades de la vida ancestral cucapá. Datos arqueológicos, arqueofaunísticos y etnográficos para su comprensión. México: INAH. Villarreal-Rosas, J., and Olmos-Aguilera, M., 2017. Ecodegradation and indigenous livelihoods: A case study in northwest México. Sociedad y Ambiente, 13, 5-34.

Ruling nature and indigenous communities

Bárcenas, F.L., 2009. Legislación y derechos indígenas en México. Cámara de Diputados, Centro de Estudios para el Desarrollo Rural Sustentable y la Soberanía Alimentaria. Ciudad de Mexico

- Bray, D. 2010. Capitalism meets common property. Americas Quarterly, 4 (1), 30-35.
- Bray, D., 2012. Environmental Services of Oaxaca: A Mexican success story [online]. Available from: www.ecosystemmarketplace.com/articles/environmental-services-of-oaxaca-br-a-mexican-success-story/ [Accessed 3 May 2017].
- Bray, D., and Merino-Pérez, L., 2002. The Rise of Community Forestry in Mexico: History, concepts, and lessons learned from twenty-five years of community timber production. Miami, FL: Report for the Ford Foundation.
- Bray, D., and Merino-Pérez, L., 2004. La experiencia de las comunidades forestales en México. México: Semarnat, INE, CCMSS.
- Bray, D.B., Antinori, C., and Torres-Rojo, J.M., 2006. The Mexican model of community forest management: The role of agrarian policy, forest policy and entrepreneurial organization. Forest Policy and Economics, 8 (4), 470–484.
- Cámara de Diputados del H. Congreso de la Unión , 2001. Ley de desarrollo rural sustentable [online]. Available from: www.diputados.gob.mx/LeyesBiblio/pdf/235.pdf. [Accessed 30 May 2017].
- Cámara de Diputados del H. Congreso de la Unión , 2012. Ley general de cambio climático [online]. Available from: www.diputados.gob.mx/LeyesBiblio/pdf/LGCC_010616.pdf [Accessed 25 May 2017].
- Chance, J., and Taylor, W., 1985. Cofradias and cargos: An historical perspective on the MesoAmerican civil-religious hierarchy. American Ethnologists, 12 (1), 1–26.
- Chapela, F., 1999. Emergencia de las organizaciones sociales de Oaxaca: la lucha por los recursos forestales. Alteridades, 9 (17), 105–112.
- Comaroff, J.L., and Comaroff, J., 2009. Ethnicity, Inc. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Composto, C., and Navarro, M.L., 2014. Claves de lectura para comprender el despojo y las luchas por los bienes comunes naturales en América Latina. *In:* C. Composto and M.L. Navarro, eds, Territorios en disputa. Despojo capitalista, luchas en defensa de los bienes comunes naturales y alternativas emancipatorias en America Latina. México: Bajo Tierra Ediciones, 33–75.
- Coombe, R.J., 2016. The knowledge economy and its cultures: Neoliberal technologies and Latin American reterritorializations. HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory, 6 (3), 247–275. CORENAMICH, 2015. Dissemination and Socialization Program of REDD+: National strategy for forestry communities with high biodiversity of the Mixe and Choapam region. Oaxaca, MX: National Forestry Commission, REDD+, CORENAMICH.
- Crate, S.A., 2011. Climate and culture: Anthropology in the era of contemporary climate change. Annual Review of Anthropology, 40 (1), 175–194.
- Cronkleton, P., Bray, D., and Medina, G., 2011. Community forest management and the emergence of multi-scale governance institutions: Lessons for REDD+ development from Mexico, Brazil and Bolivia. Forests, 2 (2), 451–473.
- Cruikshank, J., 2005. Do Glaciers Listen? Local knowledge, colonial encounters, and social imagination. Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press.
- Das, V., and Poole, D., 2004. Introduction: State and its margins: comparative ethnographies. *In:* V. Das and D. Poole, eds, Anthropology in the Margins of the State: Comparative ethnographies. Santa Fe, NM: New Mexico School of American Research Press, 3–33.
- de Wit, S. , 2014. Denaturalizing adaptation, resocializing the climate: Theoretical and methodological reflections on how to follow a travelling idea of climate change. *In:* F. Gesing , J. Herbeck , and S. Klepp , eds, Denaturalizing Climate Change: Migration, mobilities and space. Bremen: artec, 56–64.
- Delgado, P.D., 2014. ¿Cómo se afectan los derechos de los pueblos indígenas con las reformas para facilitar la integración económica y la conservación de la Amazonia? *In:* B. Göbel , M. Góngora-Mera , and A. Ulloa , eds, Desigualdades socioambientales en América Latina. Bogotá: Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Facultad de Ciencias Humanas, 459–486.
- Ellis, E.A., et al., 2016. Determinantes de deforestación en el estado de Oaxaca. Agencia de los Estados Unidos para el Desarrollo Internacional (USAID), The Nature Conservancy (TNC), Alianza México REDD+, Mexico, Distrito Federal [online]. Available from:

www.researchgate.net/profile/Edward_Ellis/publication/312653088_Determinantes_de_la_defor estacion_en_el_estado_de_Oaxaca/links/58877517a6fdcc6b791ea211/Determinantes-de-la-deforestacion-en-el-estado-de-Oaxaca.pdf [Accessed 14 September 2017].

Escobar, A., 2014. Sentipensar con la tierra. Nuevas lecturas sobre desarrollo, territorio y diferencia. Medellín, CO: Ediciones UNAULA.

Fuente, M., and Barkin, D., 2011. Desacatos, 37, 93-110.

Göbel, B., Góngora, M., and Ulloa, A., 2014. Las interdependencias entre la valorización global de la naturaleza y las desigualdades sociales: abordajes multidisciplinarios. *In:* B. Göbel, M. Góngora-Mera, and A. Ulloa, eds, Las interdependencias entre la valorización global de la naturaleza y las desigualdades sociales: abordajes multidisciplinarios en Desigualdades socio ambientales en América Latina. Bogotá: Facultad de Ciencias Humanas, Universidad Nacional de Colombia. 13–59.

Gómez-Mendoza, L., et al., 2006. Projecting land-use change processes in the Sierra Norte of Oaxaca, Mexico. Applied Geography, 26 (3), 276–290.

González, R.J., 2001. Zapotec Science: Farming and food in the northern Sierra of Oaxaca. Austin. TX: University of Texas Press.

Greenberg, J.B., 2012. The impact of neoliberal policies on rural producers in Oaxaca, Mexico. *In:* J.B. Greenberg, et al., eds, Neoliberalism and Commodity Production in Mexico. Boulder, CO: University Press of Colorado, 225, 239

CO: University Press of Colorado, 225–239.

Greenberg, J., and Emanuel, M.R., 2000. Lluvia Enojada-Tyoo Kuasi': The political ecology of forest extraction in the Sierra Chatina, Oaxaca, Mexico. Journal of Political Ecology, 7, 43–64.

Hale, C.R., 2002. Does multiculturalism menace? Governance, cultural rights and the politics of identity in Guatemala. Journal of Latin American Studies, 34 (3), 485–524.

Himley, M., 2008. Geographies of environmental governance: The nexus of nature and neoliberalism. Geography Compass, 2 (2), 433–451.

INECC, 2013. Inventario Nacional de Emisiones de Gases de Efecto Invernadero 1990–2010 [online]. Available from: www.inecc.gob.mx/descargas/cclimatico/inf_inegei_public_2010.pdf [Accessed 23 May 2017].

Klooster, D., and Masera, O., 2000. Community forest management in Mexico: Carbon mitigation and biodiversity conservation through rural development. Global Environmental Change, 10 (4), 259–272.

Lipp, F.J., 1991. The Mixe of Oaxaca: Religion, ritual, and healing. Austin, TX: University of Texas Press.

McCarthy, J., 2005. Scale, sovereignty, and strategy in environmental governance. Antipode, 37 (4), 731–753.

Navarro, S.A., 2016. Dilemmas of sustainability in Cocopah territory: An exercise of applied visual anthropology in the Colorado River delta. Human Organization, 75 (2), 129–140.

Newling, E., 2001. The Mixe of Oaxaca and the Cultural Meanings of Indigenous Autonomy in Mexico: An ethnographic portrait of a social movement. PhD thesis. University of Pennsylvania. Novo. C.M., 2014. Managing diversity in postneoliberal Ecuador, Journal of Latin American and

Caribbean Anthropology, 19 (1), 103–125. Nugent, D., and Gilbert J., 1994. Formas cotidianas de la formación del Estado. México: Ediciones ERA.

Ojeda, D., 2014. Descarbonización y despojo: desigualdades socioambientales y las geografías del cambio climático. *In:* B. Göbel, M. Góngora-Mera, and A. Ulloa, eds, Desigualdades socioambientales en America Latina. Bogotá: Universidad Nacional de Colombia, 256–289.

Perez, J. 2017. La ODRENASIJ 'educación en nuestras manos' y procesos de educación y fortalecimiento de la identidad a partir de la lucha forestal en la sierra Juárez de Oaxaca. Master's thesis. Oaxaca, MX: CIESAS Pacifico Sur.

Poole, D. 2012. Corriendo riesgos: Normas, Ley y Participación en el Estado Neoliberal. Antropológica, 30 (30), 83–100.

Rainforest Alliance, 2014. Indigenous Communities in Southern Mexico Protect Their Forest Heritage [online]. Available from: www.rainforest-alliance.org/articles/indigenous-communities-in-southern-mexico-protect-their-forest-heritage [Accessed 30 May 2017].

Robson, J., and Wiest, R., 2014. Transnational migration, customary governance, and the future of community: A case study from Oaxaca, Mexico. Latin American Perspectives, 41 (3), 103–117.

Scott, J.C., 1998. Seeing Like a State: How certain schemes to improve the human condition have failed. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

SEMARNAT, 2014. Versión de Difusión del Programa Especial de Cambio Climático 2014–2018 (PECC 2014–2018). Gobierno de la República, México [online]. Available from: www.sagarpa.gob.mx/desarrolloRural/Programa%20Especial%20de%20Cambio%20Clim%C3%A1tico%202014-

 $2018\%20 (PECC)/Documents/Programa\%20 Especial\%20 de\%20 Cambio\%20 Clim\%C3\%A1 tico\%202014-2018_Versi\%C3\%B3n\%20 de\%20 Difusi\%C3\%B3n_.pdf [Accessed 30 May 2017].$

SEMARNAT, CDI, RITA, PNUD, GIZ, 2016. Decimotercera Conferencia de las Partes del Convenio Sobre la Diversidad Biológica. Integrando la biodiversidad para el bienestar. Foro Regional Indígena Rumbo a la COP13 Cuaderno de Trabajo. Ciudad de México.

Sieder, R., Schjolden, L., and Angell, A., 2016. Introduction. *In:* R. Sieder, L. Schjolden, and A. Angell, eds, The Judicialization of Politics in Latin America. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 17–38.

Ulloa, A., 2012. Los territorios indígenas en Colombia: de escenarios de apropiación transnacional a territorialidades alternativas. Scripta Nova. Revista Electrónica de Geografía y Ciencias Sociales, 16 (418), 65.

Ulloa, A., 2013. Controlando la naturaleza: ambientalismo transnacional y negociaciones locales en torno al cambio climático en territorios indígenas en Colombia. IberoAmericana, 13 (49), 117–133.

Ulloa, A., 2014. Escenarios de creación, extracción, apropiación y globalización de las naturalezas: emergencia de desigualdades socio ambientales. *In:* B. Göbel, M. Góngora-Mera, and A. Ulloa, eds, Desigualdades socioambientales en América Latina. Bogotá: Universidad Nacional de Colombia, 136–166.

United Nations 2017. Framework Convention on Climate Change [online]. Available from: http://unfccc.int/tools_xml/country_MX.html [Accessed 15 September 2017].

Viveros, T. , and Godinez, R. , 2015. Cambio climático y derechos humanos. México: Comisión Nacional de Derechos Humanos.

Weaver, T., 2000. Changes in forestry policy, production, and the environment in northern Mexico: 1960–2000. Journal of Political Ecology, 7 (1), 1–18.

Zabin, A., 1989. Grassroots Development in Indigenous Communities: A case study from the Sierra Juarez in Oaxaca. PhD thesis. University of California Berkeley.

Adapting in a carbon pool?

Aggarwal, A., 2014. How sustainable are forestry clean development mechanism projects? A review of the selected projects from India. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 19 (1), 73–91.

Andaya, L.Y., 2008. Leaves of the Same Tree: Trade and ethnicity in the Straits of Melaka. Honolulu, HI: University of Hawaii Press.

Bassett, T.J., and Fogelman, C., 2013. Déjà vu or something new? The adaptation concept in the climate change literature. Geoforum, 48, 42–53.

the climate change literature. Geoforum, 48, 42–53.

Beckert, B., 2017. A Post-frontier in Transformation: Land relations between access, exclusion and resistance in Jambi province, Indonesia. PhD thesis. Georg August Universität Göttingen.

Beckert, B., and Keck, M., 2015. Palmöl für den Weltmarkt: Landkonflikte in Sumatras Post-Frontier. Geographische Rundschau, 67 (12), 12–17.

Beckert, B. , Dittrich, C. , and Soeryo, A. , 2014. Contested land: An analysis of multi-layered conflicts in Jambi province, Sumatra, Indonesia. ASEAS, 7 (1), 75.

BirdLife International, 2007. Long Term Conservation of the Harapan Rainforest in Sumatra: An innovative partnership for the conservation and restoration of the most threatened and valuable rainforests in Indonesia. 2nd interim report to the Nando Peretti Foundation. Cambridge: BirdLife International.

BirdLife International , 2008. Long term Conservation of the Harapan Rainforest in Sumatra: Final report to the Nando Peretti Foundation. Cambridge: BirdLife International.

Blaikie, P., and Brookfield, H.C., 1987. Land Degradation and Society. London: Routledge.

Bourguignon, D., 2015. EU Biofuels Policy: Dealing with indirect land use change [online]. European Parliamentary Research Service. Available from:

www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2015/548993/EPRS_BRI(2015)548993_REV1_EN.pdf [Accessed 29 March 2017].

BPS , 2017. Persentase Penduduk Miskin Menurut Provinsi 2013–2016 [online]. Badan Pusat Statistik Indonesia (BPS), Jakarta. Available from:

www.bps.go.id/linkTableDinamis/view/id/1219 [Accessed 20 July 2017].

BPS Provinsi Jambi , 2014. Jambi dalam Angka 2014 [Jambi in Figures 2014]. Badan Pusat Statistik (BPS), Provinsi Jambi.

Bustamante, M., et al., 2014. Co-benefits, trade-offs, barriers and policies for greenhouse gas mitigation in the agriculture, forestry and other land use (AFOLU) sector. Global Change Biology, 20 (10), 3270–3290.

Clough, Y. , et al., 2016. Land-use choices follow profitability at the expense of ecological functions in Indonesian smallholder landscapes. Nature Communications, 7, 1–12.

Colchester, M., et al., 2011. Human Rights Abuses and Land Conflicts in the PT Asiatic Persada Concession in Jambi: Report of an independent investigation into land disputes and forced evictions in a palm oil estate: independent investigation of PT AP. Moreton-in-Marsh, UK/Bogor/Jakarta, Indonesia: HuMa/Sawit Watch/Forest Peoples Programme.

De Schutter, O., 2011. How not to think of land-grabbing: Three critiques of large-scale investments in farmland. Journal of Peasant Studies, 38 (2), 249–279.

Direktorat Jenderal Perkebunan , 2015. Statistik Perkebunan Indonesia: The crop estate statistics of Indonesia. 2013–2015 Kelapa Sawit – Palm Oil [online]. Available from: http://ditjenbun.pertanian.go.id/tinymcpuk/gambar/file/statistik/2015/SAWIT%202013%20-2015.pdf [Accessed 20 July 2017].

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{DNPI}}$, 2010. Creating Low Carbon Prosperity in Jambi. Dewan Nasional Perubahan Iklim (DNPI).

Escobar, A., 1999. After nature: Steps to an antiessentialist political ecology. Current Anthropology, 40 (1), 1–30.

European Commission , 2006. Natural Resources: Pioneering a new way to conserve Indonesian rainforest: from illegal logging to good governance [online]. Available from: http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/documents/case-studies/indonesia_natural-resources_illegal-logging_en.pdf [Accessed 29 March 2017].

Fairhead, J. , Leach, M. , and Scoones, I. , 2012. Green grabbing: A new appropriation of nature? Journal of Peasant Studies, 39 (2), 237-261.

FAPRI, 2014. U.S. and World Agricultural Outlook. Ames, IA: Food and Agriculture Policy Research Institute (FAPRI).

Forest Watch Indonesia, 2014. The Unending Disintegration of Indonesia's Forests [online]. Available from: http://fwi.or.id/english/publikasi/the-unending-disintegration-of-indonesias-forests/ [Accessed 10 March 2017].

Gerasimchuk, I., and Koh, Y.P., 2013. The EU Biofuel Policy and Palm Oil: Cutting subsidies or cutting rainforest? Geneva, Switzerland: International Institute for Sustainable Development [online]. Available from: www.iisd.org/gsi/sites/default/files/bf_eupalmoil.pdf [Accessed 29 March 2017].

Gezon, L.L., and Paulson, S., 2004. Place, power, difference: Multiscale research at the dawn of the twenty-first century. *In:* L.L. Gezon and S. Paulson, eds, Political Ecology across Spaces, Scales, and Social Groups. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 1–16.

Görg, C., 1999. Gesellschaftliche Naturverhältnisse. Münster, DE: Westfälisches Dampfboot. Görg, C., 2011. Shaping relationships with nature: Adaptation to climate change as a challenge

for society. DIE ERDE–Journal of the Geographical Society of Berlin, 142 (4), 411–428. Hein, J., 2013. Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD+),

Transnational Conservation and Access to Land in Jambi, Indonesia. EFForTS Discussion Paper Series 2 [online]. Available from: www.die-

gdi.de/uploads/media/Hein_Reducing_emissions.pdf [Accessed 29 March 2017].

Hein, J., 2014. Politiken zur Reduktion von Emissionen aus Entwaldung und Schädigung von Wäldern (REDD+). PERIPHERIE, 136 (34), 508–511.

Hein, J., 2016. Rescaling Conflictive Access and Property Relations in the Context of REDD+ in Jambi, Indonesia. PhD thesis. Georg August Universität Göttingen.

Hein, J., and Faust, H., 2014. Conservation, REDD+ and the struggle for land in Jambi, Indonesia, Pacific Geographies, 41, 20-25.

Hein, J., et al., 2016. Rescaling of access and property relations in a frontier landscape: Insights from Jambi, Indonesia. The Professional Geographer, 68 (3), 380–389.

Horstmann, B., and Hein, J., 2017, Does the UNFCCC Regime Align Climate Mitigation with Sustainable Development? Governance approaches under the CDM, REDD+ and the GCF (Studies 96). Bonn, DE: Deutsches Institut für Entwicklungspolitik.

IKI. 2016. Harapan Rainforest: Pilot restoration of a degraded forest ecosystem on Sumatra. Berlin: German Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation, Building and

Nuclear Safety. International Climate Initiative (IKI).

Klasen, S., et al., 2016. Economic and ecological trade-offs of agricultural specialization at different spatial scales. Ecological Economics, 122, 111–120.

Kunz, Y., et al., 2016. Mimicry of the legal: Translating de jure land formalization processes into de facto local action in Jambi province, Sumatra. Austrian Journal of South-East Asian Studies, 9 (1), 127-139.

Leichenko, R.M., O'Brien, K.L., and Solecki, W.D., 2010. Climate change and the global financial crisis: A case of double exposure. Annals of the Association of American Geographers. 100 (4), 963-972.

Lele, S., 2013. Environmentalisms, justices and the limits of ecosystem services frameworks. In: T. Sikor, ed., The Justices and Injustices of Ecosystem Services, New York: Routledge.

119-139. Liverman, D., 2015. Reading climate change and climate governance as political ecologies. In: T. Perreault, G. Bridge, and J. McCarthy, eds. The Routledge Handbook of Political Ecology.

London: Routledge, 303-319. Locher-Scholten, E., 2004. Sumatran Sultanate and Colonial State: Jambi and the rise of Dutch

imperialism, 1830–1907 (37). Ithaca, NY: Cornell South East Asia Program Publications.

McAfee, K., 2012a. Nature in the market-world: Ecosystem services and inequality. Development, 55 (1), 25-33.

McAfee, K., 2012b. The contradictory logic of global ecosystem services markets. Development and Change, 43 (1), 105-131.

McShane, T.O., et al., 2011. Hard choices: Making trade-offs between biodiversity conservation and human well-being. Biological Conservation, 144 (3), 966–972.

Merten, J., et al., 2016. Water scarcity and oil palm expansion: Social views and environmental processes. Ecology and Society [online], 21 (2). Available from:

www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol.21/iss2/art5/ [Accessed 10 March 2017].

Munang, R., et al., 2013. Climate change and ecosystem-based adaptation: A new pragmatic approach to buffering climate change impacts. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 5 (1), 67–71.

NABU, 2010. Harapan – Hoffnung für Tiger & Co. NABU-INFO 3/2010, Berlin: Naturschutzbund Deutschland Bundesverband.

NEA, 2009. Indonesia-Singapore Collaboration to Deal with the Land and Forest Fires in Jambi Province. NEA-Singapore National Environment Agency.

Osborne, T.M., 2011. Carbon forestry and agrarian change: Access and land control in a Mexican rainforest. Journal of Peasant Studies, 38 (4), 859–883.

Osborne, T.M., 2013. Fixing carbon, losing ground: Payments for environmental services and land (in) security in Mexico. Human Geography, 6 (1), 119–133.

Parker, D., 2013. Indonesian Palm Oil Company Demolishes Homes and Evicts Villagers in Week-long Raid [online]. Available from: https://news.mongabay.com/2013/12/indonesian-palmoil-company-demolishes-homes-and-evicts-villagers-in-week-long-raid/ [Accessed 29 March

Peet, R., Robbins, P., and Watts, M., 2011. Global nature. In: R. Peet, P. Robbins, and M. Watts, eds, Global Political Ecology. Milton Park: Routledge, 1-47.

Pemerintah Provinsi Jambi . 2012. Rencana Aksi Daerah Penurunan Emisi Gas Rumah Kaca (RAD GRK) Provinsi Jambi, Indonesia Pemerintah Provinsi Jambi.

Perbatakusuma, E.A., et al., 2012. Strategi dan Rencana Aksi Provinsi Jambi 2012–2030. Dokumen Risalah Eksekutif. Jambi, IDN: Komisi Daerah REDD+ Jambi.

Radjawali, I., Pye, O., and Flitner, M., 2017. Recognition through reconnaissance? Using drones for counter-mapping in Indonesia. Journal of Peasant Studies, 44 (4), 817–833.

Rol , 2011. Presidential Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia No. 61 Year 2011 on the National Action Plan for Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reductions. Jakarta: Republic of Indonesia (Rol), 61.

Rol , 2013. National Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation (RAN-API) Synthesis Report [online]. Jakarta: Republic of Indonesia (Rol). Available from:

https://gc21.giz.de/ibt/var/app/wp342deP/1443/wp-content/uploads/filebase/programme-info/RAN-API Synthesis Report 2013.pdf [Accessed 29 March 2017].

Rol , 2016. First Nationally Determined Contribution [online]. Jakarta: Republic of Indonesia (Rol). Available from:

www4.unfccc.int/ndcregistry/PublishedDocuments/Indonesia%20First/First%20NDC%20Indone sia_submitted%20to%20UNFCCC%20Set_November%20%202016.pdf [Accessed 29 March 2017].

Schade, J., and Obergassel, W., 2014. Human rights and the clean development mechanism. Cambridge Review of International Affairs, 27 (4), 717–735.

Schwarze, S. , et al., 2015. Rubber vs. Oil Palm: An analysis of factors influencing smallholders' crop choice in Jambi, Indonesia. EFForTS Discussion Paper Series 11 [online]. Available from: www.die-gdi.de/uploads/media/2015_goedoc_jonas_hein_rubber_vs_oilpalm_02.pdf [Accessed 29 March 2017].

Smits, M., and Middleton, C., 2014. New arenas of engagement at the water governance-climate finance nexus? An analysis of the boom and bust of hydropower CDM projects in Vietnam. Water Alternatives, 7 (3), 561–583.

Steinebach, S., 2013. 'Today we occupy the plantation—tomorrow Jakarta': Indigeneity, land and oil palm plantations in Jambi. Adat and Indigeneity in Indonesia, (7), 63–79.

Steinebach, S., and Kunz, Y., 2017. Separating sisters from brothers: Ethnic relations and identity politics in the context of indigenous land titling. Austrian Journal of South-East Asian Studies, 10 (1), 47–64.

Swart, R., and Raes, F., 2007. Making integration of adaptation and mitigation work: Mainstreaming into sustainable development policies? Climate Policy, 7 (4), 288–303. Teuscher, M., et al., 2016. Experimental biodiversity enrichment in oil-palm-dominated landscapes in Indonesia. Frontiers in Plant Science, 7, 1–15.

Valin, H., et al., 2015. The Land Use Change Impact of Biofuels Consumed in the EU: Ouantification of area and greenhouse gas impacts. Utrecht, NL: ECOFYS.

Watts, M., 2015. Introductory overview: The origins of political ecology. *In:* T. Perreault , G. Bridge , and J. McCarthy , eds, The Routledge Handbook of Political Ecology. London: Routledge. 19–50.

World Bank , 1988. Indonesia: The transmigration program in perspective. Washington, DC: World Bank.

Zimmerer, K.S., and Bassett, T.J., 2003. Political Ecology: An integrative approach to geography and environment-development studies. New York: Guilford Press.

Adapting in the borderlands

Altieri, M.A., and Nicholls, C.I., 2013. Agroecología y resiliencia al cambio climático: principios y consideraciones metodológicas. Agroecología, 8 (1), 7–20.

Avelino, J., et al., 2015. The coffee rust crises in Colombia and Central America (2008–2013): Impacts, plausible causes and proposed solutions. Food Security, 7 (2), 303–321.

Barnes, J. , et al., 2013. Contribution of anthropology to the study of climate change. Nature Climate Change, 3 (6), 541–544.

Biesbroek, G.R., Swart, R.J., and van der Knaap, W.G.M., 2009. The mitigation—adaptation dichotomy and the role of spatial planning. Habitat International, 33 (3), 230–237.

Büscher, B., et al., 2012. Towards a synthesized critique of neoliberal biodiversity conservation. Capitalism Nature Socialism, 23 (2), 4–30.

Carrasco, J., et al., no date. Impactos del Cambio Climático, Adaptación y Desarrollo en las Regiones Montañosas de América Latina. FAO, Banco Mundial, Gobierno de chile, Alianza para las Montañas.

COLPOS-CONAFOR , 2008. Evaluación externa de los apoyos de los servicios ambientales . Ejercicio fiscal, 2007. México: CONAFOR.

CONAFOR, 2016. Conservación, restauración y aprovechamiento sustentable en el Estado de Chiapas [online]. Available from: www.conafor.gob.mx/web/temas-forestales/bycc/redd-en-mexico/acciones-tempranas-redd/conservacion-restauracion-y-aprovechamiento-sustentable-en-el-estado-de-chiapas/ [Accessed 23 October 2017].

CONANP, FMCN, and TNC, 2011. Guía para la elaboración de programas de adaptación al cambio climático en áreas naturales protegidas. México. Comisión Nacional de Áreas Naturales Protegidas (CONANP)-Fondo Mexicano para la Conservación de la Naturalesa A.C (FMCN), and the Nature Conservancy (TNC).

Damián, A., 1988. Conformación histórica de la región del Soconusco, Chiapas. Estudios Fronterizos, 17, 61–80.

Dempsey, J. , and Suarez, D.C. , 2016. Arrested development? The promises and paradoxes of 'selling nature to save it'. Annals of the American Association of Geographers, 106 (3), 653–671.

Dietz, K., 2013. Hacia una teoría crítica de vulnerabilidad y adaptación: aportes para una reconceptualización desde la ecología política. *In:* A. Ulloa and A.I. Prieto-Rozo, eds, Culturas, conocimientos, políticas y ciudadanías en torno al cambio climático. Bogotá, COL: Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Sede Bogotá, Facultad de Ciencias Humanas, 19–46.

Dumoulin-Kervran, D., 2007. Las políticas de las ANP (Areas Naturales Protegidas) como laboratorio para los esquemas público-privado. Una interpretación a partir del Fondo Mexicano para la Conservación de la Naturaleza. *In:* G. van Vliet and G. Fontaine, eds, Viajes en los terruños de la gobernabilidad en las políticas ambientales en América Latina. Quito, ECU: Flacso-Sede Ecuador, 57–78.

Eakin, H., Tucker, C.M., and Castellanos, E., 2005. Market shocks and climate variability: The coffee crisis in Mexico, Guatemala, and Honduras. Mountain Research and Development, 25 (4), 304–309.

Eakin, H., Tucker, C., and Castellanos, E., 2006. Responding to the coffee crisis: A pilot study of farmers' adaptations in Mexico, Guatemala and Honduras. The Geographical Journal, 172 (2), 156–171.

Eriksen, S.H., Nightingale, A.J., and Eakin, H., 2015. Reframing adaptation: The political nature of climate change adaptation. Global Environmental Change, 35, 523–533.

Escenario. Periodismo Actual, 2017. Jornaleros guatemaltecos no vienen a cortar café a Chiapas. Escenario. Periodismo Actual, 9 (171), 11. Tapachula: Chiapas.

Fábregas Puig, A., and González Ponciano, R., 2014. La frontera México-Guatemala, Guatemala-México: 1983–2013. Frontera norte, 26 (SPE3), 7–35.

Felli, R., and Castree, N., 2012. Neoliberalising adaptation to environmental change: Foresight or foreclosure? Environment and Planning A, 44 (1), 1–4.

Gay, C., et al., 2006. Potential impacts of climate change on agriculture: A case of study of coffee production in Veracruz, Mexico. Climatic Change, 79 (3–4), 259–288.

Goodman, D., 2008. The international coffee crisis: A review of the issues. *In:* C.M. Bacon, et al., eds, Confronting the Coffee Crisis: Fair trade, sustainable livelihoods and ecosystem in Mexico and Central America. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 18–42.

Grajales, M., De la Piedra, R., and López, J., 2008. Diagnóstico biofísico y socioeconómico de la parte media y alta de la subcuenca Cohatan, Chiapas. Avances en Investigación Agropecuaria, 12 (1), 28–44.

Henderson, T.P., 2017. La reestructuración de los sectores del café y el cacao en México y Ecuador. Control agroempresarial de la tierra y trabajo campesino. LiminaR. Estudios Sociales y Humanísticos, 15 (1), 128–141.

Henríquez, E., 2013. Cosechas de café en Chiapas podrían caer 60% por la plaga de la roya. La Jornada, 14 July, 26.

Hernández, R.A., and Nigh, R., 1998. Global processes and local identity among Mayan coffee growers in Chiapas, Mexico. American Anthropologist, 100 (1), 136–147.

Läderach, P., et al., 2011. Café MesoAmericano: Desarrollo de una estrategia de adaptación al cambio climático. CIAT. Políticas en síntesis, 2, 1–4.

Landeros Martínez, E.I., 2013. Las rutas del café mexicano: Zona de desastre. FronteraD Revista Digital [online]. Available from: www.fronterad.com/?q=rutas-cafe-mexicano-zonas-desastre [Accessed 23 January 2017].

Lewis, S.E. , 2005. The Ambivalent Revolution: Forging state and nation in Chiapas,

1910–1945. Albuquerque, NM: University of New Mexico Press.

Locatelli, B., 2014. Sinergias de adaptación-mitigación. HAL. Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), (18 August), 4.

Lohmann, L., 2010. Uncertainty markets and carbon markets: Variations on Polanyian themes. New Political Economy, 15 (2), 225–254.

López, I., 2013. Aplicarán 8 millones de pesos para combatir la roya en cafetales. El Heraldo de Chiapas.

Marañón-Pimental, B., 2012. Forced labor and coloniality of power in Chiapas, Mexico, in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. Review (Fernand Braudel Center), 35 (3/4), 211–238.

Martínez, T., and Torres, Y., 2016. Producción de café al menor nivel en cuatro décadas.

Observatorio Económico LatinoAmericano, OBELA [online]. Available from: www.obela.org/palabras-clave/caf%C3%A9-baja-producci%C3%B3n-m%C3%A9xico

[Accessed 23 January 2017]. McAfee, K., and Shapiro, E.N., 2010. Payments for ecosystem services in Mexico: Nature, neoliberalism, social movements, and the state. Annals of the Association of American

Geographers, 100 (3), 579–599.

McCook, S., and Vandermeer, J., 2015. The big rust and the red queen: Long-term

perspectives on coffee rust research. Phytopathology, 105 (9), 1164–1173. Newell, P., and Paterson, M., 2010. Climate Capitalism: Global warming and the transformation of the global economy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Nolan-Ferrell, C.A., 2005. El desarrollo de una región sin una identidad nacional: La zona del Soconusco, Chiapas, 1880–1920. *In:* M.D. Palomo-Infante and M. Olivera-Bustamante, eds,

Chiapas: de la Independencia a la Revolución. México, D.F: Publicaciones de la Casa Cata, 301–312.

Nolan-Ferrell, C., 2010. Agrarian reform and revolutionary justice in Soconusco, Chiapas: Campesinos and the Mexican state, 1934–1940. Journal of Latin American Studies, 42 (3),

Campesinos and the Mexican state, 1934–1940. Journal of Latin American Studies, 42 (3), 551–585.

Programa Mexicano del Carbono , 2016. Una REDD para Salvar la Sombra de la Sierra Madre de Chiapas: la Roya del Cafeto. Breves de Políticas Públicas, June 2016, 4.

Robertson, M., 2012. Measurement and alienation: Making a world of ecosystem services. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, 37 (3), 386–401.

Ruiz Meza, L.E., 2012. Cambio climático y migraciones laborales en la Frontera Sur de

Ruiz Meza, L.E., 2012. Cambio climático y migraciones laborales en la Frontera Sur de México. Revista Luna Azul, 35, 301–320.

Schroth, G., et al., 2009. Towards a climate change adaptation strategy for coffee communities and ecosystems in the Sierra Madre de Chiapas, Mexico. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 14 (7), 605–625.

SEMARNAT and CONANP , 2013. Programa de Manejo Reserva de la Biosfera Volcán Tacaná. México D.F.: SEMARNAT y CONANP.

Taylor, M., 2015. The Political Ecology of Climate Change Adaptation: Livelihoods, agrarian change and the conflicts of development. New York: Routledge.

Tovar González, M.E , 2000. Extranjeros en el Soconusco. Revista de humanidades: Tecnológico de Monterrey, 8.

Trinidad, J.M., 2016. Afecta plaga de roya naranja por el rebrote a 20 mil hectáreas de café en Chiapas. Tapachula Hoy, 7 March, 15.

Urry, J. , 2011. Climate Change and Society. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Villers, L., et al., 2009. Impactos del cambio climático en la floración y desarrollo del fruto del café en Veracruz, México. Interciencia: Revista de ciencia y tecnología de América, 34 (5), 322–329.

Climate change adaptation narratives in the Gulf of Mexico

- Allub, L., 1985. Polarización de clases y conflicto social en regiones petroleras. Estudios Sociológicos, 3 (8), 351–370.
- Batterbury, S., Timothy, F., and Thomson, K., 1997. Environmental transformations in developing countries: Hybrid research and democratic policy. The Geographic Journal, 163 (2), 126–132.
- Beck, S., 2011. Moving beyond the linear model of expertise? IPCC and the test of adaptation. Regional Environmental Change, 11 (2), 297–306.
- Botello, A., Goñi, J., and Castro, S., 1983. Levels of organic pollution in coastal lagoons of Tabasco State, Mexico; I: Petroleum hydrocarbons. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 31, 271–277.
- Bravo, M.T., 2009. Voices from the sea ice: The reception of climate impact narratives. Journal of Historical Geography, 35 (2), 256–278.
- Buenfil, J., 2009. Executive summary. *In:* J. Buenfil, ed., Adaptación a los impactos del cambio climático en los humedales costeros del Golfo de México. México: SEMARNAT, 25–34.
- Cameron, E.S., 2012. Securing indigenous politics: A critique of the vulnerability and adaptation approach to the human dimensions of climate change in the Canadian Arctic. Global Environmental Change, 22 (1), 103–114.
- Campbell, J., Goldsmith, M., and Koshy, K., 2005. Community Relocation as an Option for Adaptation to the Effects of Climate Change and Climate Variability in Pacific Island Countries (PICs). Asia-Pacific Network for Global Change Research.
- CICC , 2007. Estrategia nacional de cambio climático. Comisión Intersecretarial de Cambio Climático. México: SEMARNAT.
- CICC, 2012a. Adaptación al cambio climático en México: Visión, elementos y criterios para la toma de decisiones. Comisión Intersecretarial de Cambio Climático. México: SEMARNAT.
- CICC , 2012b. Quinta comunicación nacional ante la convención marco de las Naciones Unidas sobre el cambio climático. Comisión Intersecretarial de Cambio Climático. México: SEMARNAT.
- CONEVAL, 2012. Informe de pobreza y evaluación en el estado de Tabasco 2012. México: Consejo Nacional de Evaluación de la Política de Desarrollo Social.
- Dreher, T. , and Voyer, M. , 2014. Climate refugees or migrants? Contesting media frames on climate justice in the Pacific. Environmental Communication.
- Dudley, N. , et al., 2010. Natural Solutions: Protected areas helping people cope with climate change. Slovenia: IUCN/WCPA 'Parks for Life' Coordination Office.
- Fairhead, J., and Leach, M., 1995. False forest history, complicit social analysis rethinking some West African environmental narratives. World Development, 23 (6), 1023–1035.
- Fairhead, J., and Leach, M., 2000. Desiccation and domination: Science and struggles over environment and development. Journal of African History, 41 (1), 35–54.
- Farbotko, C., and Lazrus, H., 2012. The first climate refugees? Contesting global narratives of climate change in Tuvalu. Global Environmental Change, 22 (2), 382–390.
- Felli, R., and Castree, N., 2012. Commentary. Environment and Planning A, 44, 1–4.
- Ferguson, J., 1994. The Anti-politics Machine: Development, depoliticization, and bureaucratic power. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Forsyth, T., 2003. Critical Political Ecology: The politics of environmental science. New York: Routledge.
- Forsyth, T., and Walker, A., 2008. Forest Guardians, Forest Destroyers: The politics of environmental knowledge in northern Thailand. Seattle, WA: University of Washington Press.
- Gama, L., 2008. Evaluación de la vulnerabilidad de los estados del sureste de México ante lluvias extremas debidas a la variabilidad y el cambio climático: Tabasco, estudio de caso. Final report. Tabasco: SEMARNAT.
- Gasper, D., and Apthorpe, R., 1996. Introduction: Discourse analysis and policy discourse. European Journal of Development Research, 8 (1), 1–15.
- Hajer, M.A., and Versteeg, W., 2005. A decade of discourse analysis of environmental politics: Achievements, challenges, perspectives. Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning, 7 (3), 175–184.
- Halpin, P.N., 1997. Global climate change and natural-area protection: Management responses and research directions. Ecological Applications, 7 (3), 828–843.

Hartmann, B., 2010. Rethinking climate refugees and climate conflict: Rhetoric, reality and the politics of policy discourse. Journal of International Development, 22 (2), 233–246.

Hernández, J.R., et al., 2008. Morfodinámica de la línea de costa del estado de Tabasco, México: Tendencias desde la segunda mitad del siglo XX hasta el presente. Boletín Del Instituto De Geografía, 65, 7–21.

Heyd, T. , and Brooks, N. , 2009. Exploring cultural dimensions of adaptation to climate change. In: W.N. Adger , I. Lorenzoni , and K. O'Brien , eds, Adapting to Climate Change: Thresholds,

values, governance. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 269–282.

INEGI , 2010. Censo de población y vivienda 2010 [online]. Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía. Available from: www3.inegi.org.mx/sistemas/iter/consultar_info.aspx [Accessed 20 December 2011].

INEGI , 2014. Mapa digital de Mexico [online]. Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI). Available from: www.inegi.org.mx/geo/contenidos/mapadigital/ [Accessed 8 June 2014].

Leach, M., Scoones, I., and Stirling, A., 2010. Governing epidemics in an age of complexity: Narratives, politics and pathways to sustainability. Global Environmental Change, 20 (3), 369–377.

Lezama, J.L., 1987. Migración y petróleo en Tabasco. Estudios Demográficos y Urbanos, 2 (5), 231–256.

Li, T. , 2007. The Will to Improve: Governmentality, development and the practice of politics. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Marston, G., 2004. Social Policy and Discourse Analysis: Policy change in public housing. Farnham: Ashgate.

Negrete, M.E., 1984. Petróleo y desarrollo regional: El caso de Tabasco. Demografía y Economía, 18 (1), 86–109.

Nelson, D.R., West, C.T., and Finan, T.J., 2010. In focus: Global change and adaptation in local places. American Anthropologist, 111 (3), 271–274.

Okereke, C., Bulkeley, H., and Schroeder, H., 2009. Conceptualizing climate governance beyond the international regime. Global Environmental Politics, 9 (1), 58–78.

Paz, M.F., 2002. Entre el interés público y los intereses colectivos: Obstáculos y oportunidades para la participación ciudadana en el Corredor Biológico Chichinautzin, Morelos. PhD thesis. Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana.

Paz, M.F., and Vázquez, L.M., 2002. Control de los recursos naturales y conflictos territoriales en la zona de bosque templado del norte de Morelos: Un recorrido entre lo local y lo regional. *In:* J. Delgadillo, ed. Actualidad de la investigación regional en el México central. México: UNAM. 309–328.

Pérez, E., et al., 2012. Contexto de vulnerabilidad de las mujeres desconchadoras de ostión (crassostrea virginica), del Ejido Sinaloa, Primera Sección, de Cárdenas, Tabasco. Agricultura, Sociedad y Desarrollo, 9 (2), 123–148.

Pérez-Sánchez, E., and Muir, J.F., 2003. Fishermen perception on resource management and aquaculture development in the Mecoacan estuary, Tabasco, Mexico. Ocean and Coastal Management, 46 (6), 681–700.

Ponce, G., and Botello, A.V., 2005. Niveles de hidrocarburos en el Golfo de Mexico. *In:* A. Botello, et al., eds, Golfo de México. Contaminación e impacto ambiental: Diagnostico y tendencias. México: Instituto Nacional de Ecología, 681–695.

Presidencia de la República . 2007. Plan Nacional de Desarrollo [online]. Available from: http://pnd.calderon.presidencia.gob.mx/pdf/PND_2007-2012.pdf [Accessed 16 September 2017].

Ribot, J., 2011. Vulnerability before Adaptation: Toward transformative climate action. Global Environmental Change, 21, 1160–1162.

Rodríguez, E., Jiménez, I.S., and Valenzuela, M., 1995. Contaminación acuática generada por la producción de hidrocarburos en Tabasco. Tabasco, MX: Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco.

Roe, E., 1991. Development narratives, or making the best of blueprint development. World Development, 19 (4), 287–300.

Roe, E., 1995. Except Africa: Postscritp to a special section on development narratives. World Development, 23 (6), 1065–1069.

Rosas, I., Báez, A., and Belmont, R., 1983. Oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) as indicator of heavy metal pollution in some lagoons of the Gulf of Mexico. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 20 (2), 127–135.

Scoones, I., 1997. The dynamics of soil fertility change: Historical perspectives on environmental transformation from Zimbabwe. The Geographical Journal, 163 (2), 161–169.

Scott, J.C., 1998. Seeing Like a State: How certain schemes to improve the human condition have failed. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

SERNAPAM , 2011. Programa estatal de acción ante el cambio climático. Tabasco, MX: Secretaría de Recursos Naturales y Protección Ambiental.

Smit, B., et al., 2000. An anatomy of adaptation to climate change and variability. Climatic Change, 45 (1), 223–251.

Town, S., and Hanson, H., 2001. Oil at the grassroots: Report from Tabasco. NACLA Report on the Americas, 34 (4), 34–35.

Tudela, F. , 1989. La modernización forzada del trópico: El caso de Tabasco. México: El Colegio de México.

Vázquez, L.M., 2014. Adaptation Narratives: Climate change and environmental politics in Mexican coastal communities. PhD thesis. York University.

Vázquez, F., and Pérez, L., 2002. Concentrations of elements and metals in sediments of the southeastern Gulf of Mexico. Environmental Geology, 42 (1), 41–46.

Velázquez, M.G., 1982. Afectaciones petroleras en Tabasco: El movimiento del Pacto Ribereño. Revista Mexicana de Sociología, 44 (1), 167–187.

Leaving the comfort zone

Benz, A., 2000. Politische Steuerung in lose gekoppelten Mehrebenensystemen. *In:* R. Werle and U. Schimank, eds, Gesellschaftliche Komplexität und kollektive Handlungsfähigkeit. Frankfurt am Main: Campus, 125–154.

BMBF (Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung) , no date. Über KLIMZUG [online]. Berlin: BMBF. Available from: www.klimzug.de/160.php [Accessed 1 October 2013].

Böcher, M., and Tränkner, S., 2008. Erfolgsfaktoren integrierter ländlicher Entwicklung. *In:* M. Böcher, M. Krott, and S. Tränkner, eds, Regional Governance und integrierte ländliche Entwicklung. Ergebnisse der Begleitforschung zum Modell- und Demonstrationsvorhaben "Regionen Aktiv". Wiesbaden, DE: VS-Verlag, 109–149.

Bundesregierung , 2008. Deutsche Anpassungsstrategie an den Klimawandel [online]. Berlin: Bundesregierung. Available from: www.bmub.bund.de/fileadmin/bmu-

import/files/pdfs/allgemein/application/pdf/das_gesamt_bf.pdf [Accessed 7 November 2017]. Bundesregierung , 2011. Aktionsplan Anpassung der Deutschen Anpassungsstrategie an den Klimawandel [online]. Berlin: Bundesregierung. Available from: www.bmub.bund.de/fileadmin/bmu-

import/files/pdfs/allgemein/application/pdf/aktionsplan_anpassung_klimawandel_bf.pdf [Accessed 7 November 2017].

Dietz, K., and Brunnengräber, A., 2016. Klimaanpassung. *In:* S. Bauriedl, ed., Wörterbuch Klimadebatte. Bielefeld: transcript, 127–131.

Flitner, M. , and Garrelts, H. , 2012. Postdemokratie und Kälte auf Rädern: Ein Dialog über Politik und Klimawandel in der Metropolregion Bremen-Oldenburg im Jahre 2037.

Hannoversche Geographische Arbeiten, 61 (Nordwestdeutschland 2037), 66-73.

Fürst, D., 2004. Regional governance. *In:* A. Benz, ed., Governance: Regieren in komplexen Regelsystemen. Eine Einführung. Opladen, DE: VS-Verlag, 45–64.

Garrelts, H., et al., 2013. Vulnerabilität und Klimaanpassung. Herausforderungen adaptiver Governance im Nordwesten Deutschlands. Retrieved from Nordwest2050 Database (23. Werkstattbericht 2013).

Garrelts, H., Herbeck, J., and Flitner, M., 2015. 'Raus aus der Komfortzone': Regional Governance im Kontext des Projekts nordwest2050. *In:* J. Knieling and A. Roßnagel, eds, Governance der Klimaanpassung. Akteure, Organisation und Instrumente für Stadt und Region. München: oekom, 267–282.

Giessen, L., 2010a. Regional Forest Governance: Forstliche Potentiale und politische Kräfte in der integrierten ländlichen Entwicklung, Cumulative thesis (PhD), Universitätsverlag Göttingen.

Giessen, L., 2010b. Regional Governance für ländliche Räume – innovativer Ansatz, politischer Gegenwind und der Weg vorwärts. Raumforschung und Raumordnung, 68 (1), 3-14.

Gleich, A. v. 2009, nordwest2050, Auf dem Weg zu einer klimaangepassten Region, Lecture by Prof. Dr Arnim von Gleich (Universität Bremen) at the kickoff meeting for the nordwest2050 project at Bremen City Hall.

Jordan, A., Wurzel, R.K.W., and Zito, A., 2005. The rise of 'new' policy instruments in comparative perspective: Has governance eclipsed government? Political Studies, 53 (3), 477-496.

Karlstetter, N., and Pfriem, R. 2010. Bestandsaufnahme: Kriterien zur Regulierung von Flächennutzungskonflikten zur Sicherung der Ernährungsversorgung. Retrieved from Nordwest2050 Database. (4. Werkstattbericht 2010).

Knieling, J., and Roßnagel, A., 2015. Welche Governance brauchen Städte und Regionen für die Anpassung an den Klimawandel? Fragestellungen und Zugänge aus der

Forschungsinitiative, Klimzug – Klimawandel in Regionen zukunftsfähig gestalten'. In: J. Knieling and A. Roßnagel, eds, Governance der Klimaanpassung. Akteure, Organisation und Instrumente für Stadt und Region. München: Oekom, 9-25.

Maasen, S., and Lieven, O. 2006. Transdisciplinarity: A new mode of governing science? Science and Public Policy, 33 (6), 399-410.

MPR, 2013. Ziele und Aufgaben [online]. Metropolregion Bremen/Oldenburg im Nordwesten e.V. (MPR). Available from:

www.frischkoepfe.de/internet/page.php?naviID=901000013&site=901000061&brotID=9010000 13&typ=2&rubrik=901000011 [Accessed 1 October 2013].

Nordwest2050, 2013a. Vision 2050 für einen klimaangepassten und resilienten Raum der Metropolregion Bremen-Oldenburg im Nordwesten. Retrieved from Nordwest2050 Database. Nordwest2050, 2013b Auricher Erklärung, Outcome of the conference "Klimaangepasste Landnutzung im Nordwesten – Lösungsansätze rund um die Ernährungswirtschaft". Retrieved

O'Brien, K., et al., 2007, Why different interpretations of vulnerability matter in climate discourses. Climate Policy, 7 (1), 73-88.

Offe, C., 2008. Governance: 'Empy signifier' oder sozialwissenschaftliches

from Nordwest2050 Database.

Forschungsprogramm? Politische Vierteliahresschrift. Special Issue 41. 61–76. Prittwitz, V.v., 2007, Vergleichende Politikanalyse, Stuttgart; Lucius & Lucius.

Pütz, M., 2004, Regional Governance: Theoretisch-konzeptionelle Grundlagen und eine Analyse nachhaltiger Siedlungsentwicklung in der Metropolregion München. München: oekom.

Rotter, M., et al., 2013, Stakeholder Participation in Adaptation to Climate Change; Lessons and experience from Germany [online], Dessau, DE: Umweltbundesamt, Available from: www.uba.de/uba-info-medien-e/4558.html [Accessed 1 October 2013].

Schuchardt, B., Wittig, S., and Spiekermann, J., 2011. Klimawandel in der Metropolregion Bremen-Oldenburg, Regionale Analyse der Vulnerabilität ausgewählter Sektoren und Handlungsbereiche. Retrieved from Nordwest2050 Database (11. Werkstattbericht 2011).

Swyngedouw, E., 2010. Apocalypse forever? Post-political populism and the spectre of climate change. Theory, Culture and Society, 27 (2-3), 213-232.

Weller, I., et al., 2010. Untersuchung der Wahrnehmung des Klimawandels und seiner Folgen für Konsumverhalten und Vulnerabilität in der Nordwest-Region. Ergebnisse einer explorativen Studie. Retrieved from Nordwest2050 Database (6. Werkstattbericht 2010).

Winges, M., et al., 2015. Die Anpassungskapazität der Regionalplanung in der Metropolregion Bremen-Oldenburg. In: J. Knieling and A. Roßnagel, eds, Governance der Klimaanpassung. Akteure, Organisation und Instrumente für Stadt und Region. München: oekom, 195–212.

Winter, T.v., and Willems, U., 2000. Die politische Repräsentation schwacher Interessen. Anmerkungen zum Stand und zu den Perspektiven der Forschung, T.v. Winter and U. Willems, eds, Politische Repräsentation schwacher Interessen. Anmerkungen zum Stand und den Perspektiven der Forschung. Wiesbaden, DE: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften, 9-36.

Reconfiguring climate change adaptation policy

de Sousa Santos, B. , 2006. La sociología de las ausencias y la sociología de las emergencias: Para una ecología de saberes. *In:* B. de Sousa Santos , Renovar la teoría crítica y reinventar la emancipación social (Encuentros en Buenos Aires). Buenos Aires: CLASCO, 13–41.

de Sousa Santos, B., 2010. Descolonizar el saber, reinventar el poder. Montevideo: Trilce. Dietz, K., 2013. Hacia una teoría crítica de vulnerabilidad y adaptación: aportes para una reconceptualización desde la ecología política. *In:* A. Ulloa and A. Prieto-Rozo, eds, Culturas, conocimientos, políticas y ciudadanías en torno al cambio climático. Bogotá: Universidad

Nacional de Colombia, 19–46.

DNP, 2012. Plan nacional de Adaptación al Cambio Climático. ABC: Adaptación bases conceptuales. Marco Conceptual y lineamientos. Bogotá: Departamento Nacional de Planeación (DNP).

Escobar, A., 2015. Territorios de diferencia: la ontología política de los 'derechos al territorio'. Desenvolv Meio Ambiente. 35. 89–100.

Eriksen, S., and Lind, J., 2009. Adaptation as a political process: Adjusting to drought and conflict in Kenya's drylands. Environmental Management, 43 (5), 817–835.

Görg, C., 1998. Die Regulation der biologischen Vielfalt und die Krise gesellschaftlicher Naturverhältnisse. *In:* M. Flitner, et al., eds, Konfliktfeld Natur. Biologische Ressourcen und globale Politik. Opladen, DE: Leske + Budrich, 39–61.

Görg, C. 2003. Gesellschaftstheorie und Naturverhältnisse. Von den Grenzen der Regulationstheorie. *In:* U. Brand and W. Raza , eds, Fit für den Postfordismus? Münster, DE: Westfälisches Dampfboot, 175–194.

Görg, C., 2004. Postfordistische Transformation der Naturverhältnisse. *In:* J. Beerhorst, et al., eds, Kritische Theorie im gesellschaftlichen Strukturwandel. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 199–226.

Head, L., 2010. Cultural ecology: Adaptation – retrofitting a concept? Progress in Human Geography, 34 (2), 234–242.

Henao, C., and Farekatde, G., 2013. Concepción y control del clima entre los hijos del tabaco, la coca y la yuca dulce del resguardo Predio Putumayo, La Chorrera, (Amazonas, Colombia). *In:* A. Ulloa and A. Prieto-Rozo, eds, Culturas, conocimientos, políticas y ciudadanías en torno al cambio climático. Bogotá: Universidad Nacional de Colombia, 317–349.

Liverman, D., 2015. Reading climate change and climate governance as political ecologies. *In:* T. Perreault, G. Bridge, and J. McCarthy, eds, The Routledge Handbook of Political Ecology. London: Routledge, 303–319.

Mignolo, W., 2003. Historias locales/diseños globales: Colonialidad, conocimientos subalternos y pensamiento fronterizo. Madrid: Ediciones Akal.

Puenayan, Z., 2013. Mingambis: minga de percepciones y concepciones propias de los indígenas pastos, sobre tiempo y clima, resguardo Panan, Cumbal (Nariño, Colombia). *In:* A. Ulloa and A. Prieto-Rozo, eds, Culturas, conocimientos, políticas y ciudadanías en torno al cambio climático. Bogotá: Universidad Nacional de Colombia, 273–316.

Rudnev, V., 1997. Ethno-meteorology: A modern view about folk signs. *In:* M. Goloubinoi , E. Katz , and L. Annamaria , eds, Antropología del clima en el mundo hispanoAmericano. Quito: Abya-Yala, 27–47.

Ulloa, A., 2011a. Políticas globales del cambio climático: nuevas geopolíticas del conocimiento y sus efectos en territorios indígenas. *In:* A. Ulloa, ed., Perspectivas culturales del clima. Bogotá: Universidad Nacional de Colombia-ILSA, 477–493.

Ulloa, A., 2011b. Autonomie indigène et politiques globales du changement climatique: repenser la relation avec la nature dans la Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta, Colombie. *In:* C. Gros and D. Dumoulin Kervran, eds, Le multiculturalisme 'au concret': Un modèle latino-américain? Paris: Presses Sorbonne Nouvelle, 361–375.

Ulloa, A., 2011c. The politics of autonomy of indigenous peoples of the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta, Colombia: A process of relational indigenous autonomy. LACES, 6 (1), 79–107. Ulloa, A. 2017. Geopolitics of carbonized nature and the zero carbon citizen. South Atlantic

Quarterly, 116 (1), 111-120.

Watts, M., 2015. Now and then: The origins of political ecology and the rebirth of adaptation as a form of thought. *In:* T. Perreault, G. Bridge, and J. McCarthy, eds, The Routledge Handbook of Political Ecology. London: Routledge, 19–50.

Atlases of community change

ACIA, 2005. Arctic Climate Impact Assessment. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Agrawal, A., 1995. Indigenous and scientific knowledge: Some critical comments. Development and Change, 3 (3), 7–8.

Agrawal, A., 2002. Indigenous knowledge and the politics of classification. International Social Science Journal, 54 (173), 287–297.

AHDR, 2004. Arctic Human Development Report. Akureyri, IS: Stefansson Arctic Institute.

Aporta, C., 2010. The sea, the land, the coast and the winds: Understanding Inuit sea ice use in context. *In:* I. Krupnik, et al., eds, Siku: Knowing our ice: documenting Inuit sea ice knowledge and use. Dodrecht, NL: Springer, 165–182.

Aporta, C., and Higgs, E., 2005. Satellite culture: Global positioning systems, Inuit wayfinding, and the need for a new account of technology. Current Anthropology, 46 (5), 729–753.

Arctic Council, 2013. Arctic Resilience Interim Report 2013. Stockholm: Stockholm Environment Institute and Stockholm Resilience Centre.

Argent, N., and Walmsley, J., 2008. Rural youth migration trends in Australia: An overview of recent trends and two inland case studies. Geographical Research, 46 (2), 139–152.

Barthel, S. , Crumley, C. , and Svedin, U. , 2013. Bio-cultural refugia: Safeguarding diversity of practices for food security and biodiversity. Global Environmental Change, 23 (5), 1142-1152.

Berkes, F., 2008. Sacred Ecology. 2nd edition. New York: Taylor & Francis.

Berkes, F., Berkes, M., and Fast, H., 2007. Collaborative integrated management in Canada's North: The role of local and traditional knowledge and community-based monitoring. Coastal Management, 35 (1), 143–162.

Bryceson, D., 1996. Deagrarization and rural employment in sub-Saharan Africa: A sectoral perspective. World Development, 24 (1), 97–111.

Cajete, G. , 1999. Native Science: Natural laws of interdependence. Santa Fe, NM: Clear Light Publishers.

Carson, D. , et al., 2011. Demography at the Edge: Remote human populations in developed nations. Farnham: Ashgate.

Crate, S., 2002. Viliui Sakha oral history: The key to contemporary household survival. Arctic Anthropology, 39 (1), 134–154.

Crate, S., 2006a. Cows, Kin and Globalization: An ethnography of sustainability. Lanham, MD: Alta Mira/Rowan and Littlefield Press.

Crate, S., 2006b. Investigating local definitions of sustainability in the Arctic: Insights from post-soviet Sakha Villages. Arctic, 59 (3), 294–310.

Crate, S., 2006c. Elder knowledge and sustainable livelihoods in Post-Soviet Russia: Finding dialogue across the generations. Arctic Anthropology, 43 (1), 40–51.

Crate, S., 2011. Climate and culture: Anthropology in the era of contemporary climate change. Annual Review of Anthropology, 40 (1), 175–194.

Crate, S., 2012. Climate change and ice dependent communities: Perspectives from Siberia and Labrador. Polar Journal, 2, 61–75.

Crate, S. , 2014. An ethnography of change in northeastern Siberia: Whither an interdisciplinary role? Sibirica, 13 (1), 30–74.

Crate, S., 2015. Towards imagining the big picture and the finer details: Exploring global applications of a local and scientific knowledge exchange methodology. *In:* J. Tischler and H. Greschke, eds, Grounding Global Climate Change: Contributions from the social and cultural sciences. Berlin: Spring-Verlag.

Crate, S., and Fedorov, A., 2013a. A methodological model for exchanging local and scientific climate change knowledge in northeastern Siberia. Arctic, 66 (3), 338–350.

Crate, S., and Fedorov, A., 2013b. Alamai tiin: Buluu ulustarigar klimat ularitigar uonna atin kihalghar (Alamai tiin: Climate change and other change in the Viliui regions). Yakutsk, RU:

Bichik.

Crate, S. , and Nuttall, M. , eds., 2009. Anthropology and Climate Change: From encounters to actions. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.

Crate, S. , and Nuttall, M. , eds., 2016. Anthropology and Climate Change: From actions to transformations. New York: Routledge Press.

Crumley, C., 2012. A heterarchy of knowledges: Tools for the study of landscape histories and futures. *In:* T. Plieninger and C. Bieling, eds, Resilience and the Cultural Landscape:

Understanding and managing change in human-shaped environments. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 303–314.

Danielsen, F., et al., 2009. Local participation in natural resource monitoring: A characterization of approaches. Conservation Biology, 23 (1), 31–42.

Dearing, J.A. , et al., 2010. Complex land systems: The need for long time perspectives to assess their future. Ecology and Society, 15 (4), 21.

Field, C.B., et al., eds, 2012. Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation. *In:* IPCC, Climate Change 2012: A special report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Garcia, J., and Gonzalez, M., 2004. Rural development, population ageing and gender in Spain: The case of rural women in the autonomous community of Castilla y Leon. 44th European Congress of the European Regional Science Association: 'Regions and Fiscal Federalism', Porto, 25–29 August.

Gearheard, S., et al., 2011. The Igliniit project: Inuit hunters document life on the trail to map and monitor Arctic change. Canadian Geographer/Le Géographe Canadien, 55 (1), 42–55. Glendinning, A., et al., 2003. Rural communities and well-being: A good place to grow up?

Glendinning, A., et al., 2003. Rural communities and well-being: A good place to grow up? Sociological Review, 51 (1), 129–156.

Gregory, T.A., 2006. An evolutionary theory of diversity: The contributions of grounded theory and grounded action reconceptualizing and reframing diversity as a complex phenomenon. World Futures, 62 (7), 542–550.

Hamilton, L.C., and Seyfrit, C.L., 1993. Town-village contrasts in Arctic youth aspirations. Arctic 46 (3), 255–263.

Hansen, K., Rasmussen, R., and Roto, J., eds, 2012. Nordic perspectives on demography: A background report for the project on coastal societies and demography. Nordregio Working Paper 2012, 12.

Heikkilä, K., and Fondahl, G., 2012. Co-managed research: Non-indigenous thoughts on an indigenous toponymy project in northern British Columbia. Journal of Cultural Geography, 29 (1), 61–86.

Herman, R.D.K., 2008. Reflections on the importance of indigenous geography. American Indian Culture and Research Journal, 32 (3), 73–88.

Houghton, J.T., et al., eds, 2001. The scientific basis. *In:* IPCC, Climatic Change 2001: Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climatic Change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hovelsrud, G., Krupnik, I., and White, J., 2011. Human-based observing systems. *In:* I. Krupnik, et al., eds, Understanding Earth's Polar Challenges: International Polar Year 2007–2008. Edmonton, AB: CCI Press, 435–456.

IPCC, 2007. Climate Change 2007: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability: Working Group II: summary for policymakers. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Krupnik, I., and Jolly, D., eds, 2002. The Earth is Faster Now: Indigenous observations of Arctic environmental change. Fairbanks, AK: Arctic Research Consortium of the United States.

Krupnik, I., et al., 2005. Social sciences and humanities in the International Polar Year 2007–2008: An integrating mission. Arctic, 58 (1), 91–101.

Krupnik, I. , et al., eds, 2010. SIKU: Arctic residents document sea ice and climate change. Berlin: Springer.

Leclair, C., and Warren, S., 2007. Portals and potlatch. *In:* L.E. Dyson, M. Hendriks, and S. Grant, eds, Information Technology and Indigenous People: Issues and perspectives. Hershey, PA: IGI Global, 1–13.

Lovecraft, A.L., Meek, C., and Eicken, H., 2012. Connecting scientific observations to stakeholder needs in sea ice social—environmental systems: The institutional geography of northern Alaska. Polar Geography, 36 (1–2), 105–125.

Lundholm, E., and Malmberg, G., 2006. Gains and losses: Outcomes of interregional migration in the five nordic countries. Geografiske Annaler: Series B. Human Geography, 88 (1), 35–48.

Maffi, L. , and Woodley, E. , 2010. Biocultural Diversity Conservation: A global sourcebook. London: Earthscan.

Marino, E.K., 2015. Fierce Climate, Sacred Ground: An ethnography of climate change in Shishmaref, Alaska. Fairbanks, AK: University of Alaska Press.

McCarthy, J.J., and Martello, M.B., eds, 2005. Climate change in the context of multiple stressors and resilience. *In:* Arctic Climate Impact Assessment (ACIA), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 946–988.

McGranahan, D., Cromartie, J., and Wojan, T., 2010. Nonmetropolitan outmigration counties: Some are poor, many are prosperous. Economic Research Report No. ERR-107.

Murphy, B., 2011. From interdisciplinary to inter-epistemological approaches: Confronting the challenges of integrated climate change research. Canadian Geographer/Le Géographe Canadien, 55 (4), 490–509.

NORDBUK, 2006. Strategy for Children and Young People. Nordic Committee for Children and Young People of the Nordic Council of Ministers, Copenhagen. ANP 2006, 723.

O'Brien, K.L., and Leichenko, R.M., 2000. Double exposure: Assessing the impacts of climate change within the context of economic globalization. Global Environmental Change, 10 (3), 221–232.

Orlove, B. , 2005. Time, society, and the course of new technologies. Current Anthropology, 46 (5), 699–700.

Ostrom, E., 1990. Governing the Commons: The evolution of institutions for collective action. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Ostrom, E., 2009. A general framework for analyzing sustainability of socio-ecological systems. Science, 325 (5939), 419–422.

Pearce, T., et al., 2009. Community collaboration and climate change research in the Canadian Arctic, Polar Research, 28 (1), 10–27

Arctic. Polar Research, 28 (1), 10–27.

Pielke, R.A., et al., 2012. Dealing with complexity and extreme events using a bottom–up, resource-based vulnerability perspective. *In:* A. Surjalal Sharma, et al., eds, Extreme Events

and Natural Hazards: The complexity perspective. Geophysical Monograph Series [online], 196. Available from: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2011GM001086/summary [Accessed 30 August 2017].

Rasmussen, R., 2008. Gender, generation and social characteristics of internal and external migration patterns in Greenland. Conference Proceedings, ICASS VI, Nuuk, Greenland. Rasmussen, R., 2009. Gender and generation: Perspectives on ongoing social and

environmental changes in the Arctic. Signs, 34 (3), 524–532.

Rasmussen, R.O. , Barnhardt, R. , and Keskitalo, J. , 2010. Education. $\it In: J.N. Larsen and G. Fondahl$, eds, Arctic Social Indicators. Copenhagen: Nordic Council of Ministers, 67–90.

Sillitoe, P., 1998. The development of indigenous knowledge: A new applied anthropology. Current Anthropology, 29 (2), 223–252.

Smith, E.A., and Wishnie, M., 2000. Conservation and subsistence in small-scale societies. Annual Review of Anthropology, 29, 493–524.

Stenseth, N., et al., 2002. Ecological effects of climate fluctuations. Science [online], 297, 1292–1295. Available from: www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/297/5585/1292 [Accessed 30 August 2017].

Steward, A.S., 2007. Nobody farms here anymore: Livelihood diversification in the Brazilian Amazon, a historical perspective. Agriculture and Human Values, 24, 75–92.

Stockdale, A., 2006. Migration: Pre-requisite for rural economic regeneration? Journal of Rural Studies, 22, 354–366.

Sveiby, K.E., 2011. Collective leadership with power symmetry: Lessons from Aboriginal prehistory. Leadership, 7, 385–414.

Virtanen, P.K., 2012. Indigenous Youth in Brazilian Amazonia: Changing lived worlds. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Wyn, J., and Harris, A., 2004. Youth research in Australia and New Zealand. Young, 12 (3), 271–289.

Professionalising the 'resilience' sector in the Pacific Islands region

Asian Development Bank, International Labour Organization and Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2015. Building Human Capital through Labor Migration in Asia. ADB, ILO & OECD [online]. Available from: www.oecd.org/migration/building-human-capital.pdf [Accessed 3 November 2017].

Buliruarua, L.A., et al., 2015. P-ACP Training Needs and Gap Analysis: Reports for Fiji, Cook Islands, the Federated States of Micronesia, Kiribati, Marshall Islands, Nauru, Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Timor Leste, Tonga, Tuvalu and Vanuatu. The Pacific Community and the University of the South Pacific, Suva, Fiji. EU PacTVET – FED/2014/347–438.

Cannon, T., and Müller-Mahn, D., 2010. Vulnerability, resilience and development discourses in context of climate change. Natural Hazards, 55 (3), 621–635.

EQAP, 2011. The Pacific Qualifications Framework. Secretariat of the Pacific Board for Educational Assessment (now EQAP – Educational Quality Assessment Programme). Suva, Fiji: Secretariat of the Pacific Community, 6.

Government of Palau , 2015. Palau Climate Change Policy: For climate and disaster resilient low emissions development. Palau.

Government of the Republic of Fiji, 2012. Republic of Fiji National Climate Change Policy. Suva, Fiji: Secretariat of the Pacific Community.

Hemstock, S.L., et al., 2016. Accredited qualifications for capacity development in disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation. Australasian Journal of Disaster and Trauma Studies, 20 (1), 15–33.

Hemstock, S.L., et al., 2017. A case for formal education in the technical, vocational education and training (TVET) sector for climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction in the Pacific Islands region. *In:* L.F. Walter, ed., Climate Change Adaptation in Pacific Countries: Fostering resilience and improving the quality of life. Climate Change Management. Berlin: Springer International Publishing, 309–324.

IPCC, 2013. Summary for policymakers. *In:* T.F. Stocker, et al., eds, Climate Change 2013: The physical science basis: contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. IPCC, 2014. Climate Change 2014: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability. Part B: Regional aspects: contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. V.R. Barros, et al., eds. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kelman, I., and West, J.J., 2009. Climate change and small island developing states: A critical review. Ecological and Environmental Anthropology, 5 (1), 1–16.

Kelman, I., Gaillard, J.C., and Mercer, J., 2015. Climate change's role in disaster risk reduction's future: Beyond vulnerability and resilience. International Journal of Disaster Risk Science, 6 (1), 21–27.

McNamara, K., Hemstock, S.L., and Holland, E., 2012. Practices of Climate Change Adaptation in the Pacific: Survey of implementing agencies (phase II). Pacific Centre for Environment and Sustainable Development (PaCE-SD). Suva, Fiji: The University of the South Pacific. (USP EU-GCCA: FED/2010/258–661).

Nunn, P.D., 2013. Climate Change and Pacific Island Countries. Asia Pacific Human Development Report. Background Papers Series 2012/07. New York: United Nations Development programme. HDR-2013-APHDR-TBP-07.

OECD , 2017. Development Aid at a Glance: Statistics by region – Oceania [online]. Available from: www.oecd.org/dac/stats/documentupload/Oceania-Development-Aid-at-a-Glance.pdf [Accessed 3 November 2017].

Pelling, M., 2011. Adaptation to Climate Change: From resilience to transformation. London: Routledge.

Reid, H., et al., 2009. Community-based adaptation to climate change: An overview. Participatory Learning and Action, 60 (1), 9–11.

Reimaan National Planning Team , 2008. Reimaanlok: National conservation area plan for the Marshall Islands 2007–2012. N. Baker , ed. Melbourne: Reimaan National Planning Team. Sanerivi, L. , et al., 2016. Changing Climate for Quality Assured Regional Qualifications in the Pacific: An innovative collaboration (EU-PacTVET & EQAP). Asia Pacific Quality Network (APON) Conference 2016.

Smith, R., and Hemstock, S.L., 2011. An analysis of the effectiveness of funding for climate change adaptation using Tuvalu as a case study. International Journal of Climate Change: Impacts and Responses, 3 (1), 67–78.

SOPAC, 2005. A Framework for Action 2005–2015: Building the resilience of nations and communities to disasters. SOPAC Miscellaneous Report 613. Suva, Fiji.

SPC , 2017. Third Pacific Regional Energy and Transport Ministers' Meeting Communiqué. Nuku'Alofa, Tonga, 26–28 April 2017. The Pacific Community (SPC).

SPC, SPREP, USP, PIFS, UNISDR, UNDP 2016. Framework for Resilient Development in the Pacific: An integrated approach to address climate change and disaster risk management (FRDP) 2017–2030. Pacific Community (SPC), Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP), the University of the South Pacific (USP), Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat (PIFS), United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNISDR) and United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), the Pacific Community, Geoscience Division, Suva, Fiii.

SPREP, 2005. Pacific Islands Framework for Action on Climate Change 2006–2015. Apia, Samoa: Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme.

SPREP, 2013. JNAP Development and Implementation in the Pacific: Experiences, lessons and way forward. Apia, Samoa: Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme. Storey, D., and Hunter, S., 2010. Kiribati: An environmental 'perfect storm'. Australian Geographer, 41 (2), 167–181.

Tuvalu Ministry of Finance, Economic Planning and Industries , 2005. Te kakeega II: National Strategy for Sustainable Development 2005–2015. Funafuti, Tuvalu: Tuvalu Government, Economic Research and Policy Division, Ministry of Finance, Economic Planning and Industries.

UNESCO Institute for Lifelong Learning , 2015. Global Inventory of Regional and National Qualifications Frameworks. Hamburg: UNESCO Institute for Lifelong Learning.

UNISDR , 2015. Sendai Framework for Disaster Reduction 2015–2030. Geneva: United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (UNISDR).

UNISDR, 2017. Terminology on Disaster Risk Reduction. Geneva: United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (UNISDR).

United Nations, 2016. Restoring Humanity: Global voices calling for action: synthesis of the consultation process for the World Humanitarian Summit. Executive summary. New York: United Nations.

Woods, J. , Hemstock, S.L. , and Bunyeat, J. , 2006. Bio-energy systems at the community level in the South Pacific: Impacts and monitoring: greenhouse gas emissions and abrupt climate change: positive options and robust policy. Journal of Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 4, 473–499.

Conclusion

Adano, W.R., et al., 2012. Climate change, violent conflict and local institutions in Kenya's drylands. Journal of Peace Research, 49 (1), 65–80.

Adger, W.N., Lorenzoni, I., and O'Brien, K., 2009. Adapting to Climate Change: Thresholds, values, governance. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Appadurai, A., 2013. The Future as Cultural Fact: Essays on the global condition. London: Verso.

Arora-Jonsson, S., 2011. Virtue and vulnerability: Discourses on women, gender and climate change. Global Environmental Change, 21, 744–751.

Bargatzki, T., 1984. Culture, environment, and the ills of adaptationism. Current Anthropology, 25 (4), 399–415.

Bauriedl, S., 2011. Adaptive capacities of European city regions in climate change: On the importance of governance innovations for regional climate policies. *In:* W. Leal Filho, ed., The Economic, Social and Political Elements of Climate Change. Berlin: Springer, 3–14.

Bauriedl, S., 2016. Politische Ökologie: Machtverhältnisse in Gesellschaft/Umwelt-Beziehungen. Geographica Helvetica, 71, 341–351.

Bettini, G., 2013. Climate barbarians at the gate? A critique of apocalyptic narratives on 'climate refugees'. Geoforum, 45, 63–72.

Cosgrove, D., 2005. Tropic and tropicality. *In:* F. Driver and L. Martins, eds, Tropical Visions in an Age of Empire. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 197–216.

Cupples, J. , 2011. Wild globalization: The biopolitics of climate change and global capitalism on Nicaragua's Mosquito Coast. Antipode, 44, 10-30.

Dalby, S., 2016. Framing the anthropocene: The good, the bad and the ugly. Anthropocene Review, 3 (1), 33–51.

Dyer, G., 2008. Climate Wars. New York: Random House.

Eriksen, S., and Lind, J., 2009. Adaptation as a political process: Adjusting to drought and conflict in Kenya's drylands. Environmental Management, 43 (5), 817–835.

Folke, C., et al., 2002. Resilience and sustainable development: Building adaptive capacity in a world of transformations. Ambio: A Journal of the Human Environment, 31 (5), 437–440.

Forsyth, T., 2003. Critical Political Ecology: The politics of environmental science. New York: Routledge.

GARN (Global Alliance for Rights of Nature) , 2017. International Rights of Nature Tribunal in Bonn. Press release, 10 November. Available from: https://therightsofnature.org/wp-

content/uploads/Press-release-Bonn-Tribunal-final-2.pdf [Accessed 25 November 2017]. Gregory, D., 1994. Geographical Imaginations. Oxford: Blackwell.

Hayes J., and Knox-Hayes, J., 2014. Security in climate change discourse: Analyzing the divergence between US and EU approaches to policy. Global Environmental Politics, 14 (2),

82–101. Hulme, M. , 2009. Why We Disagree about Climate Change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hulme, M., 2011. Reducing the future to climate: A story of climate determinism and reductionism. Osiris, 26, 245–266.

IPCC, 2014. Climate Change 2014: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability: Working Group II. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Jasanoff, S., and Kim, S.H., eds, 2015. Dreamscapes of Modernity: Sociotechnical imaginaries and the fabrication of power, Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Mannke, F., 2011. Key themes of local adaptation to climate change: Results from mapping community-based initiatives in Africa. *In:* W. Leal Filho, ed., Experiences of Climate Change Adaptation in Africa. Berlin: Springer, 17–32.

Manzo, K., 2010. Imaging vulnerability: The iconography of climate change. Area, 42 (1), 96–107.

Massey, D., 2007. World City. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Mullenite, J., 2017. Can climate change adaptation be a desirable goal? Book review. Human Geography, 10 (2), 87–94

Müller-Mahn, D., and Everts, J., 2013. Riskscapes: The spatial dimensions of risk. *In:* D.

 $\label{lem:main} \mbox{\sc M\"{u}ller-Mahn} \ , \ \mbox{\sc ed.}, \ \mbox{\sc The Spatial Dimension of Risk: How geography shapes the emergence of riskscapes. London: Earthscan, 22–36.}$

Naess, L.O., Polack, E., and Blessings, C., 2011. Bridging research and policy processes for climate change adaptation. IDS Bulletin, 42 (3), 97–103.

Park, S.E., et al., 2012. Informing adaptation responses to climate change through theories of transformation. Global Environmental Change, 22, 115–126.

Parry M., et al., eds, 2007. Cross-chapter case study. In: IPCC, Climate Change 2007:

Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability: contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth

Assessment Report. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 843–868.

Schipper, E. , and Burton, I. , 2009. The Earthscan Reader on Adaptation to Climate Change. London: Earthscan.

Speranza, C.I., et al., 2010. Indigenous knowledge related to climate variability and change: Insights from droughts in semi-arid areas of former Makueni District, Kenya. Climatic Change, 100, 295–315.

Sultana, F., 2014. Gendering climate change: Geographical insights. The Professional Geographer, 66 (3), 372–381.

Swyngedouw, E. 2010. Apocalypse forever? Post-political populism and the spectre of climate change. Theory, Culture and Society, 27 (2–3), 213–232.

Taylor, M., 2015. The Political Ecology of Climate Change Adaptation: Livelihoods, agrarian change, and the conflicts of development. New York: Routledge.

Watts, M. 2015. Now and then: The origins of political ecology and the rebirth of adaptation as a form of thought. *In:* T. Perrault , G. Bridge , and J. McCarthy , eds, The Routledge Handbook of Political Ecology. New York: Routledge, 19–50.

WBGU (German Advisory Council on Global Change), 2007. World in Transition: Climate change as a security risk. London: Earthscan.

Weisser, F., and Müller-Mahn, D., 2017. No place for the political: Micro-geographies of the Paris Climate Conference 2015. Antipode, 49 (3), 802–820.

Weisser, F., Bollig, M., Doevenspeck, M., and Müller-Mahn, D., 2013. Translating the 'adaptation of climate change' paradigm: The politics of a travelling idea in Africa. The Geographical Journal, 180 (2), 111–119.

Welzer, H., 2012. Climate Wars: What people will be killed for in the 21st century. Cambridge: Polity Press.